# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,           | ) |                          |
|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Plaintiff,                          | ) |                          |
| vs.                                 | ) | Case No. 13-cv-138-SMY   |
| PHARMACIA LLC, formerly known as    | ) | CJRA TRACK: D            |
| Monsanto Company, and SOLUTIA INC., | ) | Trial Date: Dec. 7, 2015 |
| Defendants.                         | ) |                          |

# **CONSENT DECREE**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I.     | BACKGROUND  | . 1 |
|--------|---|-----|
| II.    | JURISDICTION  | . 1 |
| III.   | PARTIES BOUND   | . 1 |
| IV.    | DEFINITIONS   | . 1 |
| V.     | PAYMENT OF RESPONSE COSTS                             | .4  |
| VI.    | FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH CONSENT DECREE                 | .7  |
| VII.   | COVENANTS BY PLAINTIFF                                | . 8 |
| VIII.  | RESERVATION OF RIGHTS BY UNITED STATES                | . 8 |
| IX.    | COVENANTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS AND SETTLING FEDERAL |     |
|        | AGENCIES  | . 9 |
| X.     | EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT/CONTRIBUTION                     | 11  |
| XI.    | NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS                               | 12  |
| XII.   | RETENTION OF JURISDICTION                             | 13  |
| XIII.  | INTEGRATION/APPENDIX                                  | 14  |
| XIV.   | LODGING AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT            | 14  |
| XV.    | SIGNATORIES/SERVICE                                   | 14  |
| XVI.   | FINAL JUDGMENT  | 15  |
| 2002 1 |   | •   |

# I. BACKGROUND

A. The United States of America ("United States"), on behalf of the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), filed a complaint in this matter pursuant to Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. § 9607 ("CERCLA"), seeking reimbursement of oversight costs and other response costs incurred or to be incurred in connection with an Administrative Order for Remedial Design and Interim Remedial Action, Docket No. V-W-02-C-716, issued by EPA on September 30, 2002 (the "2002 UAO"), attached hereto as Appendix A.

B. The defendants that have entered into this Consent Decree ("Settling Defendants") do not admit any liability to Plaintiff arising out of the transactions or occurrences alleged in the complaint. Settling Federal Agencies do not admit any liability arising out of the transactions or occurrences alleged in any counterclaim asserted by Settling Defendants.

C. The United States and Settling Defendants agree, and this Court by entering this Consent Decree finds, that this Consent Decree has been negotiated by the Parties in good faith, that settlement of this matter will avoid prolonged and complicated litigation between the Parties, and that this Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, and in the public interest.

THEREFORE, with the consent of the Parties to this Decree, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

# II. JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345 and 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607 and 9613(b) and also has personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants. Solely for the purposes of this Consent Decree and the underlying complaint, Settling Defendants waive all objections and defenses that they may have to jurisdiction of the Court or to venue in this District. Settling Defendants shall not challenge entry or the terms of this Consent Decree or this Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Decree.

## III. PARTIES BOUND

2. This Consent Decree is binding upon the United States, and upon Settling Defendants and their successors and assigns. Any change in ownership or corporate or other legal status including, but not limited to, any transfer of assets or real or personal property, shall in no way alter the status or responsibilities of Settling Defendants under this Consent Decree.

# IV. DEFINITIONS

3. Unless otherwise expressly provided in this Consent Decree, terms used in this Consent Decree that are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under CERCLA shall have the meanings assigned to them in CERCLA or in such regulations. Whenever terms listed below are used in this Consent Decree or its appendix, the following definitions shall apply:

"2002 UAO" shall mean the Administrative Order for Remedial Design and Interim Remedial Action, Docket No. V-W-02-C-716, issued on September 30, 2002, and attached hereto as Appendix A.

"CERCLA" shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601-9675.

"Clayton Chemical Site" shall mean the property formerly owned and operated by Clayton Chemical Company with an address of 1 Mobile Avenue, Sauget, Illinois and which is now known as the RRG/CCC Site.

"Consent Decree" shall mean this Consent Decree and the appendix attached hereto. In the event of conflict between this Consent Decree and the appendix, the Consent Decree shall control.

"Day" or "day" shall mean a calendar day. In computing any period of time under this Consent Decree, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or federal or State holiday, the period shall run until the close of business of the next working day.

"DOJ" shall mean the United States Department of Justice and its successor departments, agencies, or instrumentalities.

"Effective Date" shall mean the date upon which the approval of this Consent Decree is recorded on the Court's docket.

"EPA" shall mean the United States Environmental Protection Agency and its successor departments, agencies or instrumentalities.

"EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund" shall mean the Hazardous Substance Superfund established by the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507.

"Future Oversight Costs" shall mean all costs, including, but not limited to, direct and indirect costs, that the United States has incurred or will incur in connection with the 2002 UAO after July 31, 2014, for reviewing or developing plans, reports, and other items in connection with the 2002 UAO, overseeing remedial design, remedial action, and operation and maintenance activities undertaken by persons other than EPA under the 2002 UAO, or implementing, overseeing, or enforcing this Consent Decree, including but not limited to payroll costs, contractor costs, travel costs, laboratory costs, costs of attorney time, costs of securing access and/or securing or implementing institutional controls (including, but not limited to, the amount of just compensation), any payments to the State through a cooperative agreement, and Interest on all such costs.

"Interest" shall mean interest at the rate specified for interest on investments of the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund established by 26 U.S.C. § 9507, compounded annually on October 1 of each year, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a). The applicable rate of interest shall be the rate in effect at the time the interest accrues. The rate of interest is subject to change on October 1 of each year. Rates are available online at http://www.epa.gov/ocfopage/finstatement/superfund/int\_rate.htm.

"National Contingency Plan" or "NCP" shall mean the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan promulgated pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, and any amendments thereto.

"Paragraph" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by an Arabic numeral or an upper or lower case letter.

"Parties" shall mean the United States and Settling Defendants.

"Past Response Costs" shall mean all costs, including but not limited to direct and indirect costs, that EPA or DOJ on behalf of EPA has paid in connection with oversight of the 2002 UAO and recovery of such costs through July 31, 2014, plus accrued Interest on all such costs through such date.

"Plaintiff" shall mean the United States.

"RCRA" shall mean the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6901-6992 (also known as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act).

"Sauget Area 1" shall mean six disposal areas and a creek in or around the villages of Sauget and Cahokia, Illinois, and adjacent, or in close proximity, to the Mississippi River known as Sites G, H, I, L, M and N, and Dead Creek segments A through F, and Borrow Pit Lake, collectively known as the Sauget Area 1 Superfund Site.

"Sauget Area 2" shall mean five disposal areas in or around the village of Sauget, Illinois, and adjacent, or in close proximity, to the Mississippi River known as Sites O, P, Q, R and S, collectively known as the Sauget Area 2 Superfund Site.

"Sauget Area 2 Special Account" shall mean the special account, within the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund, established for Sauget Area 2 by EPA pursuant to Section 122(b)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(b)(3), and previously established in prior settlements involving Sauget Area 2, including Paragraph 14 of *United States v. Afton Chem. Corp.*, Case No. 2006-cv-763 (S.D. Ill. Feb. 19, 2008) (partial consent decree with the Estate of Paul Sauget).

"Section" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by a Roman numeral.

"Settling Defendants" shall mean Pharmacia LLC (formerly known as Monsanto Company) and Solutia Inc.

"Settling Federal Agencies" shall mean the United States Air Force and the United States Department of Agriculture and their successor departments, agencies, or instrumentalities.

"Site" shall mean the groundwater contamination releasing to the Mississippi River adjacent to Sauget Area 2 disposal Site R and the resulting impact area as defined in the 2002 UAO as the "Operable Unit" or "OU" located in Sauget, St. Clair County, Illinois. A copy of the 2002 UAO is attached in Appendix A. "United States" shall mean the United States of America and each department, agency, and instrumentality of the United States, including EPA and Settling Federal Agencies.

# V. PAYMENT OF RESPONSE COSTS

4. <u>Payment by Settling Defendants for Past Response Costs</u>. Within 30 days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall pay to EPA \$1,700,000, plus an additional sum for Interest on that amount calculated from July 31, 2014 through the date of payment.

5. Settling Defendants shall make payment at https://www.pay.gov to the U.S. Department of Justice account, in accordance with instructions provided to Settling Defendants by the Financial Litigation Unit ("FLU") of the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Illinois after the Effective Date. The payment instructions provided by the FLU will include a Consolidated Debt Collection System ("CDCS") number, which shall be used to identify all payments required to be made in accordance with this Consent Decree. The FLU will provide the payment instructions to Settling Defendants in accordance with Section XI (Notices and Submissions). Settling Defendants may change the individual to receive payment instructions on their behalf by providing written notice of such change to Plaintiff in accordance with Section XI (Notices and Submissions).

6. <u>Notice of Payment</u>. At the time of payment, Settling Defendants shall send notice that payment has been made to Plaintiff in accordance with Section XI (Notices and Submissions), and to the EPA Cincinnati Finance Office by email at cinwd\_acctsreceivable@epa.gov, or by regular mail to:

EPA Cincinnati Finance Office 26 W. Martin Luther King Drive Cincinnati, Ohio 45268

Such notice shall reference the CDCS Number, Site/Spill ID Number 05XX, and DJ Number 90-11-2-06089/2.

7. <u>Deposit of Payment</u>. The total amount to be paid pursuant to Paragraph 4 shall be deposited by EPA in the Sauget Area 2 Special Account to be retained and used to conduct or finance response actions at or in connection with Sauget Area 2, or to be transferred by EPA to the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund.

# 8. <u>Payments by Settling Defendants for Future Oversight Costs</u>

a. Settling Defendants shall pay to EPA all Future Oversight Costs not inconsistent with the NCP. On a periodic basis the United States will send Settling Defendants a bill requiring payment that includes an EPA Itemized Cost Summary detailing direct and indirect costs incurred by EPA, DOJ and their contractors. Settling Defendants shall make all payments within 30 days of Settling Defendants' receipt of each bill requiring payment, except as otherwise provided in Paragraph 9. Settling Defendants shall make all payments required by this Paragraph in the same manner as set out in Paragraph 5 and provide notice of payment as set out in Paragraph 6. b. The total amount to be paid by Settling Defendants pursuant to Subparagraph 8.a shall be deposited in the Sauget Area 2 Special Account within the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund to be retained and used to conduct or finance response actions at or in connection with the Site or Sauget Area 2, or to be transferred by EPA to the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund.

# 9. <u>Dispute Resolution for Future Oversight Costs.</u>

a. The dispute resolution procedures set forth in this Paragraph shall be the exclusive mechanism for resolving disputes regarding the Settling Defendants' obligation to reimburse the United States for its Future Oversight Costs. Settling Defendants may contest payment of any Future Oversight Costs due under Paragraph 8 if they determine that the United States has made an accounting error or if Settling Defendants allege that a cost item that is included represents costs that are inconsistent with the NCP. Such objection shall be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of the bill and must be sent to the United States pursuant to Section XI (Notices and Submissions). Any such objection shall specifically identify the contested Future Oversight Costs and the basis for objection.

In the event of an objection, Settling Defendants shall, within the 30 day b. period, pay all uncontested Future Oversight Costs to the United States in the manner described in Subparagraph 8.a. Within 30 days after such payment, if informal dispute resolution has not resolved the dispute, Settling Defendants shall establish an interest-bearing escrow account in a federally-insured bank duly chartered in the State of Illinois and remit to that escrow account funds equivalent to the amount of the contested Future Oversight Costs. Settling Defendants shall send to the United States, as provided in Section XI (Notices and Submissions), a copy of the transmittal letter and check paying the uncontested Future Oversight Costs, and a copy of the correspondence that establishes and funds the escrow account, including, but not limited to, information containing the identity of the bank and bank account under which the escrow account is established, as well as a bank statement showing the initial balance of the escrow account. Simultaneously with establishment of the escrow account, Settling Defendants shall initiate the Dispute Resolution procedures in Paragraph 9.a. If the United States prevails in the dispute, within 5 days of the resolution of the dispute, Settling Defendants shall pay the sums due (with accrued interest) to the United States in the manner described in Subparagraph 8.a. If Settling Defendants prevail concerning any aspect of the contested costs, the Settling Defendants shall pay that portion of the costs (plus associated accrued interest) for which it did not prevail to the United States in the manner described in Subparagraph 8.a; Settling Defendants shall be disbursed any balance of the escrow account.

c. <u>Informal Dispute Resolution</u>. Any dispute with respect to Future Oversight Costs shall in the first instance be the subject of informal negotiations between the United States and the Settling Defendants.

# d. <u>Formal Dispute Resolution</u>.

(1) Initiation. If the dispute is not resolved by informal dispute resolution within 60 days after payment is due under Paragraph 8.a., either party may commence formal dispute resolution by sending a Notice of Formal Dispute Resolution to the other

parties to the dispute. The Notice of Formal Dispute Resolution shall be accompanied by a written Statement of Position by the party who serves the Notice, stating the basis of that party's position and citing all factual data, analysis, opinion or other material on which that party relies to support its position. The opposing parties shall have 30 days in which to serve a Response setting forth the same information supporting their position.

(2) Administrative Record and Decision. EPA shall maintain an administrative record of any dispute as to Future Oversight Costs for which formal dispute resolution has been initiated. The administrative record shall include the disputed bill and cost summary sent by EPA to the Settling Defendants, the Notice of Objection served by Settling Defendants, the Notice of Formal Dispute Resolution and accompanying Statement of Position, the opposing party's Response, and any other documents or information sent to EPA by Settling Defendants for inclusion in the record or relied on by EPA in reaching an administrative resolution of the dispute. The Director of the Superfund Division, EPA Region 5, will issue a final administrative decision determining whether the disputed Oversight Costs, or any part of them, shall be disallowed as inconsistent with the NCP or the result of an accounting error.

(3) Judicial Appeal. The Settling Defendants may appeal EPA's administrative decision pursuant to the preceding subparagraph to this Court within 20 days of receipt of EPA's decision. The Court's review of EPA's decision as to whether the disputed Future Oversight Costs are inconsistent with the NCP or the result of an accounting error shall be limited to EPA's administrative record. Applicable principles of administrative law shall govern whether any supplemental materials may be considered by the Court. The Court shall uphold EPA's decision unless it is arbitrary and capricious or otherwise not in accordance with law.

# 10. Payments by Settling Federal Agencies.

a. As soon as reasonably practicable after the Effective Date, the United States, on behalf of Settling Federal Agencies, shall pay to EPA \$9,600. The total amount to be paid on behalf of Settling Federal Agencies pursuant to this Paragraph shall be deposited by EPA in the Sauget Area 2 Special Account to be retained and used to conduct or finance response actions at or in connection with Sauget Area 2, or to be transferred by EPA to the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund.

b. <u>Interest</u>. In the event that any payment required by Paragraph 10.a is not made within 120 days after the Effective Date, the United States, on behalf of Settling Federal Agencies, shall pay Interest on the unpaid balance, with such Interest commencing on the 121st day after the Effective Date and accruing through the date of the payment.

c. The Parties to this Consent Decree recognize and acknowledge that the payment obligations of Settling Federal Agencies under this Consent Decree can only be paid from appropriated funds legally available for such purpose. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be interpreted or construed as a commitment or requirement that any Settling Federal Agency obligate or pay funds in contravention of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341, or any other applicable provision of law.

## VI. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH CONSENT DECREE

11. <u>Interest on Late Payments</u>. If any Settling Defendant fails to make any payment under Paragraph 4 (Payment by Settling Defendants for Past Response Costs) by the required due date, Interest shall continue to accrue on the unpaid balance through the date of payment.

# 12. <u>Stipulated Penalty.</u>

a. If any amounts due to EPA under Paragraph 4 (Payment by Settling Defendants for Past Response Costs) are not paid by the required date, Settling Defendants shall be in violation of this Consent Decree and shall pay to EPA, as a stipulated penalty, in addition to the Interest required by Paragraph 11, \$1,000 per day that such payment is late.

b. Stipulated penalties are due and payable within 30 days after the date of the demand for payment of the penalties by EPA. All payments to EPA under this Paragraph shall be identified as "stipulated penalties" and shall be made by Fedwire Electronic Funds Transfer ("EFT") to:

Federal Reserve Bank of New York ABA = 021030004 Account = 68010727 SWIFT address = FRNYUS33 33 Liberty Street New York NY 10045 Field Tag 4200 of the Fedwire message should read "D 68010727 Environmental Protection Agency"

c. At the time of payment, Settling Defendants shall send notice that payment has been made to Plaintiff in accordance with Section XI (Notices and Submissions), and to the EPA Cincinnati Finance Office by email at cinwd\_acctsreceivable@epa.gov, or by mail to:

EPA Cincinnati Finance Office 26 Martin Luther King Drive Cincinnati, Ohio 45268

Such notice shall reference Site/Spill ID Number 05XX, the CDCS Number, and DJ Number 90-11-2-06089/2.

d. Penalties shall accrue as provided in this Paragraph regardless of whether EPA has notified Settling Defendants of the violation or made a demand for payment, but need only be paid upon demand. All penalties shall begin to accrue on the day after payment is due and shall continue to accrue through the date of payment.

13. If the United States brings an action to enforce this Consent Decree, Settling Defendants shall reimburse the United States for all costs of such action, including but not limited to costs of attorney time.

14. Payments made under this Section shall be in addition to any other remedies or sanctions available to Plaintiff by virtue of Settling Defendants' failure to comply with the requirements of this Consent Decree.

15. The obligations of Settling Defendants to pay amounts owed the United States under this Consent Decree are joint and several. In the event of the failure of either Settling Defendant to make the payments required under this Consent Decree, the remaining Settling Defendant shall be responsible for such payments.

16. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section, the United States may, in its unreviewable discretion, waive payment of any portion of the stipulated penalties that have accrued pursuant to this Consent Decree. Payment of stipulated penalties shall not excuse Settling Defendants from payment as required by Section V (Payment of Response Costs) or from performance of any other requirements of this Consent Decree.

# VII. COVENANTS BY PLAINTIFF

17. <u>Covenants for Settling Defendants by United States</u>. Except as specifically provided in Section VIII (Reservation of Rights by United States), the United States covenants not to sue or to take administrative action against Settling Defendants pursuant to Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), to recover Past Response Costs or Future Oversight Costs. These covenants shall take effect upon the Effective Date. These covenants are conditioned upon the satisfactory performance by Settling Defendants of their obligations under this Consent Decree. These covenants extend only to Settling Defendants and do not extend to any other person.

18. <u>Covenant for Settling Federal Agencies by EPA</u>. Except as specifically provided in Section VIII (Reservation of Rights by United States), EPA covenants not to take administrative action against Settling Federal Agencies pursuant to Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), to recover Past Response Costs or Future Oversight Costs. This covenant shall take effect upon the Effective Date. This covenant is conditioned upon the satisfactory performance by Settling Federal Agencies of their obligations under this Consent Decree. This covenant extends only to Settling Federal Agencies and does not extend to any other person.

# VIII. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS BY UNITED STATES

19. The United States reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against Settling Defendants, and EPA and the federal natural resource trustees reserve, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against Settling Federal Agencies, with respect to all matters not expressly included within Paragraph 17 (Covenants for Settling Defendants by United States) and Paragraph 18 (Covenant for Settling Federal Agencies by EPA). Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the United States reserves all rights against Settling Defendants, and EPA and the federal natural resource trustees reserve, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against Settling Federal Agencies, with respect to:

a. liability for failure of Settling Defendants to meet a requirement of this Consent Decree;

- b. liability for costs incurred or to be incurred by the United States that are not within the definition of Past Response Costs or Future Oversight Costs;
- c. liability for injunctive relief or administrative order enforcement under Section 106 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9606;
- d. criminal liability; and
- e. liability for damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources, and for the costs of any natural resource damage assessments.

# IX. COVENANTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS AND SETTLING FEDERAL AGENCIES

20. <u>Covenants by Settling Defendants</u>. Settling Defendants covenant not to sue and agree not to assert any claims or causes of action against the United States, or its contractors or employees, with respect to Past Response Costs, and this Consent Decree, including but not limited to:

a. any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund based on Sections 106(b)(2), 107, 111, 112, or 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606(b)(2), 9607, 9611, 9612, or 9613, or any other provision of law;

b. any claim arising out of the response actions at the Site for which the Past Response Costs were incurred, including any claim under the United States Constitution, the Constitution of the State of Illinois, the Tucker Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1491, the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, or at common law; or

c. any claim pursuant to Section 107 or 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607 or 9613, Section 7002(a) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6972(a), or state law for Past Response Costs.

21. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to constitute approval or preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9611, or 40 C.F.R. § 300.700(d).

22. <u>Covenant by Settling Federal Agencies</u>. Settling Federal Agencies agree not to assert any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund through CERCLA Sections 106(b)(2), 107, 111, 112, 113 or any other provision of law with respect to Past Response Costs and this Consent Decree. This covenant does not preclude demand for reimbursement from the Superfund of costs incurred by a Settling Federal Agency in the performance of its duties (other than pursuant to this Consent Decree) as lead or support agency under the NCP.

23. <u>Settling Defendants' Indemnification of the United States</u>.

a. Settling Defendants shall, upon the entry and approval of this Consent Decree, indemnify, save, hold harmless, and reimburse (but not defend) the United States for any costs related to any claims or causes of action (including without limitation any claims by EPA or any other person pursuant to Sections 107 and 113(f) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607 and 9613(f), or any other applicable provision of federal or state law, whether by statute or common law) asserted against the United States by any other person or entity and relating to: (1) the release of hazardous substances from Sauget Area 1 and Sauget Area 2 as a result of wastes transported by RRG/Clayton Chemical personnel to Sauget Area 1 and Sauget Area 2 after 1975 alleged to be attributable to the release, threat of release, storage, or disposal of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants by, on behalf of, or otherwise in connection with the Settling Federal Agencies; and (2) contaminated groundwater resulting from the alleged release of hazardous substances from the Clayton Chemical Site alleged to be attributable to the release, threat of release, storage, or disposal of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants by, on behalf of, or otherwise in connection with the Settling Federal Agencies. The requirements of this subparagraph shall not apply to claims asserted against the United States: (1) for damages to natural resources; and (2) for property damage or bodily injury, or fear of same, on account of alleged exposure to CERCLA hazardous substances other than claims arising from the alleged negligent or wrongful acts or omissions of Pharmacia LLC and/or Solutia Inc. in performing response actions at Sauget Area 1 and/or Sauget Area 2.

b. The United States shall notify Settling Defendants in writing of any claim that is filed against and served upon the United States that it reasonably believes is subject to this Paragraph within 120 days of the United States receiving written notice of such claim or service of a complaint. DOJ shall represent the United States with regard to any such claims or litigation. Where the United States and one or more of the Settling Defendants are defendants in the same action and are defending against the same claim(s), the United States agrees to provide Settling Defendants with a reasonable opportunity to discuss the possibility of jointly engaging expert witnesses. The United States further agrees not to settle any such claims or action without first notifying Settling Defendants of the United States' intent to settle any such action and providing Settling Defendants with a reasonable opportunity to discuss any proposed settlement with the United States.

24. <u>Claims Against De Micromis Parties</u>. Settling Defendants agree not to assert any claims and to waive all claims or causes of action (including but not limited to claims or causes of action under Sections 107(a) and 113 of CERCLA) that they may have for all matters relating to the Site against any person where the person's liability to Settling Defendants with respect to the Site is based solely on having arranged for disposal or treatment, or for transport for disposal or treatment, of hazardous substances at the Site, or having accepted for transport for disposal or treatment of hazardous substances at the Site, if all or part of the disposal, treatment, or transport occurred before April 1, 2001, and the total amount of material containing hazardous substances contributed by such person to the Site was less than 110 gallons of liquid materials or 200 pounds of solid materials.

25. The waiver in Paragraph 24 (Claims Against De Micromis Parties) shall not apply with respect to any defense, claim, or cause of action that a Settling Defendant may have against any person meeting the above criteria if such person asserts a claim or cause of action relating to the Site against such Settling Defendant. This waiver also shall not apply to any claim or cause of action against any person meeting the above criteria if EPA determines:

a. that such person has failed to comply with any EPA request for information or administrative subpoena issued pursuant to Section 104(e) or 122(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9604(e) or 9622(e), or Section 3007 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6927, or has impeded or is impeding, through action or inaction, the performance of a response action or natural resource restoration with respect to the Site, or has been convicted of a criminal violation for the conduct to which this waiver would apply and that conviction has not been vitiated on appeal or otherwise; or

b. that the materials containing hazardous substances contributed to the Site by such person have contributed significantly, or could contribute significantly, either individually or in the aggregate, to the cost of the response action or natural resource restoration at the Site.

# X. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT/CONTRIBUTION

26. Except as provided in Paragraph 24 (Claims Against De Micromis Parties), nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a Party to this Consent Decree. Except as provided in Section IX (Covenants by Settling Defendants and Settling Federal Agencies), each of the Parties expressly reserves any and all rights (including, but not limited to, pursuant to Section 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613), defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action that each Party may have with respect to any matter, transaction, or occurrence relating in any way to the Site against any person not a Party hereto. Nothing in this Consent Decree diminishes the right of the United States, pursuant to Section 113(f)(2) and (3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2)-(3), to pursue any such persons to obtain additional response costs or response action and to enter into settlements that give rise to contribution protection pursuant to Section 113(f)(2).

27. The Parties agree, and by entering this Consent Decree this Court finds, that this Consent Decree constitutes a judicially-approved settlement pursuant to which:

a. Each Settling Defendant has, as of the Effective Date, resolved liability to the United States within the meaning of Section 113(f)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2), and is entitled, as of the Effective Date, to protection from contribution actions or claims as provided by Section 113(f)(2) of CERCLA, or as may be otherwise provided by law, for "matters addressed" in this Consent Decree. The "matters addressed" in this Consent Decree are Past Response Costs and Future Oversight Costs.

b. Each Settling Federal Agency has, as of the Effective Date, resolved liability to the United States within the meaning of Section 113(f)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2), and is entitled, as of the Effective Date, to protection from contribution actions or claims as provided by Section 113(f)(2) of CERCLA, or as may be otherwise provided by law, for "matters addressed" in this Consent Decree, and for (1) the release of hazardous substances from Sauget Area 1 and Sauget Area 2 as a result of wastes transported by RRG/Clayton Chemical personnel to Sauget Area 1 and Sauget Area 2 after 1975, and (2) contaminated groundwater resulting from the alleged release of hazardous substances from the Clayton Chemical Site.

28. Each Settling Defendant shall, with respect to any suit or claim brought by it for matters related to this Consent Decree, notify Plaintiff in writing no later than 60 days prior to the initiation of such suit or claim. Each Settling Defendant also shall, with respect to any suit or claim brought against it for matters related to this Consent Decree, notify Plaintiff in writing within 10 days after service of the complaint or claim upon it. In addition, each Settling Defendant shall notify Plaintiff within 10 days after service or receipt of any Motion for Summary Judgment, and within 10 days after receipt of any order from a court setting a case for trial, for matters related to this Consent Decree.

29. In any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding initiated by the United States for injunctive relief, recovery of response costs, or other relief relating to the Site, Settling Defendants shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon the principles of waiver, *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim-splitting, or other defenses based upon any contention that the claims raised by the United States in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in the instant case; provided, however, that nothing in this Paragraph affects the enforceability of the Covenants by Plaintiff set forth in Section VII.

## XI. NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS

30. Whenever, under the terms of this Consent Decree, notice is required to be given or a document is required to be sent by one party to another, it shall be directed to the individuals at the addresses specified below, unless those individuals or their successors give notice of a change to the other Parties in writing. Except as otherwise provided, notice to a Party by email (if that option is provided below) or by regular mail in accordance with this Section satisfies any notice requirement of the Consent Decree regarding such Party.

## As to Plaintiff United States:

TO DOJ by email: <u>eescdcopy.enrd@usdoj.gov</u>

TO DOJ by mail:

EES Case Management Unit U.S. Department of Justice Environment and Natural Resources Division P.O. Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20044-7611 Re: DJ # 90-11-2-06089/2 *or by courier to*: EES Case Management Unit U.S. Department of Justice Environment and Natural Resources Division 601 D Street NW, Suite 2121 Washington, D.C. 20004 Re: DJ # 90-11-2-06089/2 AND Chief, Environmental Defense Section Environment and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20044-7611 Re: DJ # 90-11-6-19991

TO EPA:

THOMAS MARTIN Associate Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency-Region 5 (C-14) 77 West Jackson Blvd. Chicago, IL 60604-3507 (312) 886-4273 and Stephanie Linebaugh Remedial Project Manager Superfund Division (SR-6J) United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, IL 60604

As to Settling Defendants:

Cathleen S. Bumb Solutia Inc. 575 Maryville Centre Drive St. Louis, MO 63141 and Jason A. Flower Husch Blackwell LLP 190 Carondelet Plaza, Suite 600 St. Louis, MO 63105-3433

# XII. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

31. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of interpreting and enforcing the terms of this Consent Decree.

## XIII. INTEGRATION/APPENDIX

32. This Consent Decree and its appendix constitutes the final, complete and exclusive agreement and understanding among the Parties with respect to the settlement embodied in this Consent Decree. The Parties acknowledge that there are no representations, agreements, or understandings relating to the settlement other than those expressly contained in this Consent Decree. The 2002 UAO is attached to and incorporated into this Consent Decree as "Appendix A."

## XIV. LODGING AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

33. This Consent Decree shall be lodged with the Court for a period of at least 30 days for public notice and comment. The United States reserves the right to withdraw or withhold its consent if the comments regarding the Consent Decree disclose facts or considerations that indicate that this Consent Decree is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. Settling Defendants consent to the entry of this Consent Decree without further notice.

34. If for any reason this Court should decline to approve this Consent Decree in the form presented, this agreement is voidable at the sole discretion of any Party and the terms of the agreement may not be used as evidence in any litigation between the Parties.

# XV. SIGNATORIES/SERVICE

35. Each undersigned representative of a Settling Defendant and the Assistant Attorney General, U.S. Department of Justice, Environment and Natural Resources Division, certifies that he or she is authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree and to execute and bind legally such Party to this document.

36. Each Settling Defendant agrees not to oppose entry of this Consent Decree by this Court or to challenge any provision of this Consent Decree, unless the United States has notified Settling Defendants in writing that it no longer supports entry of the Consent Decree.

37. Each Settling Defendant shall identify, on the attached signature page, the name and address of an agent who is authorized to accept service of process by mail on behalf of that Party with respect to all matters arising under or relating to this Consent Decree. Settling Defendants hereby agree to accept service in that manner and to waive the formal service requirements set forth in Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local rules of this Court, including but not limited to, service of a summons.

## XVI. FINAL JUDGMENT

38. Upon entry of this Consent Decree by the Court, this Consent Decree shall constitute the final judgment between and among the United States and the Settling Defendants. The Court finds that there is no just reason for delay and therefore enters this judgment as a final judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 54 and 58.

SO ORDERED THIS \_\_\_\_ DAY OF \_\_\_\_\_, 2015.

HON. STACI M. YANDLE United States District Judge Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-1 Filed 03/11/15 Page 17 of 21 Page ID #3967

Signature page for Consent Decree in United States v. Pharmacia, LLC, No. 13-cv-138 (S.D. Ill.)

## FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:

JOHN C. CRUDEN Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530

THOMAS MARIANI Deputy Chief Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20044-7611

MICHAEL J. ZOELLER

Trial Attorney Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20044-7611 (202) 305-1478 michael.zoeller@usdoj.gov

tor LETITIA GRISHAW

Chief Environmental Defense Section Environment and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20044-7611

JENNIFER E. POWELL Trial Attorney Environmental Defense Section Environment and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 514-1978 Fax (202) 514-8865 jennifer.powell@usdoj.gov

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-1 Filed 03/11/15 Page 18 of 21 Page ID #3968

Signature page for Consent Decree in United States v. Pharmacia, LLC, No. 13-cv-138 (S.D. Ill.)

STEPHEN R. WIGGINGTON

United States Attorney Southern District of Illinois

22

NATHAN D. STUMP Assistant United States Attorney 9 Executive Drive Fairview Heights, Illinois 62208 Tel: (618) 628-3700 Fax: (618) 628-3730 Nathan.stump@usdoj.gov Signature page for Consent Decree in United States v. Pharmacia, LLC, No. 13-cv-138 (S.D. Ill.)

ŘD C. KÁŘľ

Director, Superfund Division, Region 5
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
77 W. Jackson Blvd.
Chicago, Illinois 60604

THOMÁS MARTIN Associate Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 5 77 W. Jackson Blvd. Chicago, Illinois 60604

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-1 Filed 03/11/15 Page 20 of 21 Page ID #3970

Signature page for Consent Decree in United States v. Pharmacia, LLC, No. 13-cv-138 (S.D. Ill.)

#### FOR PHARMACIA LLC

#### By: Solutia Inc., its Attorney-in-Fact

FADAVCAY 3, 2015 Date

Name (print): Edwin Williewson Title: Vice President Address: 200 South Wilcox Drive KINGSPORT TN 37660

Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party:

son Flower Name (print):

Title: Attorney Address: 190 Cavondelet Plaza, Suite 600 Phone: St. Couis, MO 63105 email: 314-480-1769 Jason. Clower & husch blackwell.com

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-1 Filed 03/11/15 Page 21 of 21 Page ID #3971

Signature page for Consent Decree in United States v. Pharmacia, LLC, No. 13-cv-138 (S.D. Ill.)

#### FOR SOLUTIA INC.

Jebruary 3, 2015 Date

Eduin Williamson

Name (print): Edwin Williamson Title: Vice President Address: 200 South Wilcox Drive Kingsport TN 37660

Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party:

Jason Flower Name (print): Attorney Title: Title: ATTONNEY Address: 190 Cavondelet Plaza, Suite 600 Phone: St. 601's, MO 63105 email: 314-480-1769 jason, Clower @ hush blackwell.am

## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY **REGION 5**

| IN THE MATTER OF:                        | )    |
|--|------|
|  | )    |
| Sauget Area 2 Superfund Site             | )    |
| Sauget, Cahokia, and East                | )    |
| St. Louis, Illinois                      | )    |
|  | )    |
| RESPONDENTS:                             | )    |
|  | )    |
| See Attachment 1                         | )    |
|  | )    |
| Proceeding Under Section 106(a) of the   | )    |
| Comprehensive Environmental Response,    | • )  |
| Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, | )    |
| as amended (42 U.S.C. § 9606(a))         | _) ' |

Docket. No. V-W- '02-C-716

## ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER FOR **REMEDIAL DESIGN AND INTERIM REMEDIAL ACTION**

#### I. INTRODUCTION AND JURISDICTION

This Order directs Respondents to perform a remedial design for the Interim Groundwater 1. Remedy described in the Record of Decision, dated September 30, 2002, and to implement the design by performing an interim remedial action. This Order is issued to Respondents by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("U.S. EPA") under the authority vested in the President of the United States by Section 106(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. § 9606(a). This authority was delegated to the Administrator of U.S. EPA on January 23, 1987, by Executive Order 12580 (52 Fed. Reg. 2926), and was further delegated to the Regional Administrator on September 13, 1987 by U.S. EPA Delegation No. 14-14 and 14-14A, and to the Director, Superfund Division, Region 5, by delegation 14-14B.

#### **II. PARTIES BOUND**

2. This Order shall apply to and be binding upon each Respondent identified in paragraphs 19 and 20 and its successors and assigns. Each Respondent is jointly and severally responsible for carrying out all activities required by this Order. Failure of one or more Respondents to comply with all or any part of this Order shall not in any way excuse or justify noncompliance by any other Respondents. No change in the ownership, corporate status, or other control of any Respondent shall alter any of the Respondent's responsibilities under this Order.

3. Each Respondent shall provide a copy of this Order to any prospective owners or successors before a controlling interest in Respondent's assets, property rights, or stock are transferred to the prospective owner or successor. Respondents shall provide a copy of this Ordet to each contractor, subcontractor, laboratory, or consultant retained to perform any work under this Order, within five days after the effective date of this Order or on the date such services are retained, whichever is later. Respondents shall also provide a copy of this Order to any person acting on behalf of Respondents with respect to the Site or the work and shall ensure that all contracts and subcontracts entered into hereunder require performance under the contract to be in conformity with the terms and work required by this Order. With regard to the activities undertaken pursuant to this Order, each contractor and subcontractor shall be deemed to be related by contract to the Respondents within the meaning of Section 107(b)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(b)(3). Notwithstanding the terms of any contract, each Respondent is responsible for compliance with this Order and for ensuring that its contractors, subcontractors and agents perform all work in accordance with this Order.

4. Not later than thirty (30) days prior to any transfer of any interest in any real property included within the Site, Respondent(s) shall submit a true and correct copy of the transfer documents to U.S. EPA, and shall identify the transferee(s) by name, principal business address and effective date of the transfer.

#### **III. DEFINITIONS**

5. Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used in this Order which are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under CERCLA shall have the meaning assigned to them in the statute or its implementing regulations. Whenever terms listed below are used in this Order or in the documents attached to this Order or incorporated by reference into this Order, the following definitions shall apply:

a. "CERCLA" shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601 et seq.

b. "Day" shall mean a calendar day unless expressly stated to be a working day. In computing any period of time under this Order, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or federal holiday, the period shall run until the end of the next working day.

c. "Illinois EPA" shall mean the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency.

d. "National Contingency Plan" or "NCP" shall mean the National Contingency Plan promulgated pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, and any amendments thereto.

e. "Operable Unit" or "OU" pertains to the groundwater contamination releasing to the Mississippi River adjacent to Sauget Area 2 disposal Site R and the resulting impact area.

f. "Paragraph" shall mean a portion of this Order identified by an Arabic numeral.

g. "Performance Standards" shall mean those cleanup standards, standards of control, and other substantive requirements, criteria or limitations, identified in the Record of Decision and Statement of Work, that the remedial action and work required by this Order must attain and maintain.

h. "Record of Decision" or "ROD" shall mean the U.S. EPA Record of Decision relating to the OU, signed on September 30, 2002, by the Director of the Superfund Division, U.S. EPA, Region 5, and all attachments thereto, which is attached hereto and made a part hereof as Attachment 2.

i. "Response Costs" shall mean all costs, including direct costs, indirect costs, and interest incurred by the United States to perform or support response actions at the Site relating to the OU, including, but not limited to, contract and enforcement costs.

j. "Section" shall mean a portion of this Order identified by a Roman numeral and includes one or more paragraphs.

k. "Section 106 Administrative Record" shall mean the Administrative Record which includes all documents considered or relied upon by U.S. EPA in preparation of this Order. The Section 106 Administrative Record Index is a listing of all documents included in the Section 106 Administrative Record, and is attached hereto as Attachment 3.

1. "Site" pertains to the Sauget Area 2 Superfund Site.

m. "State" shall mean the State of Illinois.

n. "Statement of Work" or "SOW" shall mean the statement of work for implementation of the remedial design, remedial action, and operation and maintenance at the OU, as set forth in Attachment 4 to this Order. The Statement of Work is incorporated into this Order and is an enforceable part of this Order.

o. "Work" shall mean all activities Respondents are required to perform under this Order and all attachments hereto, including, but not limited to, remedial design, remedial action and operation and maintenance.

#### **IV. DETERMINATIONS**

Based on available information, including the Administrative Record in this matter, U.S. EPA hereby finds that:

6. The OU encompasses the groundwater contamination releasing to the Mississippi River adjacent to Site R and the resulting impact area in the Mississippi River. This area is part of a larger Superfund Site known as Sauget Area Two ("the Site"). Based on current information, the impact area resulting from the above-described groundwater release is confined to an area approximately 2000 feet long (coinciding with the northern and southern boundaries of Site R) and approximately 300 feet from shore (see map attached as Exhibit 1).

7. From October 24, 2000, through November 3, 2000, U.S. EPA collected sediment samples in the Mississippi River in and adjacent to the impact area from the above-described groundwater releases. Sediment samples were analyzed for VOCs, SVOCs, PCBs and pesticides, but not heavy metals. U.S. EPA data shows that sediment is contaminated with significant concentrations of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) starting at the northern edge of Site R. Based on this data, the northern area of Site R is also the approximate northern boundary of a groundwater contaminant plume that releases to the Mississippi River in the vicinity of Site R. These data show that significant concentrations of VOCs and SVOCs in sediment continue along and south of Site R, the approximate southern boundary of the groundwater contaminant plume. Significant concentrations of pesticides, a herbicide, and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) are also shown in these data near the middle and southern boundary of Site R, in the approximate center of the groundwater contaminant plume. The areal extent of contaminated sediment in the Mississippi River is best defined by the presence of chlorobenzene at twelve sample locations, 4-chloroaniline at seven sample locations, and benzene at six sample locations. Aniline was also found in sediment at five locations, dichlorobenzenes and Aroclor (PCBs) at four locations, and  $\Delta$ -BHC at three locations. Other hazardous constituents found in one or two sediment sample locations are toluene, 1,2-dichloroethane, xylenes, ethylbenzene, 2-chlorophenol, bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, 2,4-dichlorophenol, 3-methylphenol, phenol, chlorobenzilate, 4,4'-DDD, methoxychlor, and 2,4-D. The greatest concentration of contaminants found in sediment occurs near and just south of the middle portion of Site R.

8. Groundwater data collected by Solutia and presented in the Description of Current Conditions Report for the W.G. Krummrich Facility and the Sauget Area 2 Focused Feasibility Study correlates well with both the type and extent of contamination found in Mississippi River sediment. Groundwater data from May 2000 for wells monitoring the middle and deep sand aquifer near the northern extent of sediment contamination (wells GM-27B and GM-27C) found up to 1,400 parts per billion (ppb) of benzene, 11,000 ppb of chlorobenzene, 700 ppb of toluene, 39,000 ppb of aniline, 8,100 ppb of phenol, 300 ppb of 4-chlorophenol, 20,000 ppb of 2chloroaniline, 25,000 ppb of 3-chloroaniline, and 25,000 ppb of 4-chloroaniline.

9. Historical groundwater data collected by Monsanto and presented in the Remedial Investigation at Sauget Site R (Geraghty & Miller, 1994) also shows a strong correlation between groundwater contaminants and sediment data at the locations referenced above. Solutia Wells GM-27B and GM-27C show similar groundwater contamination as determined in May 2000. Groundwater data collected between 1986 and 1992, found benzene concentration in groundwater ranged from 122 to 9,980 ppb, chlorobenzene concentrations ranged from 193 to 60,200 ppb, toluene concentrations ranged from <6 to 1,400 ppb, aniline concentrations ranged from 869 to 440,000 ppb, phenol concentrations ranged from <1.5 to 1,910 ppb, 2-chloroaniline concentrations ranged from 3,220 to 59,100 ppb, 3-chloroaniline concentrations ranged from <10 to 52,400 ppb, and 4-chloroaniline concentrations ranged from <10 to 53,100 ppb. None of the historical samples were analyzed for heavy metals.

10. Data from wells GM-57C and GM-56C, located near the center of Site R, shows similar contaminants as found in Mississippi River sediment. Groundwater data collected between 1987 and 1992, found benzene concentrations ranged from <44 to 613 ppb, chlorobenzene concentrations ranged from <44 to 7380 ppb, toluene concentrations ranged from <160 to 2,070 ppb, aniline concentrations ranged from 20,600 to 44,800 ppb, phenol concentrations ranged from <1.7 to 33,000 ppb , 2-chloroaniline concentrations ranged from <500 to 195,000 ppb, 3-chloroaniline concentrations ranged from <25,200 to 41,800 ppb, 4-chloroaniline concentrations ranged from 20,400 to 1,200 ppb, 3-chloroaniline concentrations ranged from 20,200 to 56,900 ppb, and 1,2-dichlorobenzene concentrations ranged from 204 to 1570 ppb.

11. Wells GM-28B, GM-28C, and GM-55C, located near the southern boundary of Site R also detected the same contaminants as found in Mississippi River sediment. Data collected between 1986 and 1992, found benzene concentrations ranged from 85.5 to 582 ppb, chlorobenzene concentrations ranged from 447 to 47,000 ppb, toluene concentrations ranged from <6 to 533 ppb, aniline concentrations ranged from <1,000 to 24,300 ppb, phenol concentrations ranged from <1.7 to 29,500 ppb, 2-chloroaniline concentrations ranged from 12,000 to 58,100

-5-

ppb, 3-chloroaniline concentrations ranged from 9,170 to 52,400 ppb, 4-chloroaniline concentrations ranged from 5,390 to 53,100 ppb, and 1,2-dichlorobenzene concentrations ranged from 6 to 9,810 ppb.

12. Solutia plotted and contoured the Total VOC and Total SVOC concentrations from their January and May 2000 groundwater sampling events for the Shallow Hydrogeologic Unit (SHU), Middle Hydrogeologic Unit (MHU) and Deep Hydrogeologic Unit (DHU). The results were presented in the Sauget Area 2 Focused Feasibility Study (FFS) conducted by Solutia. Based on these isoconcentration plots, VOCs and SVOCs are present in groundwater from the Mississippi River to the W.G. Krummrich Plant. Three groundwater concentration highs are present in groundwater beneath and upgradient of Sauget Area 2 Site R: 1) one at Sauget Area 2 Sites R and Q (dog leg) immediately adjacent to the Mississippi River, 2) another at the W.G. Krummrich plant owned and operated by Solutia, and to a lesser extent, 3) a third at the location of Sauget Area 2 Site O.

13. As part of its 1998 report, E&E prepared isoconcentration maps showing Total VOC concentration in shallow wells, Total VOC concentration in intermediate/deep wells, Total base neutrals/acids (BNA) concentration in shallow wells and Total BNA concentration in intermediate/deep wells. These isoconcentration maps show groundwater concentration highs in shallow wells at Sites O and R.

14. The source areas of the groundwater contamination at the OU is suspected to be various disposal areas and facilities in the Sauget area. Sauget Areas 1 and 2 and surrounding areas have historically been used for waste disposal. Four disposal areas at Sauget Area 2 (Sites O, Q, R and S), one disposal area from Sauget Area 1 (Site I), the W.G. Krummrich Plant, Clayton Chemical and various other industrial facilities are located in the Sauget area upgradient of the OU and could be contributing to the groundwater contamination.

15. Information on the types of wastes disposed and the types and levels of contamination found at the above-referenced locations, have been provided to U.S. EPA from various sources including, but not exclusively from: 1) CERCLA 103(c) Submittals; 2) CERCLA 104(e) Responses; 3) Expanded Site Investigation Dead Creek Project Sites (E & E, 1988); 4) Description of Current Situation at the Dead Creek Project Sites (E & E, 1986); 5) May 1999 Expanded Site Inspection (Illinois EPA, 1999); 6) Sauget Area 1 EE/CA and RI/FS Support Sampling Plan Data Report (Solutia, 2001); 7) Sauget Area 1 and 2 Sites, St. Clair County, Illinois (E & E, 1998); 8) CERCLA Screening Site Inspection (SSI): Sauget Sites Area #2 (Illinois EPA Pre-Remedial Unit, 1991); 9) Human Health Risk Assessment for Site R (Dynamac and Geraghty & Miller, 1994); 10) Ecological Risk Assessment for Site R (Environmental Science and Engineering, 1995); 11) Baseline Ecological Risk Assessment (Menzie-Cura, 2001); 12) other Area 1 reports; 13) RCRA Facility Assessment Report for Clayton Chemical (Illinois EPA, 1990); 14) Final Draft Removal Assessment Report for Clayton Chemical Site (U.S. EPA START, 2001); 15) Description of Current Conditions Report for W.G. Krummrich Facility (Solutia, 2000); 16) Sauget Area 2 Site Focused Feasibility Study (Solutia, 2002); 17) Data Validation Report for Samples Collected October 24-November 3, 2000 (U.S. EPA, 2001); 18) Sauget Area 1 and 2 Sites-Volume 1 Area 1 Data Tables/Maps (E&E, 1998); 19) Sauget Area

-6-

1 and 2 Sites-Volume 2 Area 2 Data Tables/Maps (E&E, 1998); 20) Draft Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis for Sauget Area 1 (U.S. EPA/Solutia, 2002).

16. The Sauget Area 2 disposal sites are located in the City of East St. Louis and the Villages of Sauget and Cahokia, Illinois. The Sauget Area 2 sites consist of five inactive disposal sites that are located in an area historically used for heavy industry, including chemical manufacturing, metal refining, petroleum refining, power generation, and waste disposal. Four of the five Area 2 sites (sites O, Q (dog leg), R and S) are located upgradient of the OU and the observed releases of groundwater to the Mississippi River.

a. Site O consists of four closed sludge dewatering lagoons associated with the Village of Monsanto/Sauget Wastewater Treatment Plant. Site O is located on Mobil Avenue in Sauget, east of the flood control levee, and is located to the northeast of the American Bottoms Regional Wastewater Treatment Facility. Between 1966-7 and approximately 1978, these lagoons were used to dispose of sludge from the Village of Monsanto/Sauget WWTP. The lagoons were closed in 1980 by stabilizing the sludge with lime and covering it with approximately two feet of clean, low-permeability soil. Currently the former lagoons are vegetated.

b. Site Q is an inactive landfill in Sauget, Illinois and is bisected by the Alton and Southern Railroad into a northern portion and a southern portion. The northern portion of Site Q consists of approximately 65 acres and is bordered on the north by Site R and Monsanto Avenue. The northern portion is bordered on the south by the main track of the Alton and Southern Railroad and property owned by Patgood, Inc., on the east by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) flood control levee and Illinois Central Gulf Railroad, and on the west by the Mississippi River. Disposal operations began at Site Q in the 1950s and continued until the 1970s. Sauget & Company operated at Site Q from at least 1966 to 1973. The wastes disposed at Site Q included municipal waste, septic tank pumpings, drums, organic and inorganic wastes, solvents, pesticides, paint sludges, and demolition debris.

c. Site R is a closed industrial-waste disposal area located on the west side of the flood control levee adjacent to the Mississippi River. Site R is north and west of Site Q. Industrial Salvage and Disposal, Inc./Sauget & Company operated Site R for Monsanto from 1957-77. Process wastes from Monsanto's W.G. Krummrich and J. F. Queeny Plants were disposed at Site R. In 1979, Monsanto completed the installation of a clay cover on Site R to cover waste, limit infiltration through the landfill, and reduce direct contact with fill material. The cover's thickness ranges from 2 to 8 feet. In 1985, Monsanto installed a 2,250 foot long rock revetment along the east bank of the Mississippi River adjacent to Site R. The purpose of the stabilization project was to prevent further erosion of the riverbank and thereby minimize potential for the surficial release of waste material from the landfill. As constructed, the revetment does not provide for the permanent containment of the chemical wastes and other contaminants in the landfill. On February 13, 1992, the State of Illinois and Monsanto signed a consent decree entered in St. Clair County Circuit Court requiring Monsanto to conduct a remedial investigation and feasibility study for Site R. The results of the RI/FS were submitted to the State of Illinois in 1994. A final remedy for Site R has not been determined. In 2000 and 2001, groundwater sampling by Solutia found contamination at Site R.

t. v.

-7-

. \*

d. Site S, located southwest of Site O, is a small disposal site. The property is situated on the east side of the flood control levee. In the mid-1960s, solvent recovery operations began at the Clayton Chemical facility. The waste solvents were steam-stripped, resulting in still bottoms that were allegedly disposed in a shallow, on-site excavation that is now designated as Site S.

17. In addition to the Sauget Area 2 disposal sites, there are other sites or facilities in the Sauget area located upgradient of the OU and which could contribute to the release of impacted groundwater to the Mississippi River. This includes, but is not limited to, Sauget Area 1 Site I, the Clayton Chemical facility, and the W.G. Krummrich Plant.

a. Site I is an inactive landfill that occupies approximately 19 acres of land and is located north of Queeny Avenue, west of Falling Springs Road, and south of the Alton & Southern Railroad in the Village of Sauget, Illinois. Former Creek Segment A of Dead Creek borders Site I on the site's western side. Site I was originally used as a sand and gravel pit. Industrial, chemical and municipal wastes were disposed at Site I from approximately 1931 to 1957. Site I also served as a disposal area for contaminated sediments from historic dredgings of Dead Creek Segment A. Site H is connected to Site I under Queeny Avenue and together they were known to be part of the "Sauget-Monsanto Landfill," which operated from approximately 1931 to 1957. The estimated volume of waste and contaminated fill material in Site I is 680,827 cubic yards.

b. The Clayton Chemical facility is located at 1 Mobile Avenue, Sauget, Illinois, between Site O and the dog leg portion of Site Q, and was operated as a railroad repair yard from the 1930s to 1962, a crude oil topping plant from 1962 to the mid-1960s, and an oil and solvent reclamation facility from the mid-1960s until 1998. Hundreds of parties sent wastes to the facility. Clayton Chemical blended hazardous waste fuel for use by industrial furnaces. Its facility included on-site bulk and drum storage, waste materials processing for fuels, a liquid fuel blending storage tank system and solvent recovery units. Wastes were received by Clayton Chemical by either bulk or in containers. Clayton Chemical Company was renamed Resource Recovery Group LLC in 1996. The Clayton Chemical facility ceased operating in 1998, and was the subject of an emergency response action by U.S. EPA in 2001. Wastes disposed at the Clayton facility included oil tank bottoms, white gas, and spent halogenated and non-halogenated solvents.

c. The W.G. Krummrich plant, a currently operating chemical production facility, began operations in Sauget in the early 1900's. Chemicals manufactured at the plant include sulfuric, muriatic and nitric acids, zinc chloride, phenol, chlorine, caustic soda, chlorobenzenes, paranitroaniline, catalysts for contact sulfuric acid plants, nitrated organic chemicals, chlorophenols, benzyl chloride, PCBs, hydrogenated products, phosphorus halides, phosphoric acid, potassium phenyl acetate (1950), monochloroacetic acid (1951), tricresyl phosphate (1954), adipic acid (1954), phosphorus pentasulfide (1955), fatty acid chloride (1956), Santolubeâ 393 (1956), germicide and an oil additive, chlorinated cyanuric acid compounds ortho-dichlorobenzene, calcium benzene sulfonate (Santolube® 290), aroclor, nitrochlorobenzene and ortho-nitrophenol. The plant is under an Administrative Order on Consent under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act to undertake corrective action which in part includes groundwater contamination at the facility. Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 8 of 62 Page ID #3979

18. Sampling data has detected a wide variety of organic constituents in Sauget Areas 1 and 2, and the W.G. Krummrich Plant. This sampling includes the following results:

- a. Site O:
- Constituents detected in subsurface soils (E&E 1998) include, but are not limited to, 1,2-dichloroethane, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, trichloroethene, benzene, 4-Methyl-2-pentanone, toluene, chlorobenzene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes, 1,4dichlorobenzene, 1,2-dichlorobenzene, 1,2,4-trichlorophenol, naphthalene, 2methylnaphthalene, acenaphthene and PCBs.
- 2) Constituents detected in groundwater (E&E 1998) at Site O include, but are not limited to, methylene chloride, trans-1,2-dichloroethene, 2-butanone, trichloroethene, benzene, 4-methyl-2-pentanone, tetrachloroethene, 1,1,2,2tetrachloroethane, toluene, chlorobenzene, phenol, 1,4-dichlorobenzene, 1,2dichlorobenzene, 4-methylphenol, 4-chloroaniline,
- b. Site Q (dog leg):
- Constituents detected in soils at Site Q (dogleg) (E&E 1998) include, but are not limited to, benzene, 2,4-dimethylphenol, 1,4-dichlorobenzene, nitrobenzene, bis(2ethylhexyl)phthalate, di-n-butyl phthalate, analine, chlorobenzene, ethylbenzene, toluene, 4-methyl-2-pentanone, o-xylene, and PCBs.
- 2) Constituents detected in groundwater at Site Q (dogleg) include, but are not limited to, 1,2-dichloroethane, 1,2-dichlorobenzene, benzene, 4-Methyl-2pentanone, 2-hexanone, toluene, chlorobenzene, phenol, 2-chlorophenol, 4methylphenol, 2,4-dimethylphenol, 2,4-dichlorophenol, 4-chloroaniline, 2,4,6trichlorophenol, 2-nitroaniline, acenaphthylene, and pentachlorophenol.
- c. Site R:
- Constituents detected in soils at Site R include (Geraghty & Miller, 1994), but are not limited to, methylene chloride, acetone, 1,1-dichloroethene, chloroform, 1,2dichloroethane, 2-butanone, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, bromodichloroethane, trichloroethene, dibromochloroethane, benzene, 4-methyl-2-pentanone, tetrachloroethene, toluene, chlorobenzen, ethylbenzene, xylenes, phenol, 2chlorophenol, 1,3-dichlorobenzene, 1,4-dichlorobenzene, 1,2-dichlorobenzene, nitrobenzene, 2,4-dichlorophenol, 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene, naphthalene, 4chloroaniline, 2-methylnaphthalene, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol, 2-nitroaniline, 4nitroaniline, pentachlorophenol, aniline, 2-chloroaniline, 3-chloroaniline, and PCBs,

 Constituents detected in groundwater at Site R (Geraghty & Miller, 1994 and Solutia, 2000) include, but are not limited to, acetone, benzene, chlorobenzene, 1,4-Dichlorobenzene, 1,2-dichloroethane, toluene, tetrachloroethene, 4-methyl-2Pentanone, aniline, naphthalene, nitrobenzene, phenol, 2,4-dichlorophenol, 2chloroaniline, 2-chlorophenol, 2-nitrochlorobenzene, 3-chloroaniline, 3nitochlorobenzene, 4-chloroaniline, 4-chlorophenol, 4-nitrochlorobenzene.

d. Site S:

- Constituents detected in soils at Site S (E&E, 1998) include, but are not limited to 2-butanone, trichloroethene, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, 4-methyl-2-pentanone, toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes, naphthalene, di-n-butyl phthalate, di-n-octyl phthalate, butyl benzyl phthalate, bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, and PCBs.
- 2) No groundwater data is available for Site S.
- e. Site I:
- Constituents detected in soils at Site I (E&E 1998) include, but are not limited to, benzene, tetrachloroethene, toluene, chlorobenzene, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, ethylbenzene, total xylenes, nitrosodiphenylamine, hexachlorobenzene, pentachlorophenol, phenanthrene, anthracene, di-n-butyl-phthalate, fluoranthene, 1,3-dichlorobenzene, 1,4-dichlorobenzene, 1,2-dichlorobenzene, benzoic acid, 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene, naphthalene, 4-chloroaniline, 2-methylnaphathalene, and acenaphthene.
- 2) Constituents detected in groundwater at Site I (U.S. EPA/Solutia, 2002) include, but are not limited to, benzene, chlorobenzene, 1,1-dichloroethene, cis/trans-1,2dichloroethene, 1,4-dichlorobenzene, 4-chloroaniline, 2-chlorophenol, 2,4dichlorophenol, 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene, n-nitrosodiphenylamine, Alpha-BHC, Delta-BHC.
- f. Clayton Chemical Facility
- Constituents detected in groundwater at the Clayton Chemical facility (U.S. EPA START, 2001) include, but are not limited to vinyl chloride, 1,1,1-dichloroethane, 1,1-dichloroethene, cis-1,2-dichloroethene, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, 1,2dichloroethane, benzene, trichloroethene, toluene, tetrachloroethene, and xylene.

g. W.G. Krummrich Facility:

- Constituents detected in soils at the W.G. Krummrich Facility include (Solutia 2000), but are not limited to benzene, chlorobenzene, chlorotoluene, ethylbenzene, xylene, chloroaniline, dichlorobenzene, trichlorobenzene, pentachlorophenol, tertbutylbenzene, toluene, dichlorophenol, nitrobenzene, trichlorophenol.
- 2) Constituents detected in groundwater at the W.G. Krummrich Facility include (Solutia 2000), but are not limited to dichlorobenzene, benzene, chlorobenzene, xylene, chloroaniline, toluene, ethylbenzene, nitrophenol, pentachlorophenol,

#### -10-

dichlorophenol, chlorophenol, trichlorobenzene, trichlorophenol, phenol, nitroaniline, methylene chloride, methyl isobutyl ketone, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, napthalene, 1,2-dichloroethene, vinyl chloride, nitrobenzene, nitrobiphenyl.

19. On information and belief, the Respondents set out below (as more fully identified in Attachment 1) generated wastes which were disposed of, released into and/or transported to the above-referenced facilities, as follows:

a. Site O: Rogers Cartage Company, Midwest Rubber Reclaiming (Division of Empire Chem., Inc.), Amax Zinc Corporation, Mobil Oil Corporation, Monsanto Chemical Company, Ethyl Corporation, Ethyl Petroleum Additives, Inc., and Clayton Chemical Co. (Division of Emerald Environmental, LLC.), Cerro Copper Products Company, Blue Tee Corp., Gold Fields American Corporation, American Zinc, Lead and Smelting Company; American Zinc Company, and Wiese Planning and Engineering.

b. Sites Q and/or R: Monsanto Chemical Company, Barry Weinmiller Steel Fabrication, Crown Cork & Seal Company, Inc., Dennis Chemical Company, Inc., Inmont Corporation, U.S. Paint Corporation, Kerr McGee Chemical Corporation, Dow Chemical, Mallinckrodt Chemical, Myco-Gloss, Clayton Chemical Company, United Technologies Corporation, AALCO Wrecking Company, Inc., Abco Trash Service & Equip. Company, Able Sewer Service, Ajax Hickman Hauling, Amax Zinc, Atlas Service Company, Banjo Iron Company, Becker Iron & Metal Corporation, Belleville Concrete Cont. Company, Bi-State Parks Airport, Bi-State Transit Company, Boyer Sanitation Service, Browning-Ferris Industries of St. Louis, C&E Hauling, Cargill Inc., Century Electric Company, Circle Packing Company, Corkery Fuel Company, David Hauling, State of Illinois Department of Transportation, Disposal Service Company, Dore Wrecking Company, Dotson Disposal "All" Service, Edgemont Construction, Edwin Cooper Inc., Eight & Trendy Metal Company, Evans Brothers, Finer Metals Company, Fish Disposal, Fruin-Colnon Corporation, Gibson Hauling, H.C. Fournie Inc., H.C. Fournie Plaster, Hilltop Hauling, Huffmeier Brothers, Hunter Packing Company, Lefton Iron & Metal Company, Midwest Sanitation, Mississippi Valley Control, Obear Nestor, Roy Baur, Thomas Byrd, and Trash Men Inc.

c. Site S: Clayton Chemical Co. (Division of Emerald Environmental, LLC.).

NON SITE-SPECIFIC GENERATORS/TRANSPORTERS: Rogers Cartage Company, Browning Ferris Industries, Inc., Browning Ferris Industries of St. Louis, Inc., C&E Hauling Company, Disposal Services Company, Hilltop Hauling, Inc., Paul Sauget, and National Vendors. d. Site I: Monsanto Corporation/Solutia, Incorporated; Cerro Copper Products Company; Cardinal Construction Company; Amax Zinc Corporation; and Mobil Oil Corporation; Ethyl Petroleum; Village of Sauget; Olin Corporation.

1997 - S. S.

20. On information and belief, the Respondents set out below own and/or operate or previously owned and/or operated portions of the above referenced facilities, as follows:

÷.

a. Site O: Village of Sauget and the Sauget Sanitary Development and Research Assn.

b. Site Q (dog leg): Alton & Southern Railroad, Village of Cahokia, Monsanto Company, Norfolk Southern Corporation, Notre Dame Fleeting and Towing Services, Patgood Inc., Phillips Pipe Line Company, Pillsbury Company (leasee), River Port Terminal and Fleeting Company, Village of Sauget, St. Louis Grain Company, Union Electric Company, Cahokia Trust Properties, Eagle Marine Industries Inc., Sauget & Company (c/o Paul Sauget), Industrial Salvage & Disposal Company, Clayton Chemical Company, Con-Agra, Inc., and Peavey Company.

c. Site R: Monsanto Chemical Company, Solutia, Inc., Cahokia Trust Properties and Sauget and Company.

d. Site S: A-1 Oil Corporation, Russell Bliss, Bliss Waste Oil Inc., Chemical Waste Management, Onyx Environmental Services, Norfolk Southern Corporation, Village of Sauget, Monsanto Chemical Company, and Clayton Chemical Co. (Division of Emerald Environmental LLC).

e. Site I: Monsanto Corporation/Solutia, Incorporated; Cerro Copper Products Company; Cardinal Construction Company; Amax Zinc Corporation; and Mobil Oil Corporation; Ethyl Petroleum; Village of Sauget; Olin Corporation.

f. Clayton Chemical Facility: Clayton Chemical, Emerald Environmental Group, LLC

g. W.G. Krummrich Facility: Monsanto Chemical Company, Solutia, Inc.

21. The Respondents identified in paragraphs 19 and 20 are collectively referred to as "Respondents."

22. The groundwater contamination down gradient from the Sauget Area 2 disposal sites O, Q (dog leg), R, and S; Sauget Area 1, Site I; Clayton Chemical, the W.G. Krummrich Plant and other industrial facilities is releasing to the Mississippi River and contaminating the river sediment. Groundwater is not a source of drinking water for area residents.

23. Solutia's contractors Dynamac Corporation and Geraghty & Miller prepared a Human Health risk assessment for Site R using data collected during an RI/FS required by an AOC with the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (Illinois EPA). Based on these data, potential carcinogenic (cancer causing) risks for on-site workers and area residents consuming fish were -12-

found to be within the acceptable risk range. For noncarcinogenic hazards, the values were also found to be within the acceptable risk range.

24. The Baseline Ecological Risk Assessment performed by Solutia's contractor Menzie-Cura, in 2001, which included sampling of surface water, sediment and fish tissue from the Mississippi River at and immediately down gradient of the OU, identified the following constituents of potential concern: acetone, benzene, 2-butanone, carbon disulfide, chlorobenzene, chloroethane, chloroform, 1,2-dichloroethane, cis-1,2-dichloroethene, ethylbenzene, methylene chloride, 4-methyl-2-pentanone, tetrachloroethylene, toluene, trans-1,2-dichloroethylene, trichloroethylene, vinyl chloride, xylenes, 4-bromophenylphenylether, 4-chloroaniline, 2chlorophenol, 1,2-dichlorobenzene, 1,4-dichlorobenzene, 2,4-dichlorophenol, 2,4dimethylphenol, 2,4-dinitrotoluene, 2-methylphenol, 3-methylphenol, 4-methylphenol, naphthalene, 2-nitroaniline, nitrobenzene, phenol, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol, alpha-BHC, alphachlordane, gamma-chlordane, 4,4'-DDD, 4,4'-DDE, 4,4'-DDT, dieldrin, endosulfan I. endrin. endrin aldehyde, heptachlor epoxide, 2,4-D, dicamba, dichloroprop, methyl chlorophenoxy propionic acid (MCPP), pentachlorophenol, 2,4,5-T, Silvex, and dioxin.

25. The findings of the Baseline Ecological Risk Assessment indicate that fish species in the Mississippi River adjacent to the OU are at a potential risk from exposure to contaminated sediment, and fish prev are at risk from exposure to surface water containing hazardous substances and constituents. The results of the risk assessment also indicate that fish are accumulating compounds, especially MCPP, detected in study area sediments, and identified a low potential risk to wildlife foraging on the media at and around the Site and the OU.

26. Based upon review of the currently available data for the OU and the findings from the Baseline Ecological Risk Assessment, it is the U.S. EPA's position that the preferred interim action identified in the ROD is necessary to protect public health or welfare or the environment from actual or threatened releases of hazardous substances into the environment.

27. The Sauget Area 2 Site is currently the subject of a separate Administrative Order by Consent (AOC), signed by U.S. EPA and the members of the Sauget Area 2 Sites Group ("SA2SG") on November 24, 2000, requiring a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study (RI/FS) of Sauget Area 2 (which includes Sites O, P, Q, R and S). On November 14, 2001, U.S. EPA sent a Notification of Additional Work - Focused Feasibility Study, Groundwater Contamination Near Site R, Sauget Area 2 Site to the SA2SG. In response to U.S. EPA's November 14, 2001 Notification, Solutia Inc. ("Solutia") submitted a Focused Feasibility Study (FFS) to U.S. EPA on April 1, 2002 (the other members of the SA2SG declined to participate in the preparation and submittal of the FFS).

28. Pursuant to Section 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617, U.S. EPA published notice of the completion of the FFS and of the proposed Interim Groundwater Remedy on June 17, 2002, and provided opportunity for public comment on the proposed Interim Groundwater Remedy. U.S. EPA held a public meeting to discuss the remedy and to take comments on June 24, 2002 at the Sauget Village Hall.

S - 8

1.5

27

29. The decision by U.S. EPA on the implementation of the Interim Groundwater Remedy is embodied in a Record of Decision ("ROD"), executed on September 30, 2002, on which the Illinois EPA has given its concurrence. The ROD includes a Responsiveness Summary addressing comments on the proposed plan made by the public and the PRPs. The ROD is an enforceable part of this Order and is attached hereto as Attachment 2. The ROD is supported by an Administrative Record which contains the documents and information upon which U.S. EPA based the selection of the response action. The U.S. EPA's selected response action set out in the ROD has been determined to provide adequate protection of public health, welfare, and the environment; to meet all federal and State environmental laws; and to be cost effective.

30. The selected remedy of the ROD includes the installation of a 3,500 foot long, "U"shaped, fully penetrating, jet grout barrier wall to be installed between the down gradient boundary of Sauget Area 2 Site R and the Mississippi River, institutional controls, groundwater recovery wells, the discharge and treatment of extracted groundwater, and groundwater quality monitoring, groundwater level monitoring, and sediment and surface water monitoring.

31. The preferred alternative is considered an interim remedial action for the groundwater operable unit at the Sauget Area 2 Site. This limited-scope action is intended only to address the release of contaminated groundwater into the Mississippi River in the vicinity of the OU. Operation of the physical barrier and groundwater extraction system will provide additional information to be used in developing options for a final long-term comprehensive groundwater remedy for Sauget Area 2. A final response action to address fully the threats posed by conditions at the Sauget Area 2 Site will be taken upon completion of the Sauget Area 2 RI/FS in 2004.

32. Groundwater contamination releasing to the Mississippi River adjacent to Site R and the resulting impact area is a "facility" as that term is defined by Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(9).

33. Each Respondent is a "person" as defined by Section 101(21) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(21).

34. Each Respondent is a "liable party" as defined in Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), and is subject to this Order under Section 106(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9606(a).

35. "Hazardous substances" as defined by Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14) are present at the OU

36. The conditions described in Section IV Determinations above constitute an actual "release" into the "environment" as defined by Sections 101(8) and (22) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C.  $\S\S$  9601(8) and (22).

37. The actual or threatened release of hazardous substances from the OU may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health, welfare, or the environment within the meaning of Section 106(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9606(a).

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 14 of 62 Page ID #3985

-14-

38. The interim remedial action required by this Order is necessary to protect the public health, welfare, or the environment, and is not inconsistent with the NCP and CERCLA.

### **V. NOTICE TO THE STATE**

39. U.S. EPA has notified the State of Illinois, Illinois EPA, that U.S. EPA intends to issue this Order. U.S. EPA will consult with the State and the State will have the opportunity to review and comment to U.S. EPA regarding all work to be performed, including remedial design, reports, technical data and other deliverables, and any other issues which arise while the Order remains in effect.

#### VI. ORDER

40. Based on the foregoing, each Respondent is hereby ordered to comply with all of the provisions of this Order, including but not limited to all attachments to this Order, all documents incorporated by reference into this Order, and all schedules and deadlines contained in this Order, attached to this Order, or incorporated by reference into this Order.

#### **VII. WORK TO BE PERFORMED**

41. Within five (5) days after the effective date of this Order, each Respondent that owns real property comprising any part of the Site shall record Notice of and/or a copy of this Order in the appropriate governmental office where land ownership and transfer records are filed or recorded, and shall ensure that the recording of said notice and/or Order is indexed to the title of each and every parcel of property owned by said Respondent at the Site, so as to provide notice to third parties of the issuance and terms of this Order with respect to those properties. Respondents shall, within 15 days after the effective date of this Order, send notice of such recording and indexing to U.S. EPA.

12. All workplans, reports, engineering design documents, and other deliverables (workplans and deliverables), as described throughout this Order, shall be submitted to Illinois EPA (except documents claimed to contain confidential business information) and U.S. EPA. All workplans and deliverables will be reviewed and either approved, approved with modifications, or disapproved by U.S. EPA, in consultation with Illinois EPA. In the event of approval or approval with modifications by U.S. EPA, Respondents shall proceed to take any action required by the workplan, report, or other item, as approved or modified by U.S. EPA. If the workplan or other deliverable is approved with modifications or disapproved, U.S. EPA will provide, in writing, comments or modifications required for approval. Respondents shall amend the workplan or other deliverable to incorporate only those comments or modifications required by U.S. EPA. Within twenty-one (21) days of the date of U.S. EPA's written notification of approval with modifications or disapproval, Respondents shall submit an amended workplan or other deliverable. U.S. EPA shall review the amended workplan or deliverable and either approve or disapprove it. Failure to submit a workplan, amended workplan or other deliverable shall constitute noncompliance with this Order. Submission of an amended workplan or other

j. W

deliverable which fails to incorporate all of U.S. EPA's required modifications, or which includes other unrequested modifications, shall also constitute noncompliance with this Order. Approval by U.S. EPA of the (amended) workplan or other deliverable shall cause said approved (amended) workplan or other deliverable to be incorporated herein as an enforceable part of this Order. If any (amended) workplan or other deliverable is not approved by U.S. EPA, Respondents shall be deemed to be in violation of this Order.

43. In the event of an inconsistency between this Order and any subsequent approved (amended) workplan or other deliverable, the terms of this Order shall control.

#### A. RD/RA Workplan

44. Within forty-five (45) days after the effective date of this Order, Respondents shall submit a workplan for the remedial design and remedial action "(RD/RA Workplan") for the OU to U.S. EPA for review and approval.

45. The RD portion of the RD/RA Workplan shall include a detailed step-by-step plan for completing the remedial design for the interim groundwater remedy selected in the ROD, and for attaining and maintaining all requirements and performance standards identified in the ROD and Statement of Work. The RD portion of the RD/RA Workplan shall describe in detail the tasks and deliverables Respondents will complete during the remedial design phase, and a schedule for completing the tasks and deliverables relating to the RD. The RD portion of the RD/RA Workplan shall be consistent with and provide for implementation of the Statement of Work, and shall comport with U.S. EPA's "Superfund Remedial Design and Remedial Action Guidance. OSWER Directive 9355.0-4A" and any amendments to this guidance. The RD portion of the RD/RA Workplan shall include a Sampling and Analysis Plan and a Quality Assurance Project Plan for U.S. EPA review. Respondents shall also submit a Health and Safety Plan for all predesign sampling efforts, including treatability studies, which shall be consistent with the Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSHA). The major tasks and deliverables described in the RD portion of the RD/RA Workplan shall include, but not be limited to, the following: (1) a prefinal design; including results of field sampling and treatability studies; and (2) a final design. At each of these design completion stages, the design packages shall include the items as described in Task 2 of the attached Statement of Work.

46. The RA portion of the RD/RA Workplan shall be developed in accordance with the ROD and the Statement of Work, and shall be consistent with the final design as approved by U.S. EPA. The RA portion of the RD/RA Workplan shall include methodologies, plans, and schedules for completion of at least the following: (1) selection of the remedial action contractor; (2) implementation of a Construction Quality Assurance Plan; (3) identification of and satisfactory compliance with applicable permitting requirements, if any; (4) implementation of the Operation and Maintenance Plan; (5) implementation of the Contingency Plan; and (6) implementation of the ground water, surface water, and sediment monitoring plans. The RA portion of the RD/RA Workplan shall include a schedule for implementing all remedial action tasks identified in the Statement of Work and shall identify the initial formulation of Respondent's remedial action project team, including the supervising contractor. Respondents shall also submit to U.S. EPA a
-16-

Health and Safety Plan for field activities required by the RD/RA Workplan. The Health and Safety Plan for field activities shall conform to applicable Occupational Safety and Health Administration and U.S. EPA requirements, including but not limited to the regulations at 54 Fed. Reg. 9294.

47. Upon approval of the (Amended) RD/RA Workplan by U.S. EPA, Respondents shall implement the (Amended) RD/RA Workplan in accordance with any and all instructions from the RPM and in accordance with the schedules in the (Amended) RD/RA Workplan. Unless otherwise directed by U.S. EPA, Respondents shall not commence remedial action at the OU prior to approval of the (Amended) RD/RA Workplan. Any noncompliance with the approved (Amended) RD/RA Workplan shall be a violation of this Order.

48. The work performed by Respondents pursuant to this Order shall, at a minimum, achieve the performance standards specified in the Record of Decision and the Statement of Work. Nothing in this Order, or in U.S. EPA's approval of any (amended) workplan or other deliverable, shall be deemed to constitute a warranty or representation of any kind by U.S. EPA that full performance of the remedial design or remedial action will achieve the performance standards set forth in the ROD and in the Statement of Work. Respondents' compliance with such approved documents does not foreclose U.S. EPA from seeking additional work.

49. All materials removed from the Facility shall be disposed of or treated at a facility approved in advance of removal by U.S. EPA's RPM and in accordance with: 1) Section 121(d)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(d)(3); 2) the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (RCRA), 42 U.S.C. § 6901, et seq., as amended; 3) the U.S. EPA Off-Site Rule 40 CFR § 300.440; and 4) all other applicable federal, State, and local requirements. The identity of the receiving facility and state will be determined by Respondents following the award of the contract for remedial action construction. Respondents shall provide written notice to the RPM which shall include all relevant information, including the information required by paragraph 62 below, as soon as practicable after the award of the contract and before the hazardous substances are actually shipped off-site.

50. Prior to any off-site shipment of hazardous substances from the OU to an out-of-state waste management facility, Respondents shall provide written notification to the appropriate state environmental official in the receiving state and to U.S. EPA's RPM of such shipment of hazardous substances. However, the notification of shipments to the state shall not apply to any off-site shipments when the total volume of all shipments from the site to the state will not exceed ten (10) cubic yards. The notification shall be in writing, and shall include the following information, where available: (1) the name and location of the facility to which the hazardous substances are to be shipped; (2) the type and quantity of the hazardous substances to be shipped; (3) the expected schedule for the shipment of the hazardous substances; and (4) the method of transportation. Respondents shall notify the receiving state of major changes in the shipment plan, such as a decision to ship the hazardous substances to another facility within the same state, or to a facility in another state.

3-

÷ 1 ...,

51. Respondents shall cooperate with U.S. EPA in providing information regarding the work to the public. When requested by U.S. EPA, Respondents shall participate in the preparation of such information for distribution to the public and in public meetings which may be held or sponsored by U.S. EPA to explain activities at or relating to the OU.

52. Within 30 days of a successful final inspection, Settling Defendants shall submit a Construction Completion Report. In the report, a registered professional engineer and the Settling Defendants' Project Coordinator shall certifying that the remedial action has been completed in full satisfaction of the requirements of this Order. The written report shall include as-built drawings signed and stamped by a professional engineer. If, after review of the Construction Completion Report, U.S. EPA determines that the remedial action or any portion thereof has not been completed in accordance with this Order, U.S. EPA shall notify Respondents in writing of the activities that must be undertaken to complete the remedial action and shall set forth in the notice a schedule for performance of such activities. Respondents shall perform all activities described in the notice in accordance with the specifications and schedules established therein. If U.S. EPA concludes, following the initial or any subsequent certification of completion by Respondents that the remedial action has been fully performed in accordance with this Order, U.S. EPA may notify Respondents that the remedial action has been fully performed. U.S. EPA's notification shall be based on present knowledge and Respondent's certification to U.S. EPA, and shall not limit U.S. EPA's right to perform periodic reviews pursuant to § 121(c) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(c), or to take or require any action that in the judgment of U.S. EPA is appropriate at the site, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. §§ 9604, 9606, or 9607.

## **VIII. PERIODIC REVIEW**

53. Under § 121(c) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(c), and any applicable regulations, where hazardous substances will remain on site at the completion of the remedial action, U.S. EPA may review the OU to assure that the work performed pursuant to this Order adequately protects human health and the environment. Until such time as U.S. EPA certifies completion of the work, Respondents shall conduct the requisite studies, investigations, or other response actions as determined necessary by U.S. EPA in order to permit U.S. EPA to conduct the review under § 121(c) of CERCLA. As a result of any review performed under this paragraph, Respondents may be required to perform additional work or to modify work previously performed.

# IX. ASSURANCE ABILITY TO COMPLETE WORK

54. Within 30 days of the effective date of this Order, the Respondents shall establish assurance of its ability to complete the work herein in one or more of the following forms:

a. A surety bond guaranteeing performance of the Work;

b. One or more irrevocable letters of credit equaling the total estimated cost of the Work (\$26,500,000);

c. A trust fund;

d. A guarantee to perform the Work by one or more parent corporations or subsidiaries, or by one or more unrelated corporations that have a substantial business relationship with at least one of the Respondents;

-18-

e. A demonstration that one or more of the Respondents satisfy the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 264.143(f).

f. Submittal to U.S. EPA of annual reports of each of the Respondents which are publicly-traded on the New York Stock Exchange. Until U.S. EPA has issued a Certification of Completion of the Interim Remedial Action pursuant to the Paragraph 98, each of the publicly-traded Respondents shall continue to submit an annual report for the corresponding year each year on the anniversary date of the issuance of Order.

55. If the Respondents seek to demonstrate the ability to complete the Work through a guarantee by a third party, Respondents shall demonstrate that the guarantor satisfies the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 264.143(f). If Respondents seek to demonstrate their ability to complete the Work by means of the financial test or the corporate guarantee pursuant to this Section they shall resubmit sworn statements conveying the information required by 40 C.F.R. Part 264.143(f) annually, on the anniversary of the effective date. In the event that U.S. EPA, (after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State), determines at any time that the financial assurances provided pursuant to this Section are inadequate, Respondents shall, within 30 days of receipt of notice of EPA's determination, obtain and present to EPA for approval one of the other forms of financial assurance listed in this Section of this Order. Respondents' inability to demonstrate financial ability to complete the Work shall not excuse performance of any activities required under this Order.

56. If Respondents can show that the estimated cost to complete the remaining Work has diminished below the amount set forth in this Section above after entry of this Order, Respondents may, on any anniversary date of entry of this Order, or at any other time agreed to by the Parties, reduce the amount of the financial security provided under this Section to the estimated cost of the remaining work to be performed. Respondents shall submit a proposal for such reduction to U.S. EPA, in accordance with the requirements of this Section, and may reduce the amount of the security upon approval by EPA. In the event of a dispute, Respondents may reduce the amount of the security in accordance with the final administrative or judicial decision resolving the dispute.

57. Respondents may change the form of financial assurance provided under this Section at any time, upon notice to and approval by U.S. EPA, provided that the new form of assurance meets the requirements of this Section. In the event of a dispute, Respondents may change the form of the financial assurance only in accordance with the final administrative or judicial decision resolving the dispute.

# X. ADDITIONAL RESPONSE ACTIONS

58. In the event that U.S. EPA determines that additional work or modifications to work are necessary to meet performance standards, to maintain consistency with the final remedy, or to otherwise protect human health or the environment, U.S. EPA will notify Respondents that additional response actions are necessary. U.S. EPA may also require Respondents to modify any plan, design, or other deliverable required by this Order, including any approved modifications.

1.44

17 - 246 17

59. Within thirty (30) days of receipt of notice from U.S. EPA that additional response activities are necessary, Respondents shall submit for approval an Additional RD/RA Workplan pursuant to paragraph 42 herein. The Additional RD/RA Workplan shall conform to this Order's requirements for RD and RA Workplans. Upon U.S. EPA's approval of the (Amended) Additional RD/RA Workplan, the (Amended) Additional RD/RA Workplan shall become an enforceable part of this Order, and Respondents shall implement the (Amended) Additional RD/RA Workplan for additional response activities in accordance with the standards, specifications, and schedule contained therein. Failure to submit an Additional RD/RA Workplan shall constitute noncompliance with this Order.

# XI. ENDANGERMENT AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE

60. In the event of any event during the performance of the work which causes or threatens to cause a release of a hazardous substance or which may present an immediate threat to public health or welfare or the environment, Respondents shall immediately take all appropriate action to prevent, abate, or minimize the threat, and shall immediately notify U.S. EPA's RPM or alternate RPM. If neither of these persons is available Respondents shall notify the Regional Deputy Officer, U.S. EPA Emergency Response Branch, Region 5, at (312) 353-2318. Respondents shall take further action in consultation with U.S. EPA's RPM and in accordance with all applicable provisions of this Order, including but not limited to the health and safety plan and the contingency plan. In the event that Respondents fails to take appropriate response action as required by this paragraph, and U.S. EPA takes that action instead, Respondents shall reimburse U.S. EPA for all costs of the response action not inconsistent with the NCP. Respondents shall pay the response costs in the manner described in section XX (reimbursement of response costs) of this Order, within thirty (30) days of U.S. EPA's demand for payment.

61. Nothing in the preceding paragraph 60 shall be deemed to limit any authority of the United States to take, direct, or order all appropriate action to protect human health and the environment or to prevent, abate, or minimize an actual or threatened release of hazardous substances on, at, or from the OU or the Site.

# XII. PROGRESS REPORTS

62. In addition to the other deliverables set forth in this Order, Respondents shall provide monthly progress reports to U.S. EPA and Illinois EPA with respect to actions and activities undertaken pursuant to this Order. The progress reports shall be submitted on or before the 10th day of each month following the effective date of this Order. Respondents' obligation to submit progress reports continues until U.S. EPA gives Respondents written notice under paragraph 98 of this Order. At a minimum these progress reports shall: (1) describe the actions which have been taken to comply with this Order during the prior month; (2) include all results of sampling and tests and all other data received by Respondents and not previously submitted to U.S. EPA; (3) describe all work planned for the next 90-days with schedules relating such work to the overall project schedule for RD/RA completion; and (4) describe all problems encountered and any anticipated problems, any actual or anticipated delays, and solutions developed and implemented to address any actual or anticipated problems or delays.

# XIII. QUALITY ASSURANCE, SAMPLING AND DATA ANALYSIS

63. Respondents shall use the quality assurance, quality control, and chain of custody procedures described in the "U.S. EPA NEIC Policies and Procedures Manual," May 1978, revised May 1986, U.S. EPA-330/9-78-001-R; U.S. EPA's "Guidelines and Specifications for Preparing Quality Assurance Program Documentation," June 1, 1987; U.S. EPA's "Data Quality Objective Guidance," (U.S. EPA/540/G87/003 and 004), and any amendments to these documents, while conducting all sample collection and analysis activities required herein by any plan. To provide quality assurance and maintain quality control, Respondents shall do the following:

a. Prior to the commencement of any sampling and analysis under this Order, Respondents shall submit a Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) to the U.S. EPA and Illinois EPA that is consistent with the SOW, (amended) workplans, U.S. EPA's "Interim Guidelines and Specifications For Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans" (QAM-OO5/8O), and any subsequent amendments;

b. Prior to the development and submittal of a QAPP, Respondents shall attend a pre-QAPP meeting sponsored by U.S. EPA to identify all monitoring and data quality objectives. U.S. EPA, after review of the submitted QAPP, will either approve, conditionally approve, or disapprove the QAPP. Upon notification of conditional or disapproval, Respondents shall make all required modifications to the QAPP within twenty-one (21) days of receipt of such notification.

c. Respondents shall use only laboratories which have a documented Quality Assurance Program that complies with U.S. EPA guidance document QAMS-005/80 and subsequent amendments.

d. Ensure that the laboratory used by the Respondents for analyses, performs according to a method or methods deemed satisfactory to U.S. EPA and submits all protocols to be used for analyses to U.S. EPA at least 30 days before beginning analysis.

e. Ensure that U.S. EPA personnel and U.S. EPA's authorized representatives are allowed access to the laboratory and personnel utilized by the Respondents for analyses.

64. Respondents shall notify U.S. EPA and Illinois EPA not less than fourteen (14) days in advance of any sample collection activity. At the request of U.S. EPA, Respondents shall allow U.S. EPA or its authorized representatives to take split or duplicate samples of any samples collected by Respondents with regard to the OU or pursuant to the implementation of this Order. In addition, U.S. EPA shall have the right to take any additional samples that U.S. EPA deems necessary.

# XIV. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS

65. All activities by Respondents pursuant to this Order shall be performed in accordance with the requirements of all federal and State laws and regulations. U.S. EPA has determined that the activities contemplated by this Order are consistent with the National Contingency Plan.

66. Except as provided in § 121(e) of CERCLA and the NCP, no permit shall be required for any portion of the work conducted entirely on-site. Where any portion of the work requires a federal or State permit, Respondents shall submit timely applications and take all other actions necessary to obtain and to comply with all such permits or approvals. Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 21 of 62 Page ID #3992

-21-

67. This Order is not and shall not be construed to be, a permit issued pursuant to any federal or State statute or regulation.

## XV. REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGER (RPM)

68. All communications, whether written or oral, from Respondents to U.S. EPA shall be directed to U.S. EPA's RPM. Respondents shall submit to U.S. EPA three (3) copies of all documents, including plans, reports, and other correspondence, which are developed pursuant to this Order, and shall send these documents by certified mail, return receipt requested postmarked no later than the relevant due date of such documents. U.S. EPA's RPM is:

Mike Ribordy 77 West Jackson Blvd., SR-6J Chicago, IL 60604-3590 (312) 886-4592

U.S. EPA's Alternate RPM is: Ross Del Rosario 77 West Jackson Blvd., SR-6J Chicago, IL 60604-3590

The State Agency contact person is: Sandra Bron Illinois EPA Bureau of Land 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276 (217) 557-3199

69. U.S. EPA may change its RPM or Alternate RPM. If U.S. EPA changes its RPM or Alternate RPM, U.S. EPA will inform Respondents in writing of the name, address, and telephone number of the new RPM or Alternate RPM.

70. U.S. EPA's RPM and Alternate RPM shall have the authority lawfully vested in an RPM and On-Scene Coordinator (OSC) by the National Contingency Plan. U.S. EPA's RPM or Alternate RPM shall have authority, consistent with the NCP, to halt any work required by this Order, and to take any necessary response action.

# XVI. PROJECT COORDINATOR AND CONTRACTORS

71. All aspects of the Work to be performed by Respondents pursuant to this Order shall be under the direction and supervision of a Project Coordinator qualified to undertake and complete the requirements of this Order. The Project Coordinator shall be the RPM's primary point of contact with the Respondents and shall possess sufficient technical expertise regarding all aspects of the work. Within fifteen (15) days after the effective date of this Order, Respondents shall notify U.S. EPA in writing of the name and qualifications of the Project Coordinator, including primary support entities and staff, proposed to be used in carrying out work under this Order. U.S. EPA reserves the right to disapprove the proposed Project Coordinator.

72. Within thirty (30) days after U.S. EPA approves the Final Design, Respondents shall identify a proposed construction contractor and notify U.S. EPA in writing of the name, title, and qualifications of the construction contractor proposed to be used in carrying out work under this Order.

73. Respondents shall submit a copy of the construction contractor solicitation documents to U.S. EPA not later than five (5) days after publishing the solicitation documents. Upon U.S. EPA's request, Respondents shall submit complete copies of all bid packages received from all contract bidders.

74. At least seven (7) days prior to commencing any work at the OU pursuant to this Order, Respondents shall submit to U.S. EPA a certification that Respondents or their contractors and subcontractors have adequate insurance coverage or have indemnification for liabilities for injuries or damages to persons or property which may result from the activities to be conducted by or on behalf of Respondents pursuant to this Order. Respondents shall ensure that such insurance or indemnification is maintained for the duration of the work required by this Order.

75. U.S. EPA retains the right to disapprove of the Project Coordinator and any contractor, including but not limited to remedial design contractors and construction contractors retained by the Respondents. In the event U.S. EPA disapproves a Project Coordinator or contractor, Respondents shall retain a new project coordinator or contractor to perform the work, and such selection shall be made within fifteen (15) days following the date of U.S. EPA's disapproval. If at any time Respondents propose to use a new project coordinator or contractor, Respondents shall notify U.S. EPA of the identity of the new project coordinator or contractor at least fifteen (15) days before the new project coordinator or contractor performs any work under this Order.

# XVII. SITE ACCESS AND DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY

76. In the event that the OU, the off-site areas that is to be used for access, property where documents required to be prepared or maintained by this Order are located, or other property subject to or affected by this response action, is owned in whole or in part by parties other than those bound by this Order, Respondents will obtain, or use their best efforts to obtain, site access agreements from the present owner(s), within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this Order. Said agreements shall provide access for U.S. EPA, its contractors and oversight officials, the State and its contractors, and Respondents or Respondents' authorized representatives and

contractors. Said agreements shall specify that Respondents are not U.S. EPA's representative with respect to liability associated with site activities. Copies of such agreements shall be provided to U.S. EPA prior to Respondents' initiation of field activities. Respondents' best efforts shall include providing reasonable compensation to any off-site property owner. If access agreements are not obtained within the time referenced above, Respondents shall immediately notify U.S. EPA of its failure to obtain access.

77. If Respondents cannot obtain the necessary access agreements, U.S. EPA may exercise non-reviewable discretion and; (1) use its legal authorities to obtain access for the Respondents; (2) conduct response actions at the property in question; or (3) terminate this Order. If U.S. EPA conducts a response action and does not terminate the Order, Respondents shall perform all other activities not requiring access to that property. Respondents shall integrate the results of any such tasks undertaken by U.S. EPA into its reports and deliverables. Respondents shall reimburse U.S. EPA pursuant to Section XX of this Order (Reimbursement of Response Costs) for all response costs (including attorney fees) incurred by the United States to obtain access for Respondents.

78. Respondents shall allow U.S. EPA and its authorized representatives and contractors to enter and freely move about all property at the OU and off-site areas subject to or affected by the work under this Order or where documents required to be prepared or maintained by this Order are located, for the purposes of inspecting conditions, activities, the results of activities, records, operating logs, and contracts related to the OU or Respondents and its representatives or contractors pursuant to this Order; reviewing the progress of the Respondents in carrying out the terms of this Order; conducting tests as U.S. EPA or its authorized representatives or contractors deem necessary; using a camera, sound recording device or other documentary type equipment; and verifying the data submitted to U.S. EPA by Respondents. Respondents shall allow U.S. EPA and its authorized representatives to enter the OU site, to inspect and copy all records, files, photographs, documents, sampling and monitoring data, and other writings related to work undertaken in carrying out this Order. Nothing herein shall limit U.S. EPA's right of entry or inspection authority under federal law, and U.S. EPA retains all of its information gathering and enforcement authorities and rights under CERCLA, RCRA, and any other applicable statutes and regulations.

# XVIII. RECORD PRESERVATION

79. On or before the effective date of this Order, Respondents shall submit a written certification to U.S. EPA that they have not altered, mutilated, discarded, destroyed or otherwise disposed of any records, documents or other information relating to their potential liability with regard to the OU site and OU source areas since the time of their notification of potential liability by U.S. EPA or the State. Respondents shall not dispose of any such documents without prior approval by U.S. EPA. Upon U.S. EPA's request, Respondents shall make all such documents available to U.S. EPA and shall submit a log of any such documents claimed to be privileged for any reason. This privilege log shall list, for each document, the date, author, addressees (including courtesy copies or "cc"s and "bcc"s) and subject matter of the document.

# -24-

80. Respondents shall provide to U.S. EPA upon request, copies of all documents and information within their or their contractors, subcontractors or agents possession or control relating to activities at the OU or to the implementation of this Order, including but not limited to sampling, analysis, chain of custody records, manifests, trucking logs, receipts, reports, traffic routing, correspondence, or other documents or information. Respondents shall also make available to U.S. EPA their employees, agents, or representatives for purposes of investigation, information gathering or testimony concerning the performance of the work.

81. Until six (6) years after U.S. EPA provides notice pursuant to paragraph 98 of this Order, Respondents shall preserve, and shall instruct their contractors and agents to preserve, all documents, records, and information of whatever kind, nature or description relating to the performance of the work. Upon the conclusion of this document retention period, Respondents shall notify the United States at least ninety (60) days prior to the destruction of any such records, documents or information, and, upon request of the United States, Respondents shall deliver all such documents, records and information to U.S. EPA.

82. Respondents may assert a claim of business confidentiality covering part or all of the information submitted to U.S. EPA pursuant to the terms of this Order under 40 C.F.R. § 2.203, provided such claim is not inconsistent with Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(7) or other provisions of law. This claim shall be asserted in the manner described by 40 C.F.R. § 2.203(b) and substantiated by Respondents at the time the claim is made. Information determined to be confidential by U.S. EPA will be given the protection specified in 40 C.F.R. Part 2. If no such claim accompanies the information when it is submitted to U.S. EPA, it may be made available to the public by U.S. EPA or the State without further notice to the Respondents. Respondents shall not assert confidentiality claims with respect to any data or documents related to site conditions, sampling, or monitoring.

83. Respondents shall maintain, for the period during which this Order is in effect, an index of documents that Respondents claim contain confidential business information ("CBI"). The index shall contain, for each document, the date, author, addressee, and subject of the document. Respondents shall submit an updated copy of the index to U.S. EPA with each new document(s) claimed to be CBI. The updated index shall also indicate any documents for which CBI claims have been withdrawn.

# XIX. DELAY IN PERFORMANCE

84. Any delay in performance of this Order according to its terms and schedules that is not properly justified by Respondents under the terms of this section shall be considered a violation of this Order. Any delay in performance of this Order shall not affect Respondents obligations to fully perform all obligations under the terms and conditions of this Order.

85. Respondents shall notify U.S. EPA of any delay or anticipated delay in performing any requirement of this Order. Such notification shall be made by telephone to U.S. EPA's RPM or Alternate RPM within forty eight (48) hours after Respondents first knew or should have known that a delay might occur. Respondents shall adopt all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize

# -25-

any such delay. Within seven (7) days after notifying U.S. EPA by telephone, Respondents shall provide written notification fully describing the nature of the delay, any justification for delay, any reason why Respondents should not be held strictly accountable for failing to comply with any relevant requirements of this Order, the measures planned and taken to minimize the delay, and a schedule for implementing the measures that will be taken to mitigate the effect of the delay. Increased costs or expenses associated with implementation of the activities called for in this Order is not a justification for any delay in performance.

# **XX. REIMBURSEMENT OF RESPONSE COSTS**

86. Respondents shall reimburse U.S. EPA, upon written demand, for all response costs incurred by the United States in overseeing Respondent's implementation of the requirements of this Order. U.S. EPA may submit to Respondents on a periodic basis an accounting of all oversight response costs incurred by the United States with respect to this Order. U.S. EPA's Itemized Cost Summary Reports, or such other summary as may be certified by U.S. EPA, shall serve as the accounting and basis for payment demands.

87. Respondents shall, within thirty (30) days of receipt of each U.S. EPA accounting, remit a certified or cashier's check for the amount of those costs. Interest shall accrue from the later of the date that payment of a specified amount is demanded in writing or the date of the expenditure. The interest rate is the rate established by the Department of the Treasury pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3717 and 4 C.F.R. § 102.13.

88. Checks shall be made payable to the "U.S. EPA Hazardous Substances Superfund" and shall include the name of the Site and OU, the Site identification number ILD000605790, the account number 05XX and the title of this Order. Checks shall be forwarded to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Superfund Accounting P.O. Box 70753 Chicago, Illinois 60673

Respondents shall send copies of each transmittal letter and check to the U.S. EPA's RPM.

# XXI. UNITED STATES NOT LIABLE

89. The United States and U.S. EPA are not to be construed as parties to, and do not assume any liability for, any contract entered into by the Respondents to carry out the activities pursuant to this Order. The proper completion of the work under this Order is solely the responsibility of the Respondents. The United States and U.S. EPA, by issuance of this Order, also assume no liability for any injuries or damages to persons or property resulting from acts or omissions by Respondents, or (their) directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, successors, assigns, contractors, or consultants in carrying out any action or activity required by this Order.

#### -26-

# XXII. ENFORCEMENT AND RESERVATIONS

90. U.S. EPA reserves the right to bring an action against Respondents under Section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607, for recovery of any response costs incurred by the United States related to this Order and not reimbursed by Respondents. This reservation shall include but not be limited to past costs, direct costs, indirect costs, the costs of oversight, the costs of compiling the cost documentation to support oversight cost demand, as well as accrued interest as provided in Section 107(a) of CERCLA.

91. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Order, at any time during the response action, U.S. EPA may perform its own studies, complete the response action (or any portion of the response action) as provided in CERCLA and the NCP, and seek reimbursement from Respondents for its costs, or seek any other appropriate relief.

92. Nothing in this Order shall preclude U.S. EPA from taking any additional enforcement actions, including modification of this Order or issuance of additional Orders, and/or additional remedial or removal actions as U.S. EPA may deem necessary, or from requiring Respondents in the future to perform additional activities pursuant to CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9606(a), et seq., or any other applicable law. This Order shall not affect any Respondent's liability under CERCLA Section 107(a), 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), for the costs of any such additional actions.

93. Notwithstanding any provision of this Order, the United States hereby retains all of its information gathering, inspection and enforcement authorities and rights under CERCLA, RCRA and any other applicable statutes or regulations.

94. Nothing in this Order shall constitute or be construed as a release from any claim, cause of action or demand in law or equity against any person for any liability it may have arising out of or relating in any way to the OU or the Site.

95. If a court issues an order that invalidates any provision of this Order or finds that Respondents have sufficient cause not to comply with one or more provisions of this Order, Respondents shall remain bound to comply with all provisions of this Order not invalidated by the court's order.

# XXIII. ACCESS TO ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

96 The Section 106 Administrative Record is available for review on normal business days between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. at the U.S. EPA, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, Illinois. An Index of the Administrative Record is attached hereto as Attachment 3.

# XXIV: EFFECTIVE DATE AND TERMINATION

# 97. This Order shall become effective thirty (30) days after the date of issuance.

98. Within thirty (30) days after Respondents concludes that all phases of the work have been fully performed, that the performance standards have been attained, and that all operation and maintenance activities have been completed, Respondents shall ... mit to U.S. EPA a written report by a registered professional engineer certifying that the work has been completed in full satisfaction of the requirements of this Order. U.S. EPA shall require such additional activities as may be necessary to complete the work or U.S. EPA may, based upon present knowledge and Respondent's certification to U.S. EPA, issue written notification to Respondents that the work has been completed, as appropriate, in accordance with the procedures set forth in paragraph 52 for Respondent's certification of completion of the remedial action. U.S. EPA's notification shall not limit U.S. EPA's right to perform periodic reviews pursuant to Section 121(c) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(c), or to take or require any action that in the judgment of U.S. EPA is appropriate at the Site, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. §§ 9604, 9606, or 9607. The provisions of this Order shall be deemed to be satisfied when U.S. EPA notifies Respondents in writing that Respondents have demonstrated, to U.S. EPA's satisfaction, that all terms of the Order have been completed. This notice shall not, however, terminate Respondents obligation to comply with Section XVIII of this Order (Record Preservation).

## XXV. NOTICE OF INTENT TO COMPLY

99. On or before the effective date of this Order, each Respondent must submit to U.S. EPA a written notice stating its unequivocal intention to comply with all terms of this Order, together with the written notice required by paragraph 79. In the event any Respondent fails to provide said written notice of its unequivocal intention to comply with this Order on or before the effective date, said Respondent shall be deemed to have refused to comply with this Order. A Respondent which fails to provide timely notice of its intent to comply with this Order shall thereafter have no authority to perform any response action at the Site, pursuant to Sections 104(a) and 122(e)(6) of CERCLA. In the event such a Respondent subsequently changes its decision and desires to acquire authority from U.S. EPA under Sections 104(a) and 122(e)(6) of CERCLA to undertake the work described in this Order, said Respondent must provide the notice described in this paragraph 99 to U.S. EPA and receive from U.S. EPA written permission and authority to proceed with work under this Order.

# XXVI. PENALTIES

100. Each Respondent shall be subject to civil penalties under Section 106(b) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9606(b), of not more than \$27,500 for each day in which said Respondent violates, or fails or refuses to comply with this Order without sufficient cause. In addition, failure to properly provide response action under this Order, or any portion hereof, may result in liability under Section 107(c)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(c)(3), for punitive damages in an amount at least equal to, and not more than three times the amount of any costs incurred by the Fund as a result of such failure to take proper action.

-28-

# XXVII. OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT AND CONFER

101. On or before the effective date of this Order. each Respondent may submit written comments to U.S. EPA. Respondents asserting a "sufficient cause" defense under Section 106(b) of CERCLA shall describe the nature of the any "sufficient cause" defense using facts that exist on or prior to the effective date of this Order. The absence of a response by U.S. EPA shall not be deemed to be acceptance of Respondent's assertions.

102. Within ten (10) days after the date of issuance of this Order, Respondents may request a conference with the U.S. EPA to discuss this Order. If requested, the conference shall occur with 20 (twenty) days of the date of issuance of this Order, at the office of U.S. EPA, Region 5, in Chicago, Illinois.

103. The purpose and scope of the conference shall be limited to issues involving the implementation of the response actions required by this Order and the extent to which Respondents intends to comply with this Order. This conference is not an evidentiary hearing and does not constitute a proceeding to challenge this Order. It does not give Respondents a right to seek review of this Order or to seek resolution of potential liability. No record of the conference (e.g. stenographic, tape or other physical record) will be made. At any conference held pursuant to Respondent's request, Respondents may appear in person or by an attorney or other representative. Requests for a conference must be by telephone followed by written confirmation to U.S. EPA's RPM.

# ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER FOR SAUGET AREA 2 GROUNDWATER INTERIM ACTION, Docket No.

<u>f1</u>. So Ordered, this **3**° day of September, 2002.

BY:

William E. Muno, Director Superfund Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 29 of 62 Page ID #4000

# ATTACHMENT 1

Note: inclusion on or exclusion from the list does not constitute a final determination by the Agency concerning the liability of any party for remediation of OU site conditions or payment of past costs.

A-1 Oil Corporation Aalco Wrecking & Supply Co. Abco Trash Service & Equipment Company Alton & Southern Railroad Phelps Dodge Corporation (formerly known as or successor to Cyprus Amax Minerals Co., Amax Zinc) American Zinc Company (also known as or predecessor to American Zinc, Lead and Smelting Co.; Gold Fields America Corp; Blue Tee Corp.) **Barry Weinmiller Steel Fabrication** Bi-State Transit Co. **Bi-State Parks Airport Bi-State Development Agency** Bliss Waste Oil Inc. Blue Tee Corp. Browning Ferris Industries of North America - successor to: C&E Hauling **Cahokia Trust Properties** Cargill Inc. **Century Electric** Century Foundry Cerro Copper Products Company Chemical Waste Management Inc. Clayton Chemical Limited Liability Corp. Con-Agra, Inc. Corkery Fuel Company Crown Cork & Seal Co. Inc. **David Hauling** Dennis Chemical Co. Inc. Disposal Services Co. Dotson Disposal "All" Service **Dow Chemical** Eagle Marine Industries, Inc. (formerly known as Notre Dame Fleeting and Towing Inc., and which merged with Riverport Terminal and Fleeting Inc.) **Edgemont Construction** Edwin Cooper Inc. Eight & Trendy Metal Company **Evans Brothers** Emerald Environmental Group LLC (formerly known as Clayton Chemical) Ethyl Corporation (formerly known as Edwin Cooper Corporation) Ethyl Petroleum Additives, Inc.

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 30 of 62 Page ID #4001

-2-ExxonMobil Corp. (formerly known as Mobil) Fruin-Colnon Corporation The Glidden Co. (formerly known as U.S. Paint) Gold Fields American Corporation Hilltop Hauling Huffmeier Brothers **Illinois Department of Transportation** Industrial Salvage & Disposal Company Inmont Corporation Kerr McGee (formerly known as T.J. Moss and Moss American) Lead and Smelting Company Mallinckrodt Chemical Manor Chemical Midwest Rubber Reclaiming, Division of Empire Chem. Inc. Mobil Oil Corporation Monsanto Chemical Company Olin Corporation **Onyx Environmental Services** Pharmacia Corporation (formerly known as Monsanto Co.) National Vendors Northfolk Southern Corporation Notre Dame Fleeting and Towing Services Patgood, Inc. Peavey Company Phillips Pipe Line Company Pillsbury Company **River Port Terminal and Fleeting Company Rogers Cartage Russell Bliss** Sauget & Co. Sauget Sanitary Development and Research Assn. Paul Sauget Solutia Inc. St. Louis Grain Co. Union Electric d/b/a Ameren UE U.S. Paint Corporation Village of Cahokia Village of Sauget Wiese Planning and Engineering

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 31 of 62 Page ID #4002

# ATTACHMENT 2

# **RECORD OF DECISION**

# SAUGET AREA 2 - GROUNDWATER INTERIM ACTION

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 32 of 62 Page ID #4003

# Record of Decision

for the

# Groundwater Operable Unit (OU-2)

Sauget Area 2 Superfund Site Sauget, Illinois

September 2002

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 33 of 62 Page ID #4004

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Section |  |    |
|---------|--|----|
| PAR     | I 1: THE DECLARATION                                 | 1  |
| PAR     | F 2: THE DECISION SUMMARY                            | 5  |
| 1.      | SITE NAME, LOCATION, AND BRIEF DESCRIPTION           | 5  |
| 2.      | SITE HISTORY AND ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES              | 6  |
| 3.      | COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION                              | 11 |
| 4.      | SCOPE AND ROLE OF RESPONSE ACTION                    | 12 |
| 5.      | SITE CHARACTERISTICS                                 | 13 |
| 6.      | CURRENT AND POTENTIAL FUTURE SITE AND RESOURCES USES | 26 |
| 7.      | SUMMARY OF SITE RISKS                                | 28 |
| 8.      | INTERIM REMEDIATION OBJECTIVES                       | 46 |
| 9.      | DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES                          | 47 |
| 10.     | COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES                 | 49 |
| 11.     | SELECTED REMEDY                                      | 54 |
| 12.     | STATUTORY DETERMINATIONS                             | 61 |
|         |  |    |

#### APPENDICES

Appendix A: Part 3 - Responsiveness Summary

Appendix B: Administrative Record Index

Appendix C: Presumptive Response Strategy and Ex-Situ Treatment Technologies for Contaminated Ground Water at CERCLA Sites - Final Guidance Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 34 of 62 Page ID #4005

#### LIST OF FIGURES

- 1-1 Sauget Area 2 Site Location Map
- 5-1 Conceptual Site Model for Human Health Risk Assessment
- 5-2 Aquatic Conceptual Site Model for the Mississippi River Cological Risk Assessment
- 5-3 Aquatic Conceptual Site Model for the Ponded Area Ecological Risk Assessment
- 5-4 Terrestrial Conceptual Site Model for the Ecological Risk Assessment
- 5-5 Cross Sections of the Valley Fill East St. Louis Area, Illinois
- 5-6 Geologic Cross Section and Piezometric Profile of the Valley Fill
- 5-7 Site Locus, W.G. Krummrich Plan Ecological Risk Assessment
- 5-8 PDA Transect Layout, W.G. Krummrich Plant Ecological Risk Assessment
- 5-9 PDA Transect Layout (Schematic), W.G. Krummrich Plant -Ecological Risk Assessment
- 5-10 PDA, UDA and DDA Locus Map, W.G. Krummrich Plant -Ecological Risk Assessment

5-11 EPA Sediment Sampling Locations Adjacent to Site R

- 5-12 EPA Upstream and Downstream Sediment Sampling Locations
- 5-13 Total VOC Concentrations Shallow Hydrogeologic Unit
- 5-14 Total VOC Concentrations Middle Hydrogeologic Unit
- 5-15 Total VOC Concentrations Deep Hydrogeologic Unit
- 5-16 Total SVOC Concentrations Shallow Hydrogeologic Unit
- 5-17 Total SVOC Concentrations Middle Hydrogeologic Unit
- 5-18 Total SVOC Concentrations Deep Hydrogeologic Unit

iv

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 35 of 62 Page ID #4006

Sec. 5

5-19 Sauget Area 2 Total VOC Concentrations in Shallow Wells

- 5-20 Sauget Area 2 Total VOC Concentrations in Intermediate/Deep Wells
- 5-21 Sauget Area 2 Total BNA Concentrations in Shallow Wells
- 5-22 Sauget Area 2 Total BNA Concentrations in Intermediate/Deep Wells
- 9-1 Groundwater Alternative 2 Physical Barrier

9-2 Groundwater Alternative 3 - Hydraulic Barrier

#### LIST OF TABLES

5-1 Surface Water Analytical Data Summary

. .

5-2 Sediment Analytical Data Summary

5-3 Whole Body Fish Tissue Analytical Data Summary

5-4 Fish Tissue Analytical Data Comparison - Species and Area

5-5 Surface Water and Sediment Toxicity Data Summary

5-6 Summary of Benthic Invertebrate Community Data

- 5-7 EPA Sediment Sampling Data
- 7-1 Maximum Detected Concentrations of Constituents Present in Whole Body Fish Tissue Samples

11-1 Groundwater Alternative 2 - Physical Barrier Cost Estimate

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 36 of 62 Page ID #4007

#### PART I: THE DECLARATION OF THE RECORD OF DECISION

#### SITE NAME AND LOCATION

The Sauget Area 2 Site (Site) covers approximately 312 acres and is located within the corporate boundaries of Cahokia, East St. Louis, and Sauget, Illinois, in the flood plain bordering the eastern edge of the Mississippi River. The Site is east of the Mississippi River and south of the MacArthur bridge railroad tracks (Figure 1-1). The Site is west of Route 3 (Mississippi Avenue) and north of Cargill Road. The Sauget Area 2 Site consists of five inactive disposal sites: Site O, Site P, Site Q, Site R and Site S.

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) identification number for Sauget Area 2 is ILD000605790.

#### STATEMENT OF BASIS AND PURPOSE

This Record of Decision (ROD) presents the selected interim groundwater remedy for the Sauget Area 2 site. This ROD has been developed in accordance with the requirements of the Comprehensive Environmental, Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) of 1980, 42 U.S.C. § 9601 et seq. as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA), and, to the extent practicable, the National Oil and Hazardous Substance Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP), 40 CFR Part 300. This decision selecting the interim groundwater remedy (Response Action) is based on the Administrative Record for the Sauget Area 2 Site. The Administrative Record Index (Appendix B to the ROD) identifies each of the items comprising the Administrative Record upon which the selection of the remedial action is based.

The State of Illinois has indicated its intention to concur with the Selected Remedy. The Letter of Concurrence will be added to the Administrative Record upon receipt.

#### ASSESSMENT OF THE SITE

The response action selected in this ROD is necessary to protect the public health or welfare or the environment from actual or threatened releases of hazardous substances into the environment. Such releases or threat of releases may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to public health, welfare, or the environment. Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 37 of 62 Page ID #4008

# an de

\$14°

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE SELECTED REMEDY

This interim remedial action for the Groundwater Operable Unit (OU-2) addresses the release of contaminated groundwater into the Mississippi River at the Sauget Area 2 site in the vicinity of disposal Site R. The selected remedy includes the installation of a 3,500 foot long, "U"-shaped, fully penetrating, jet grout barrier wall to be installed between the downgradient boundary of Sauget Area 2 Site R and the Mississippi River (See Figure 9-1) to abate the release of impacted groundwater. Three partially penetrating groundwater recovery wells will be installed inside the "U"-shaped barrier wall to control groundwater moving to the Groundwater quality, groundwater level, sediment and wall. surface water monitoring will be used to ensure acceptable performance of the interim groundwater remedy. Extracted groundwater will be treated and ultimately released to the Mississippi River in compliance with all applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements (ARARs).

Final groundwater and source area remedial actions will be addressed under the site-wide Operable Unit (OU-1) for the Sauget Area 2 Site upon completion of the Sauget Area 2 Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) in 2004.

#### STATUTORY DETERMINATIONS

This interim action is protective of human health and the environment in the short term and is intended to provide adequate protection until a final ROD for Sauget Area 2 is signed; complies with (or waives) those Federal and State requirements that are applicable or relevant and appropriate (ARARs) for this limited-scope action; and is cost-effective. Although this interim action is not intended to address fully the statutory mandate for permanence and treatment to the maximum extent practicable, this interim action does utilize treatment and thus is consistent with the statutory mandate. Because this action does not constitute the final remedy for the Sauget Area 2 Groundwater Operable Unit, the statutory preference for remedies that employ treatment that reduces toxicity, mobility, or volume as a principal element, although partially addressed in this remedy, will be addressed by the final response action. A final response action to fully address the threats posed by conditions at the Sauget Area 2 Site will be taken upon completion of the Sauget Area 2 Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) in 2004. Operation of the physical barrier and groundwater extraction system will provide additional information to be used

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 38 of 62 Page ID #4009

## Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

in developing options for a final long-term comprehensive groundwater remedy. Because this remedy will result in hazardous substances remaining on-site above health-based levels, a review will be conducted to ensure that the remedy continues to provide adequate protection. Because this is an interim action ROD, review of this site and remedy will be ongoing as EPA continues to develop remedial alternatives for the Sauget Area 2 Site.

#### ROD DATA CERTIFICATION CHECKLIST

The following information is included in the Decision Summary section of this ROD. Additional information can be found in the Administrative Record for this site.

- Chemicals of concern (COCs) and their respective concentrations (See Sections 7.1.1 and 7.2.3).
- Baseline risk represented by the COCs (See Sections 7.1 and 7.2).
- Cleanup levels established for COCs and the basis for the levels (See Section 11.4).
- Whether source materials constituting principal threats are found at the Site (See Section 4).
- Current and future land and groundwater use assumptions used in the baseline risk assessment and ROD (See Section 6).
- Potential land and groundwater use that will be available at the site as a result of the Selected Remedy (See Sections 6 and 11.4).
- Estimated capital, annual operation and maintenance (O&M), and total present worth costs; discount rate; and the number of years over which the remedy cost estimates are projected (See Section 11.3).
- Key factor(s) that led to selecting the remedy (See Section 10 Comparative Analysis of Alternatives).

3

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 39 of 62 Page ID #4010

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

5

# AUTHORIZING SIGNATURE

 $\{j_{i}^{*}, j_{i}^{*}\}$ 

William E. Muno, director

Superfund Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

**9/30/02** Date

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 40 of 62 Page ID #4011

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

#### PART II: DECISION SUMMARY

## 1. SITE NAME, LOCATION, AND BRIEF DESCRIPTION

The Sauget Area 2 Site covers approximately 312 acres and is located within the corporate boundaries of Cahokia, East St. Louis, and Sauget, Illinois, in the flood plain bordering the eastern edge of the Mississippi River. The Sauget Area 2 Site is east of the Mississippi River, south of the MacArthur bridge railroad tracks, west of Route 3 (Mississippi Avenue) and north of Cargill Road (Figure 1-1). The Sauget Area 2 Site consist of five inactive disposal sites:

#### Site Former Use

#### Municipality

| Site O | Sewage Sludge Dewatering                   | Village of Sauget                               |
|--------|--|---|
| Site P | Municipal and Industrial Waste<br>Disposal | City of East St. Louis<br>and Village of Sauget |
| Site Q | Municipal and Industrial Waste<br>Disposal | Village of Sauget and<br>Village of Cahokia     |
| Site R | Industrial Waste Disposal                  | Village of Sauget                               |
| Site S | Chemical Reprocessing Waste<br>Disposal    | Village of Sauget                               |

The above sites are located in an area historically used for heavy industry, including chemical manufacturing, metal refining, petroleum refining, and power generation and waste disposal. Currently the area is used for heavy industry, warehousing, bulk storage (coal, refined petroleum, lawn and garden products and grain), wastewater treatment, hazardous waste treatment, waste recycling and truck terminals. Four commercial establishments are located at the north end of the Site. No residences are located within the Site. Residential areas closest to Sauget Area 2 are approximately 3,000 feet east of Site P and about 3,000 feet east of Site O. These residential areas are located, respectively, in East St. Louis and Cahokia. The source of drinking water for area residents is an intake in the Mississippi River located approximately 3 miles upstream of the Site.

EPA is the lead agency for this Site and the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) is the support agency involved.

5

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 41 of 62 Page ID #4012

**4**. 12

操行量

## Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

# 2. SITE HISTORY AND ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

#### 2.1 SITE OPERATING HISTORY

Sauget Area 2 consists of five inactive disposal sites: Site O, Site P, Site Q, Site R and Site S. The history of each of these disposal sites is described below.

Site O - Site O, located on Mobile Avenue in Sauget, Illinois, occupies approximately 20 acres of land to the northeast of the American Bottoms Regional Treatment Facility (ABRTF). An access road to the ABRTF runs through the middle of the site. In 1952, the Village of Sauget Waste Water Treatment Plant began operation at this location. In addition to providing treatment for the Village of Sauget, the plant treated effluent from the various Sauget industries. Site O consists of four closed lagoons constructed in 1965 at the Village of Sauget Wastewater Treatment Plant and placed in operation in 1966/1967. Between 1966/67 and approximately 1978, these lagoons were used to dispose of clarifier sludge from the wastewater treatment plant. In 1980, the Village of Sauget closed four clarifier sludge lagoons at Site O by stabilizing the sludge with lime and covering it with approximately two feet of clean, low-permeability soil. Currently, the lagoons are vegetated.

**Site P** - Site P, which is bounded by the Illinois Central Gulf Railroad tracks, the Terminal Railroad Association tracks and Monsanto Avenue, occupies approximately 20 acres of land located in the City of East St. Louis and the Village of Sauget. Operated by Sauget and Company from 1973 to approximately 1984, Site P was an IEPA-permitted landfill, accepting general wastes, including diatomaceous-earth filter cake from Edwin Cooper and non-chemical wastes from Monsanto.

**Site Q** - Site Q, a former subsurface and surface disposal area, occupies approximately 90 acres in the Villages of Sauget and Cahokia. This Site is divided by the Alton and Southern Railroad into a northern portion and a southern portion. The northern portion consists of approximately 65 acres bordered on the north by Site R and Monsanto Avenue. The northern portion is bordered on the south by the main track of the Alton and Southern Railroad and property owned by Patgood Inc. On the east, the northern portion of the site is bordered by the Illinois Gulf Central Railroad and the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) flood control levee and on the west the Site is bordered by the Mississippi River. The northern portion of Site Q that wraps around the Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 42 of 62 Page ID #4013

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

eastern boundary of Site R is known as the "dogleg" portion of Site Q.

The southern portion consists of approximately 25 acres, north of Cargill Road and south of the Alton and Southern Railroad. The southern portion is bounded on the west by a 10-ft wide easement owned by Union Electric for transmission lines and a spur track of the Alton and Southern Railroad to the Fox Terminal. A barge terminal operated by St. Louis Grain Company is located between the Union Electric easement, the spur track and the Mississippi River. Southern Site Q is bordered on the east by the Illinois Central Gulf Railroad and the flood control levee.

Disposal started at Site Q in the 1950s and continued until the 1970s. Allegedly, Sauget and Company started operation of a landfill south of the River Terminal in 1966 and terminated operations in 1973. This facility took various wastes including municipal waste, septic tank pumpings, drums, organic and inorganic wastes, solvents, pesticides and paint sludges. It also took plant trash from Monsanto, waste from other industrial facilities and demolition debris.

Site R - Site R, a closed industrial-waste disposal area owned by Solutia Inc, is located between the flood control levee and the Mississippi River in Sauget, Illinois. Its northern border is Monsanto Avenue and its southern border is Site Q. This site is now known as the "River's Edge Landfill". The former landfill occupies approximately 22 acres of the 36-acre site. A portion of Site Q, known as the "Dog Leg", is located to the east of Site R.

Industrial Salvage and Disposal, Inc. (ISD) operated the River's Edge Landfill for Monsanto from 1957 to 1977. Hazardous and nonhazardous bulk liquid and solid chemical wastes and drummed chemical wastes from Monsanto's W.G. Krummrich plant and, to a lesser degree, it's Queeny plant in St. Louis were disposed at Disposal began in the northern portion of the site and Site R. expanded southward. Wastes contained phenols, aromatic nitro compounds, aromatic amines, aromatic nitro amines, chlorinated aromatic hydrocarbons, aromatic and aliphatic carboxylic acids and condensation products of these compounds. Pursuant to a negotiated agreement with the State of Illinois, Monsanto installed a two to eight foot thick, clay cover on Site R in 1979 to cover the waste, limit infiltration through the landfill and prevent direct contact with the landfill material. In 1985, Monsanto installed a 2,250 foot long rock revetment along the

7

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 43 of 62 Page ID #4014

2.1

# Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

Sec. St.

bank of the Mississippi River downgradient of Site R to prevent erosion of the riverbank and minimize the potential for the release of waste material from the landfill.

**Site S** - Site S, located southwest of Site O, is a small disposal site less than one acre is size. Based on available information, the property is or was owned by the Village of Sauget, Clayton Chemical and the Resource Recovery Group. In the mid-1960s, solvent recovery began on the Clayton Chemical property, which is now owned by the Resource Recovery Group (RRG). The waste solvents were steam-stripped resulting in still bottoms that were allegedly disposed of in a shallow, on-site excavation that is now designated Site S. Historical aerial photographs indicate that Site S was potentially a waste and/or drum disposal area.

## 2.2 ACTIONS TO DATE

# 2.2.1 Site O

In 1980, the Village of Sauget closed four clarifier sludge lagoons at Site O by stabilizing the sludge with lime and covering it with approximately two feet of clean, lowpermeability soil. Currently, the lagoons are vegetated.

# 2.2.2 Site R

In 1979, Monsanto completed the installation of a clay cover on Site R to cover waste, limit infiltration through the landfill, and prevent direct contact with fill material. The cover's thickness ranges from 2 feet to approximately 8 feet. In 1985, Monsanto installed a 2,250-foot long rock revetment along the east bank of the Mississippi River adjacent to Site R. The purpose of the stabilization project was to prevent further erosion of the riverbank and thereby minimize potential for the release of waste material from the landfill. During the 1993 flood, Site R was flooded but the clay cap was not overtopped. No erosion of the riverbank or cap resulted from this flood.

On February 13, 1992, the State of Illinois and Monsanto signed a consent decree entered in St. Clair County Circuit Court requiring further remedial investigations and feasibility studies to be conducted by Monsanto on Site R. The results of the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study were submitted to IEPA in 1994.

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 44 of 62 Page ID #4015

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

# 2.2.3 Site Q

In 1993, during the highest recorded flood in St. Louis' history, Site Q was flooded and river currents unearthed a number of barrels containing hazardous waste. EPA conducted a CERCLA removal action at the northern portion of Site Q in 1995 to stabilize the area scoured by the flood waters.

EPA initiated a second removal action at disposal Site Q on October 18, 1999. The EPA contractor began to excavate site wastes on October 26, 1999 from eight excavation areas of various sizes on approximately 25-acres at the southern end of disposal Site Q. Two waste streams were developed based upon analytical results of the separate waste piles: 1) a low-level PCB waste stream with soil concentrations less than 50 ppm) that was shipped via truck to the Milam Recycling and Disposal Facility located in East St. Louis, Illinois and 2) a PCB waste stream with soil/debris containing greatet than 50 ppm PCBs that was shipped via rail car to the Safety-Kleen Lone & Grassy Mountain facility, located in Waynoka, Oklahoma. One hundred sixty three trucks, each containing approximately 20 tons of low-level PCB waste, were shipped to the Milam disposal facility. One hundred forty one rail cars, each containing approximately 90 tons of PCB waste, were shipped to the Lone Mountain facility. Drums excavated on site were crushed and added to either waste stream. Excavated drums that were void of waste material were added to either PCB waste stream; drums that contained waste were added to the greater than 50 ppm PCB waste stream. On April 5, 2000, removal of site wastes was completed. Approximately 17,032 tons of waste and 3,271 drums were removed from the site. Due to limited resources and the amount of contamination, this removal action did not address all of the contaminants present on disposal Site Q.

#### 2.3 INVESTIGATION HISTORY

Numerous investigations have been conducted at or near the Sauget Area 2 Site prior to the initiation of the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) by a Sauget Area 2 Potentially Responsible Party (PRP) group in 2002.

In 1998, Ecology and Environment (E&E) prepared on behalf of EPA Region 5 the report "Sauget Area 2 Data Tables/Maps for EPA, Region 5". This report summarized existing data for each site along with other information compiled by E&E during its file searches of various agencies and organizations. It contains data

9

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 45 of 62 Page ID #4016

P. ....

÷ %

## Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

from investigations conducted by Clayton Environmental Consultants, Dynamac, E&E, IEPA, Geraghty and Miller, Reidel Industrial Waste Management, Russell and Axon and EPA.

On February 13, 1992, the State of Illinois and Monsanto signed a consent decree entered in St. Clair County Circuit Court requiring further remedial investigations and feasibility studies to be conducted by Monsanto on Site R. The results of the RI/FS were submitted to IEPA in 1994.

A Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Administrative Order on Consent (AOC) signed by Solutia and EPA requires Solutia to complete activities necessary to identify and define the nature and extent of releases of hazardous waste and/or hazardous constituents at or from the W.G. Krummrich Facility. This May 3, 2000, AOC also requires Solutia to prepare a Description of Current Conditions Report, a Groundwater Environmental Indicators Report (EIR) and a Current Human Exposure Environmental Indicators Report. In January and May 2000, Solutia collected groundwater samples from selected existing monitoring wells to determine the areal and vertical distribution of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) in groundwater between its W.G. Krummrich plant and the Mississippi Surface water, sediment and fish sampling conducted in River. the Mississippi River in October and November 2000, demonstrated that groundwater releasing to surface water in the vicinity of Sauget Area 2 disposal Site R is adversely impacting the Mississippi River.

In October and November 2000, EPA collected sediment samples in the Mississippi River in and adjacent to area of suspected groundwater release from Solutia's W.G. Krummrich plant. This work was performed in conjunction with Solutia's implementation of its Surface Water Sampling Plan using the same methods and sampling personnel, methods and equipment.

#### 2.4 ENFORCEMENT HISTORY

On February 13, 1992, the State of Illinois and Monsanto signed a consent decree entered in St. Clair County Circuit Court requiring remedial investigations and feasibility studies to be conducted by Monsanto on Site R. The results of the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study were submitted to Illinois EPA in 1994.

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

On May 3, 2000, EPA and Solutia entered into a RCRA AOC which requires Solutia to complete activities necessary to identify and define the nature and extent of releases of hazardous waste and/or hazardous constituents at or from the W.G. Krummrich Facility. The AOC also requires Solutia to propose, by June 1, 2004, final corrective measures necessary to protect human health and the environment for all current and future unacceptable risks due to releases of hazardous waste or hazardous constituents at or from the facility.

On November 24, 2000, an AOC for the Sauget Area 2 Site, was entered into by EPA and a group of PRPs. The AOC requires the Sauget Area 2 Sites Group to conduct a Remedial Investigation (RI) and to prepare a Feasibility Study (FS). RI sampling activities were initiated in 2002 and will be concluded in November 2002.

On September 13, 2001, EPA proposed adding the Sauget Area 2 Site to the National Priorities List (NPL) of Superfund sites. EPA is the lead regulatory agency for the Sauget Area 2 Site and the IEPA is the support agency.

On November 14, 2001, EPA sent a request to the Sauget Area 2 Sites Group to prepare a focused feasibility study (FFS) for the Groundwater Operable Unit (OU-2) to address the known groundwater contamination problem in the vicinity of Site R. The request was made based on information collected by Solutia and EPA in 2000 and 2001. Solutia prepared an FFS independent of the Sauget Area 2 Sites Group.

#### 3. COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION

This section summarizes the community relations activities by EPA during the remedy selection process. EPA developed a Community Relations Plan (CRP) for the site dated August 25, 2000. The CRP was designed to promote public awareness of cleanup activities and investigations and to promote public involvement in the decision-making process. Community participation activities have included personal interviews, fact sheets, and newspaper notices.

The FFS and Proposed Plan for the Sauget Area 2 Groundwater Interim Action were made available to the public in June 2002. These documents, along with others that form the basis for the cleanup decisions for the site, can be found in the Administrative Record File located at the EPA Region 5 Records Center at 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, Illinois and the 2. 144

144

## Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

Cahokia Public Library District, 140 Cahokia Park Drive, Cahokia, Illinois. Notice of the availability of these two documents was published in the Beleville News Democrat, on June 17, 2002. On June 17, 2002, a fact sheet and a copy of the Proposed Plan were mailed to all individuals on the Sauget Area 2 Site mail list. A public comment period was held from June 17, 2002, to July 17, 2002. An extension to the public comment period was requested. As a result, it was extended to August 16, 2002. A public meeting was held on June 24, 2002, to present the Proposed Plan. Approximately 25 people attended the meeting. EPA's response to comments received during this period is included in the Responsiveness Summary, which is part of this Record of Decision (ROD).

## 4. SCOPE AND ROLE OF RESPONSE ACTION

This interim groundwater remedial action, referred to as Operable Unit 2 (OU-2), is intended to address the adverse impacts from the known groundwater contamination problem in the vicinity of Site R. Based on the currently available groundwater and sediment information, it is apparent that groundwater, with contaminant concentrations above acceptable levels, is being released to the Mississippi River in the vicinity of disposal Site R. An ecological risk assessment performed in June 2001 indicates there is an adverse impact on the Mississippi River resulting from this release. EPA has determined that an interim action is necessary to restrict the migration of the groundwater contamination and mitigate an unacceptable release of contaminated groundwater to surface water 'in the vicinity of disposal Site R. A final remedy for the Sauget Area 2 Site will be selected upon completion of the RI/FS.

With respect to the source areas and principal threats for OU-2, they are not within the scope of this interim groundwater remedial action. The NCP establishes an expectation that EPA will use treatment to address the principal threats posed by a site wherever practical. A principal threat concept is applied to the characterization of "source material" at a Superfund site. A source material is material that includes or contains hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants that act as a reservoir for migration of contaminant to groundwater, surface water or air, or acts as a source for direct exposure. EPA has defined a principal threat wastes as those source materials considered to be highly toxic or highly mobile that generally cannot be reliably contained or would present a significant risk to human health or the environment should exposure occur. The OU-2 source

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

areas likely contain principal threat wastes including dense nonaqueous phase liquids (DNAPLs). The source areas and principal threats will be addressed as part of the final response action for the Sauget Area 2 Site upon completion of the Sauget Area 2 RI/FS in 2004.

#### 5. SITE CHARACTERISTICS

This section summarizes currently available information for the site. The major characteristics of the site and the nature and extent of contamination are summarized below. More detailed information is contained in the FFS, which is contained in the Administrative Record for the Site. See Section 3 for further information on the Administrative Record.

#### 5.1 CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

The Conceptual Site Model (CSM) for Human Health is depicted in Figure 5-1, and for Ecological in Figures 5-2 through 5-4. The CSM identifies potential sources, potential environmental release mechanisms, potential exposure pathways, potential exposure routes, and potential human and ecological receptors. The CSM will be reviewed and modified, as necessary, once the analytical data from the Area 2 RI become available.

Based on all currently available information and for the purpose of this CSM, the sources of contamination in environmental media are various source areas upgradient from the area of impact adjacent to disposal Site R. Disposal areas contributing to the groundwater contamination problem include, but are not limited to, Sauget Area 2 disposal sites O, Q, and R, Sauget Area 1 Site I, the W.G. Krummrich Plant, and the Clayton Chemical Facility. Constituents in the sites have released to soils and from there leached to underlying groundwater. The aquifer beneath the Sauget Area 2 Site consists of three distinct hydrogeologic units: 1) the Shallow Hydrogeologic Unit (SHU) with fine-grained, silty sands, 2) the Middle Hydrogeologic Unit (MHU) with clean, medium to course sand, and 3) the Deep Hydrologic Unit (DHU) with clean, medium to course sand and gravel. The ultimate point of release for these units is the Mississippi River. Leachate migrating from the waste disposal areas enters into these hydrogeologic units and then is released to the river via groundwater. Constituents that are released through groundwater will first pass through the sediments of the river channel prior to entering the water column. Site receptors including outdoor industrial workers, construction/utility workers, and trespassers may come into contact with contaminated soils, ingest soils,

13

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 49 of 62 Page ID #4020

÷ 14.

语为

## Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

inhale VOCs from soils and groundwater. Trespassers and recreational fisherman may come into contact with or ingest surface water or sediment; and the recreational fisherman may consume organisms that have accumulated contamination.

#### 5.2 PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SITE

#### 5.2.1 Surface Features

Sauget Area 2 is situated in a floodplain of the Mississippi River called the American Bottoms. It is located on the eastern side of the river directly opposite St. Louis, Missouri (Figure 1-1). As a whole, the floodplain encompasses 175 square miles, is 30 miles long, and has a maximum width of 11 miles. It is bordered on the west by the Mississippi River and on the east by bluffs that rise 150 to 200 feet above the valley bottom. The floodplain is relatively flat and generally slopes from north to south and from east to west. Land surface lies between 400 and 445 feet above mean sea level (MSL).

Locally, the topography consists of nearly flat bottomland with slight irregularities. Elevations across the study area range from 400 to 430 feet MSL and the land surface trends in a southeastward/northwestward direction. Land surface elevations are highest adjacent to the Mississippi River (EL 430 ft MSL) and decrease to EL 400 to 410 ft MSL approximately 1,000 to 1,500 feet east of the river.

#### 5.2.2 Climate

The National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) describes the areas' climate as modified continental, subject to four-season climate changes without the undue hardship of prolonged periods of extreme heat or high humidity. To the south is the warm, moist air of the Gulf of Mexico; and to the north, in Canada, is a region of cold air masses. The convergence of air masses from these sources, and the conflict on the frontal zones where they come together, produce a variety of weather conditions, none of which are likely to persist for any great length of time.

Winters are brisk and seldom severe. Records since 1870 show that the temperature drops to zero degrees Fahrenheit  $(0^{\circ}F)$  or below on average two to three days per year. The area stays at or below 32°F for less than 25 days in most years. Average snowfall for the area is a little over 18 inches per winter season. Snowfall of an inch or more is received on five to ten

# Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

days in most years. The long-term record for the St. Louis area (since 1870) indicates that temperatures of 90°F or higher occur on about 35 to 40 days per year, and extremely hot days of 100°F or more are expected no more than five days per year.

The normal annual precipitation for the area is slightly less than 34 inches. The winter months are the driest, with an average total of about six (6) inches of precipitation. The spring months of March through May are normally the wettest with normal precipitation of just under 10.5 inches.

#### 5.2.3 Geology

The American Bottoms are underlain by unconsolidated valley fill composed of recent alluvium, known as the Cahokia Alluvium, which overlies a unit of glacial material known as the Henry Formation. The Cahokia Alluvium is approximately 40 feet thick and consists of unconsolidated, poorly+sorted, fine-grained material with some local sand and clay lenses. These alluvial deposits unconformably overlie the Henry Formation, which is composed of medium to coarse sand and gravel that increases in grain size with depth. This unit is approximately 95 feet thick and generally becomes thinner with increasing distance from the Mississippi River.

The valley fill throughout the floodplain is underlain by a bedrock system of Mississippian and Pennsylvanian age. The bedrock consists primarily of limestone and dolomite with some sandstone and shale, and is older in the central and western sections of the American Bottoms.

Cross sections showing regional geology are provided as Figures 5-5 and 5-6.

Two types of water-bearing formations exist in the American Bottoms: unconsolidated and consolidated. The unconsolidated formations (predominantly silt, sand, and gravel) are those that lie between the ground surface and the bedrock/gravel interface. The thickness of the unconsolidated formation varies throughout the area, but is typically estimated to be approximately 100 feet. Finer-grained sediments generally dominate at the ground surface and become coarser and more permeable with depth, creating semi-confined conditions within the aquifer. Thus, permeability and porosity increase in the unconsolidated formation with depth. The consolidated formations are deep bedrock units of limestone and dolomite that exhibit low Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 51 of 62 Page ID #4022

# Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

194 - 194 -

permeability and are not considered to be a significant source for groundwater in the area.

As reported in "Groundwater Management in the American Bottoms, Illinois," hydraulic properties of the unconsolidated aquifer have been determined from 10 aquifer tests and 100 specific capacity tests conducted on industrial, municipal, irrigation and relief wells. The coefficient of storage for the aquifer ranged from 0.002 to 0.155. Reported hydraulic conductivity values average 3,000 gallons per day per square foot (gpd/ft<sup>2</sup>) which is equivalent to  $1.4 \times 10^{-1}$  cm/s.

Recharge to the aquifer occurs through four (4) sources: precipitation, infiltration from the Mississippi River, inflow from the buried valley channel of the Mississippi River, and subsurface flow from the bluffs that border the flood plain on the east.

#### 5.2.4 Hydrology

The Mississippi River, bordering the American Bottoms to the west, is the major surface-water body draining the area. It is fed by a complex network of natural and artificial channels that was extensively improved throughout the 20<sup>th</sup> Century. According to an investigation of groundwater resources conducted by the Illinois State Water Survey Division, at least 40 miles of improved drainage ditch have been constructed and the natural lake area in the center of the flood plain has been reduced by more than 40 percent.

#### 5.2.5 Hydrogeology

Sauget Area 2 is located in the southwestern section of the American Bottoms flood plain. More specifically, it is situated south of East St. Louis, and extends approximately three-quarters to one mile east of the eastern bank of the Mississippi River. The stratigraphy beheath the site is much like that of the rest of the flood plain. The Cahokia Alluvium is about 30 feet thick and is a fine silty sand that is gray and brown in color. Below this, the unconsolidated deposits of the Henry Formation are present. Locally, the Henry Formation is characterized by medium-to-coarse sand that becomes coarser and more permeable with depth. The thickness of this unit ranges from 140 feet near the river to about 100 feet on the east side of the site. The groundwater level is currently between 10 to 20 feet below ground surface, but fluctuates during times of heavy and light precipitation.
#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

Geologic data show that the unconsolidated deposits range from 140 feet thick near the river to about 100 feet in the eastern part of the study area. At most locations, the contact between Cahokia Alluvium and the Henry Formation cannot be distinguished. However, as previously mentioned, three distinct hydrogeologic units can be identified: 1) a shallow hydrogeologic unit (SHU); 2) a middle hydrogeologic unit (MHU); and 3) a deep hydrogeologic unit (DHU). The 20 feet thick SHU includes the Cahokia Alluvium (recent deposits) and the uppermost portion of the Henry This unit is primarily an unconsolidated, fine-Formation. grained silty sand with low to moderate permeability. **The 30** feet thick MHU is formed by the upper to middle, medium to coarse sand portions of the Henry Formation. It contains a higher permeability sand than found in the overlying shallow hydrogeologic unit, and these sands become coarser with depth. At the bottom of the aquifer is the DHU, which includes the high permeability, coarse-grained deposits of the lower Henry This zone is 40 feet thick. In some areas, till Formation. and/or boulder zones were encountered 10 to 15 feet above the bedrock.

During low river stage conditions, groundwater at Sauget Area 2 flows from east to west and releases to the Mississippi River, the natural point of release for groundwater in the American Bottoms aquifer. For example, in October 2001 groundwater elevations in the Middle Hydrogeologic Unit were 394 ft MSL at Route 3 (Mississippi Avenue) and 389 ft. MSL at the downgradient limit of Site R when the average river elevation was 390 ft MSL. When flood stage occurs in the Mississippi River, flow reverses. For example, in November 1985 river stage was 32 to 33 feet above the USACE datum (low flow river stage is 5 to 7 feet above this datum). Groundwater elevation in the MHU at the downgradient edge of Site R was 406 ft. MSL and 394 ft. MSL at Route 3. Under these conditions, groundwater flow was from west to east for a distance of approximately 4,500 feet. Horizontal groundwater gradients beneath Sauget Area 1 average about 0.001 feet per foot (ft/ft) to the west. Downward vertical gradients occur on parts of the site, with varying magnitudes depending on location and season.

Aquifer tests performed over a span of 30 years have established characteristics such as transmissivity, hydraulic conductivity, storage coefficient and groundwater velocity. Tests have been conducted for all three (3) groundwater units and are summarized as follows:

# Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 53 of 62 Page ID #4024

# and the second

W 8 19 5

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

|  | Transmissivity<br>gpd/ft | Hydraulic<br>Conductivity                                  | Storage<br>Coefficient |
|--|--------------------------|--|------------------------|
| S h a l l o w<br>Hydrogeologic<br>Unit | 141.5 gpd/ft             | 9.5 gpd/ft <sup>2</sup><br>(4 x $10^{-4}$ cm/s)            | Not Available          |
| M i d d l e<br>Hydrogeologic<br>Unit   | 165,000 gpd/ft           | 3,300 gpd/ft <sup>2</sup><br>(1.6 x $10^{-1}$ cm/s)        | 0.04                   |
| D e e p<br>Hydrogeologic<br>Unit       | 211,000 gpd/ft           | 2,600 gpd/ft <sup>2</sup><br>(1.2 x 10 <sup>-1</sup> cm/s) | 0.002 - 0.100          |

Note: Results are averages.

Groundwater flow velocity is on the order of 0.02 feet per day (7 feet per year), 4 feet per day (1,500 feet per year) and 6 feet per day (2,200 feet per year), respectively, in the SHU, the MHU and the DHU.

#### 5.3 SUMMARY OF SITE INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES

#### 5.3.1 Nature and Extent of Contaminants

The remedial investigation for the Sauget Area 2 Site is currently ongoing. However, numerous sampling investigation have previously been conducted in the area. Sediment, groundwater, surface water and soil samples have been collected on and adjacent to the Sauget Area 2 Site, and upgradient of the Site. The nature and extent of sediment, groundwater, surface water, and soil contamination is summarized below and discussed in more detail in the FFS.

## 5.3.1.1 Surface Water and Sediments

#### Solutia Surface Water Sampling Plan

An AOC under RCRA requires Solutia to complete activities necessary to identify and define the nature and extent of releases of hazardous waste and/or hazardous constituents at or from the W.G. Krummrich plant. The W.G. Krummrich plant is located upgradient from the groundwater contamination being released to the Mississippi River adjacent to Sauget Area 2 disposal Site R and the resulting impact area. The W.G. Krummrich plant currently produces primarily monochlorobenzene. The plant began operations in Sauget in the early 1900's, and has produced a wide variety of products in the past including: adipic acid, alkylbenzene, aroclors, benzyl chloride, calcium benzene

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

sulfonate, caustic soda, chlorine, chlorinated cyanuric acid, chlorobenzenes, chlorophenols, 2,4-D, fatty acid chloride, monochloroacetic acid, muriatic acid, nitric acid, nitric cake, nitroaniline, nitrodiphenylamine, nitrophenol, phenol, phosphoric acid, phosphorus halides, potash, potassium phenyl acetate, salt cake, Santicizer-160, Santoflex, Santolube 393, Santomerse #1, sulfuric acid, 2,4,5-T, tricresyl phosphate and zinc chloride.

Elevated levels of VOCs and SVOCs are located in groundwater at the plant. The following have been found in concentrations higher than the IEPA Tiered Approach to Cleanup Objectives (TACO) Tier 1 Industrial Criteria, are listed below:

#### VOCE

#### SVOCE

Benzene Chlorobenzene 1,2-Dichloroethene Ethylbenzene Methyl Isobutyl Ketone Methylene Chloride Toluene 1,1,1-Trichloroethane Xylene Vinyl Chloride Chloroaniline Chlorophenol Dichlorobenzene Dichlorophenol Naphthalene Nitroaniline Nitrobenzene Nitrobiphenyl Nitrophenol Pentachlorophenol Phenol Trichlorobenzene Trichlorophenol

Pursuant to the RCRA AOC, Solutia submitted a Description of Current Conditions Report, which included a Site Sampling Plan, to EPA on August 1, 2000. Surface Water, Groundwater and Soil Sampling Plans were included in the Site Sampling Plan. Pursuant to this plan, Solutia conducted surface water, sediment and fish sampling in the Mississippi River in October 2000 to determine the impact, if any, of groundwater migrating from the W.G. Krummrich facility. Solutia collected surface water and sediment samples in the Mississippi River at three locations: 1) upstream of the plume release area, 2) the plume release area and 3) downstream of the plume release area.

Solutia analyzed the samples to determine the concentration of VOCs, SVOCs, Pesticides, Herbicides, PCBs and Dioxin in these environmental media. In addition, benchic community structure was evaluated to provide data for sediment triad evaluation. Bioassays were conducted on surface water and sediment samples to determine the toxicity, if any, of these environmental media to sensitive organisms. Fish were sampled in the plume release area and upstream and downstream of this release to determine the Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 55 of 62 Page ID #4026

When the

# Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

3° 16.

impact, if any, on higher trophic level organisms. Information collected as part of the Surface Water Sampling Plan will be used in an Ecological Risk Assessment, a Human Health Risk Assessment, a Groundwater Environmental Indicators Report and a Current Human Exposure Environmental Indicators Report.

**Reconnaissance Survey** - Solutia conducted a reconnaissance survey in September 2000 to characterize river bottom substrates and identify surface water, sediment and fish sampling locations. During this reconnaissance survey, conducted in conjunction with EPA, sediment samples were collected in the area where groundwater plume is being released to surface water along three transects running from the bank towards the center of the river. Analytical results are summarized below:

#### Distance from Bank, feet

| Total VOCs, ppb | <u>50</u> | 200 | 300 | 400 | 500 | <u>600</u> | <u>700</u> | 1000 | 1400 |
|-----------------|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|------------|------|------|
| North Transect  | 644       | NS  | 854 | ND  | NS  | NS         | ND         | ND   | ND   |
| Center Transect | 1300      | ND  | NS  | NS  | ND  | NS         | NS         | NS   | NS   |
| South Transect  | 45        | NS  | 473 | NS  | NS  | 1          | NS         | NS   | NS   |

**River Sampling** - Solutia's sediment sample analyses indicated that sampling transects located 300 ft from the riverbank would be within the area of plume release. Therefore, surface water samples were collected along three transects running parallel to the bank and located 50, 150 and 300 ft from the riverbank. Three sampling stations were located on each transect resulting in nine sampling stations within the plume release area. One sampling station was located at the center point of each transect. Another sampling station was located half way between the center station and the upstream end of each transect. A third sampling station was located half way between the center station and the downstream end of each transect.

At each sampling station, Solutia collected one surface water sample and analyzed the sample for VOCs, SVOCs, Pesticides, Herbicides, PCBs and Dioxin to determine the concentration of these constituents in surface water. Samples were collected just above the sediment/surface water interface. Bioassays, using Cerodaphnia and Fat Head Minnows, were performed on each surface water sample to determine surface water toxicity. In addition, one sediment sample was collected at each sampling station and analyzed for VOCs, SVOCs, Pesticides, Herbicides, PCBs and Dioxin to determine the concentration of these constituents in Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 56 of 62 Page ID #4027

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

sediments. Bioassays, were performed on each sediment sample to determine sediment toxicity. Benthic community structure was determined using three grab samples collected at selected locations within each sampling area.

One local area of soft bottom sediment was observed during the September 2000 reconnaissance survey at a wing wall downstream of the site. One soft bottom sample was collected in this area and analyzed for VOCs, SVOCs, Pesticides, Herbicides, PCBs and Bioassays were performed on this sediment sample to Dioxin. determine sediment toxicity. Three grab samples were collected at this sampling station to determine benthic community structure. One surface water sample was collected at this location and analyzed for VOCs, SVOCs, Pesticides, Herbicides, PCBs and Dioxin. Bioassays were performed on this surface water sample to determine surface water toxicity. To provide a basis for comparison, one soft bottom sample station was selected upstream of the site and similar evaluations as described above conducted at this station.

Sediment, surface water and fish tissue analytical result summaries and a summary of sediment and surface water toxicity testing are included in Tables 5-1, 5-2, 5-3, 5-4, 5-5 and 5-6. Sampling locations are shown on Figures 5-7, 5-8, 5-9 and 5-10. These analytical data were used to prepare the Ecological Risk Assessment summarized in Section 7.2.3.

#### EPA Sediment Sampling

In October and November 2000, EPA collected sediment samples in the Mississippi River in and adjacent to the area where groundwater from Solutia's W.G. Krummrich plant (Figures 5-11 and 5-12) is being released to the Mississippi River. This work was performed in conjunction with Solutia's implementation of its Surface Water Sampling Plan using the same methods and sampling personnel, methods and equipment. EPA's analytical data summaries are included in Table 5-7.

EPA data shows that sediment is contaminated with significant concentrations of VOCs and SVOCs starting at the northern edge of disposal Site R. This area is also the approximate northern boundary of a groundwater contaminant plume at disposal Site R that is being released to the Mississippi River. Significant concentrations of VOCs and SVOCs in sediment continue along and south of disposal Site R, the approximate southern boundary of the groundwater contaminant plume. Significant concentrations of

and the second second

# Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

pesticides, a herbicide and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) were also found near the middle and southern boundary of Site R, in the approximate center of the groundwater contaminant plume.

#### 5.3.1.2 Groundwater

#### Solutia Groundwater Sampling

In January and May 2000, pursuant to the requirements found in the RCRA AOC, Solutia collected groundwater samples from selected existing monitoring wells to determine the areal and vertical distribution of VOCs and SVOCs in groundwater between its W.G. Krummrich plant and the Mississippi River. Solutia groundwater data correlates well with both the type and extent of contamination found in Mississippi River sediment indicating that contaminated groundwater in OUI is a primary contributor to contamination of Mississippi River sediments. Groundwater data from May 2000 for wells monitoring the MHU and DHU near the northern extent of sediment contamination (wells GM-27B and GM-27C) found up to 1,400 parts per billion (ppb) of benzene, 11,000 ppb of chlorobenzene, 700 ppb of toluene, 39,000 ppb of aniline, 8,100 ppb of phenol, 300 ppb of 4-chlorophenol, 20,000 ppb of 2chloroaniline, 25,000 ppb of 3-chloroaniline, and 25,000 ppb of 4-chloroaniline.

Total VOC and Total SVOC concentrations were plotted and contoured for the SHU, MHU and DHU and the results are presented in Figures 5-13 through 5-18. Based on these isoconcentration plots, VOCs and SVOCs are present in groundwater from the Mississippi River to the W.G. Krummrich plant. Two concentration highs are evident on these figures at the Sauget Area 2 Sites R and Q (Dog Leg) immediately adjacent to the Mississippi River and at the W.G. Krummrich plant. To a lesser extent, Figure 5-16 (SHU-SVOCs) also shows a concentration high at Site 0. Total VOC concentration highs in the SHU, MHU and DHU are located in the northern half, northern two thirds and the extreme northern end of Site R, respectively, while the Total SVOC concentration highs are located in the central portions of Site R for all three of these hydrogeologic units. Estimated mass loading to the Mississippi River in the vicinity of Site R is 220,000 kg/yr (484,000 pounds per year) or 603 kg/day (1,327 pounds per day).

These January and May 2000 groundwater data indicate there is a distinct vertical stratification of Total VOC and Total SVOC concentrations at Site R with concentrations decreasing with depth:

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 58 of 62 Page ID #4029

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

| Tot                        | al VOC Concentrati | on Total SVOC |
|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| <u>Concentration</u>       | (male)             | (             |
|                            | (ppb)              | (ppo)         |
| Shallow Hydrogeologic Unit | 74,600             | 6,760,000     |
| Middle Hydrogeologic Unit  | 47,210             | 1,529,000     |
| Deep Hydrogeologic Unit    | 1,950              | 34,800        |

This distinct vertical concentration gradient, with the highest detected concentrations in the upper portions of the saturated zone, indicates that the waste material and/or DNAPL in the SHU is still acting as a source that impacts groundwater quality. Total SVOC concentrations of 6,760,000 in the SHU and 1,529,000 in the MHU indicate that DNAPL is probably present in the aquifer. Dissolution of DNAPL coating the aquifer matrix or trapped in aquifer pore spaces will act as a long-term, continuous source of impacted groundwater.

Solutia collected groundwater data during pre-design investigations performed in July 2001 to obtain design information for a groundwater extraction system downgradient of Site R. The following vertical distribution of Total SVOCs was found at two potential extraction well locations at the downgradient boundary of Site R:

|                | Total SVOC Concentrations (ppb) |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Depth Below    | Proposed Groundwate             | rProposed Groundwater |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ground Surface | Extraction Well 1               | Extraction Well 2     |  |  |  |  |  |
| (feet)         |                                 | •                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shallow Hydr   | ogeologic Unit                  |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20             | 12                              | NS                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30             | 1,042,800                       | 146                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40             | NS                              | 12,470                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50             | 156,000                         | 404,010               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middle Hydro   | geologic Unit                   | •                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60             | 125,600                         | 172,320               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70             | 158,300                         | 64,640                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80             | 90,000                          | 84,300                |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deep Hydroge   | ologic Unit                     |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90             | 203,520                         | 24,926                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100            | 77,140                          | 21,810 (2             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 110            | 107,400                         | ·                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120            | 77.840 (1                       |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes: 1)      | Sample at termination           | depth of 116 ft BGS   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2)             | Sample at termination           | denth of 98 ft BCS    |  |  |  |  |  |

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 59 of 62 Page ID #4030

. . . V

# Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

6 A

Vertical stratification of SVOCs is also apparent from data collected at the location of Proposed Groundwater Extraction Well 2, with the highest concentrations in the SHU, lower concentrations in the MHU and lowest in the DHU. This vertical distribution pattern is different in Proposed Groundwater Extraction Well 1 where Total SVOC concentrations do not decrease with depth between the MHU and the DHU. While it is difficult to know with certainty the reason for this difference in vertical distribution between these two proposed well locations, it may be due to the presence of DNAPL at the bottom of aquifer. Proposed Groundwater Extraction Well 1 was located 650 feet south of the north end of Site R. As discussed above, Total VOC and SVOC highs in the SHU, MHU and DHU are located in the northern two thirds of Site R. With a history of both solid and liquid waste disposal that allegedly started at the north end of Site R and continued to the south, it seems reasonable to expect the presence of DNAPL beneath and downgradient of this portion of Site R.

#### Other Groundwater Invéstigations

In 1998, Ecology and Environment (E&E) prepared the report "Sauget Area 2 Data Tables/Maps for EPA Region 5." This report summarized existing data for each site along with other information compiled by E&E during its file searches of various agencies and organizations. It contains data from investigations conducted by Clayton Environmental Consultants, Dynamac, E&E, IEPA, Geraghty and Miller, Reidel Industrial Waste Management, Russell and Axon and EPA.

Historical groundwater data presented in the report shows a strong correlation between groundwater contaminants and the sediment data. As part of its 1998 report, E&E prepared isoconcentration maps showing Total VOC concentration in shallow wells, Total VOC concentration in intermediate/deep wells, Total BNA concentration in shallow wells and Total BNA concentration in intermediate/deep wells. These maps are included in the ROD as Figures 5-19, 5-20, 5-21 and 5-22, respectively. These isoconcentration maps show groundwater concentration highs in shallow wells at Sites O and R.

#### 2001 EPA Removal Site Evaluation at the Clayton Chemical Facility

The Clayton Chemical facility is located adjacent to the Sauget Area 2 Site and upgradient of the groundwater contamination release to the Mississippi River adjacent to Sauget Area 2 Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 60 of 62 Page ID #4031

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

disposal Site R and the resulting impact area. The facility is located at 1 Mobile Avenue, Sauget, Illinois, between Site O and the dogleg portion of Site Q, and was operated as a railroad repair yard from the 1930s to 1962, a crude oil topping plant from 1962 to the mid-1960s, and an oil and solvent reclamation facility from the mid-1960s until 1998. Clayton Chemical blended hazarcous waste fuel for use by industrial furnaces. Its facility included on-site bulk and drum storage, waste materials processing for fuels, a liquid fuel blending storage tank system and solvent recovery units. Wastes were received by Clayton Chemical by either bulk or in containers. Wastes disposed at the Clayton Chemical facility included oil tank bottoms, white gas, and spent halogenated and non-halogenated solvents. Clayton Chemical Company was renamed Resource Recovery Group LLC in 1996. The Clayton Chemical facility ceased operating in 1998, and was the subject of an emergency response action by EPA in 2001. Groundwater samples collected as part of he 2001 EPA emergency response detected vinyl chloride, 1,1-dichloroethane, 1,1dichloroethene, cis-1,2-dichloroethene, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, 1,2-dichloroethane, benzene, trichloroethene, toluene, tetrachloroethene, and xylene above maximum contaminant limits (MCLs).

#### Sauget Area 1 Remedial Investigation

Pursuant to a CERCLA Section 106(a) AOC with the EPA, Solutia conducted an RI for the Sauget Area 1 site, which consists of three closed municipal/industrial landfills (Sites G, H, and I), one backfilled wastewater impoundment (Site L), one flooded borrow pit (Site M), one borrow pit backfilled with various waste materials (Site N), and Dead Creek. Sites G, H, I and L are located upgradient from the groundwater contamination release to the Mississippi River adjacent to Sauget Area 2 disposal Site R and the resulting impact area. The Sauget Area 1 RI indicates that only groundwater contamination from Site I is currently migrating to the Mississippi River.

Site I is an inactive landfill that occupies approximately 19 acres of land and is located north of Queeny Avenue, west of Falling Springs Road, and south of the Alton & Southern Railroad in the Village of Sauget, Illinois. Industrial, chemical and municipal wastes were disposed at Site I from approximately 1931 to 1957. The estimated volume of waste and contaminated fill material in Site I is 680,827 cubic yards. RI groundwater sampling activities included collecting twenty-eight discrete zone groundwater samples from three-well transects downgradient Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 61 of 62 Page ID #4032

15.7.2

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

12 .....

of Site I. Constituents detected in groundwater downgradient of Site I include benzene, chlorobenzene, 1,1-dichloroethene, cis/trans-1,2-dichloroethene, 1,4-dichlorobenzene, 4chloroaniline, 2-chlorophenol, 2,4+dichlorophenol, 1,2,4trichlorobenzene, n-nitrosodiphenylamine, Alpha-BHC, Delta-BHC at concentrations above Illinois Class I groundwater standards and federal MCLs. The groundwater contamination plume extends beyond the downgradient Sauget Area 1 Site boundary (Route 3) and likely extends to the Mississippi River in the vicinity of Sauget Area 2 Site R.

## 5.3.2 Fate and Transport

With groundwater flow rates of 4 to 6 feet per day, constituents migrating in the MHU and DHU could reach the Mississippi River in time periods as short as approximately 40 days and 25 days, respectively. Processes such as dispersion, dilution, biodegradation, adsorption, precipitation, etc. will retard or slow the movement of site-related constituents migrating toward the Mississippi River in the MHU and DHU. However, it is unlikely that these processes have much of an effect given the high groundwater flow velocities in the MHU and DHU and the short distance from Site R to the river.

#### 6. CURRENT AND POTENTIAL FUTURE SITE AND RESOURCE USES

#### 6.1 LAND USES

Heavy industry has been present on the east bank of the Mississippi River between Cahokia and Alton, Illinois for nearly a century. Industrial activity in the area peaked in the 1960s and local businesses have been closing ever since. Although heavy industry has shut down throughout the American Bottoms, Sauget Area 2 and the surrounding area is still highly industrialized. In addition to heavy industry, the area currently has warehouses, trucking companies, commercial facilities, bars, nightclubs, convenience stores and restaurants. A number of petroleum, petroleum product and natural gas pipelines are located in the area.

No residential land use is located immediately adjacent to or downgradient of Sites 0, P, Q, R and S; the W.G. Krummrich plant and other industrial facilities in the Sauget area. Residential areas of Sauget and East St. Louis are separated from this area by other industries or undeveloped tracts of land. Limited residential areas exist approximately 3,000 feet to the northeast Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-2 Filed 03/11/15 Page 62 of 62 Page ID #4033

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

and southeast of these industrial facilities. Industrial areas exist approximately 2000 feet west of this area, across the Mississippi River, in the City of St. Louis, Missouri, with residential areas located further to the west.

Historically, the Sauget area and its surroundings were used for waste disposal. Six closed landfills (Sauget Area 2 Sites P, Q and R and Sauget Area 1 Sites G, H and I), four closed sludge lagoons (Sauget Area 2 Site O), a closed tank-truck wash-water lagoon (Sauget Area 1 Site L) and a waste disposal site (Site S) associated with an abandoned solvent reclamation facility (Resource Recovery Group) are located in the Sauget area.

The future land use assumptions for the Site and surrounding areas would be anticipated to be similar to the current land use.

#### 6.2 GROUNDWATER USE

Historically, groundwater from the American Bottoms aquifer was a major source of water for the area and was used for industrial, public, and irrigation purposes. Groundwater levels prior to industrial and urban development were near land surface. Intensive industrial withdrawal and use and construction of a system of drainage ditches, levees, and canals to protect developed areas lowered the groundwater elevation for many years. However, by the mid-1980s, the groundwater levels increased due to reduced pumpage, high river stages, and high precipitation. Currently, no groundwater is being pumped from the American Bottoms aquifer in the vicinity of Sauget Area 2 for public, private or industrial supply purposes.

Groundwater is not a source of drinking water in the area. The Villages of Sauget and Cahokia have issued ordinances prohibiting the use of groundwater as a potable water source. These ordinances were issued in response to historic industrial use in the region, and resulting ground-water quality impairments. Groundwater use restrictions will likely remain in place for the foreseeable future due to the extent of the groundwater quality impairments.

Although agricultural land is found throughout the immediate project area, this land is apparently not irrigated. The nearest irrigated land, other than residential lawns and gardens, is located in the Schmids Lake-East Carondelet area, which is south of Old Prairie du Pont Creek.

27

中的地震的 一個

1114

語言 記名 漫画自惑

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 1 of 93 Page ID #4034

12-161

# Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

2 3

# STATES USE SURFACE WATER USE

The source of drinking water for area residents is an intake in the Mississippi River. This intake is located at River Mile 181, approximately three miles north of Sauget Area 2. The drinking water intake is owned and operated by the Illinois American Water Company (IAWC) of East St. Louis, and it serves the majority of residences in the area. IAWC supplies water to Sauget. The Commonfields of Cahokia Public Water District purchases water from IAWC and distributes it to portions of Cahokia and Centerville Township. The Cahokia Water Department also purchases water from IAWC and distributes it to small residential areas in the west and southwest portions of Cahokia. Cahokia and Sauget both have city ordinances that prohibit use of groundwater as potable water. Public water supply is the exclusive potable water source in Sauget Area 2.

The nearest downstream surface-water intake on the Illinois side of the Mississippi River is located at River Mile 110, approximately 68 miles south of Sauget Area 2. This intake supplies drinking water to residents in the Town of Chester and surrounding areas in Randolf County, Illinois. The nearest potentially impacted public water supply on the Missouri side of the river is located at River Mile 149, approximately 29 miles south of the study area. The Village of Crystal City, Missouri (pop. 4,000), located 28 miles south of the area, utilizes a Ranney well adjacent to the Mississippi River as a source for drinking water.

# 7. SUMMARY OF SITE RISKS

# 7.1 HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT

Dynamac Corporation's Fort Lee, New Jersey office and Geraghty & Miller's Bethpage, New York office prepared a Human Health for Sauget Area 2 Site R using data collected during an RI/FS required by the 1992 AOC with IEPA.

# 7.1.1 Identification of Chemicals of Concern

Using data from prior site investigations, the risk assessors identified 29 chemicals of potential concern (COPCs):

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 2 of 93 Page ID #4035

# Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

| VOCs  | SVOCS  | Pesticides/PCBs   | <u>Metals</u>  |
|---|--|-------------------|--|
| <ul> <li>Benzene</li> <li>Chlorobenzene</li> <li>1,2-Dichloroethane</li> <li>Dichloroethylene</li> <li>Methyl Chloride</li> <li>Methyl Chloride</li> <li>Tetrachloroethylene</li> <li>Vinyl Chloride</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Aniline</li> <li>4-Chloroaniline</li> <li>Naphthalene</li> <li>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</li> <li>Nitrobenzene</li> <li>2-Nitrochlorobenzene</li> <li>Phenol</li> <li>2,4-Dimethylphenol</li> <li>2,4-Dichlorophenol</li> <li>2,4,6-Trichlorophenol</li> <li>Pentachlorophenol</li> </ul> | alpha-BHC<br>PCBs | Antimony<br>Arsenic<br>Beryllium<br>Boron<br>Nickel<br>Thallium<br>Cyanide |

#### 7.1.2 Exposure Assessment

The objective of the exposure assessment was to identify potential exposure scenarios by which contaminants of concern in site media could contact humans and to quantify the intensity and extent of that exposure. The conceptual site model depicting potential receptors and exposure pathways were presented in Section 5. Potential exposure pathways are summarized below:

| Potential<br>Exposure Pathway<br>Receptors | Chemical Source                            | Potential<br>Exposure Scenario                             | Potential                                 |
|--|--|--|---|
| Direct Contact                             | Clay Cap                                   | Dermal Contact with and<br>Incidental Ingestion of<br>Soil | On-Site Maintenance<br>Workers            |
| Air .                                      | Clay Cap                                   | Inhalation of<br>VOCs and Dust                             | On-Site Maintenance<br>Workers            |
| Surface Water                              | Groundwater<br>Release to<br>Surface Water | Dermal Contact with and<br>Ingestion of<br>River Sediments | Trespassing Users of<br>Mississippi River |
|  |  | Fish Ingestion   | Commercial and<br>Recreational Users of   |

Potential risks due to direct contact and subsequent ingestion or dermal adsorption of constituents in, or adjacent to, landfilled materials were considered low because:

- The site is located in an exclusively industrial area and is fenced and patrolled by security personnel effectively eliminating the potential for residential exposure;
- Workers are the only likely receptors to be present at the site and they would be present for limited

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 3 of 93 Page ID #4036

•

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

X YOK

periods of time to implement remedial actions or complete maintenance activities;

- A 2 to 6 ft thick, intact, highly-vegetated clay cover prevents direct contact with landfill contents; and
- Use of appropriate health and safety measures would limit worker exposures.

Potential risks due to direct contact with surface water were considered low because:

- Swimming does not occur locally due to the highly urbanized and industrialized nature of the Sauget area;
- Chemical concentrations are likely to be low due to high dilution; and
- Exposure while fishing or boating would only be associated with incidental splash that is typically transient in nature and results in limited skin contact.

Potential risks due to inhalation of wind-blown dust from the landfill surface or entrained in the atmosphere by vehicular traffic associated with on-site remedial activities were considered low because:

- A thick clay cap covers the landfill;
- The cap is in good condition;
- Heavy vegetative cover on the cap significantly limits dust emissions;
- With a depth to water averaging 12 ft, most excavated materials would be wet and not prone to dispersal by wind entrainment;
- Potentially-significant receptors were probably limited to on-site remediation workers with short term exposures; and

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 4 of 93 Page ID #4037

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

• Construction of a slurry wall and installation of a pump and treat system, the most likely remediation scenario, would not be likely to generate significant quantities of air-borne dust.

Potential risks due to inhalation of volatile organics from the landfill were considered low because:

- Remediation workers were the only potentially significant receptors;
- Escape of volatiles is limited by the vegetated, clay cap; and
- Most remediation activities would occur adjacent to but not in the landfill, thereby leaving the materials with the highest concentration of volatile chemicals undisturbed.

Potential risks due to ingestion of biota were considered significant because:

- The groundwater plume from the landfill released an estimated 77 pounds per day of organic chemicals into the Mississippi River;
- Fish could accumulate at least one of the organic
   chemicals (chlorinated nitrobenzene) identified in Site R groundwater; and
- Commercial fishing is known to occur in the Mississippi River and recreational fishing is believed to occur.

Potential risks flora and fauna were considered significant because:

- The groundwater plume from the landfill released an estimated 77 pounds per day of organic chemicals into the Mississippi River; and
- The Mississippi River is an active ecosystem.

# 7.1.3 Cancer Risks

Potential carcinogenic risks associated with realistic exposure scenarios for identified receptor groups indicated that the

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 5 of 93 Page ID #4038

St. Cak

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

potential excess cancer risks for on-site workers and area residents consuming fish were less than 2.7 x  $10^{-7}$  for all pathways combined. Even under worst-case exposure assumptions, the estimated excess lifetime carcinogenic risk for all pathways combined was 5.7 x  $10^{-6}$ . Risk assessment results for the exposure pathways are summarized below:

| Pathway                            | Worst-Cas              | e Exposures                   | <u>Average-Cas</u>     | e Exposures            |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|                                    | On-Site                | Local                         | On-Site                | Local                  |
|                                    | <u>Worker</u>          | <u>Resident</u>               | <u>Worker</u>          | Resident               |
| Dermal Contact                     |                        |                               |                        |                        |
| Surface Materials                  | $4.5 \times 10^{-7}$   | NA <sup>(1</sup>              | 6.2 x 10 <sup>-8</sup> | NA (1                  |
| Surface Water                      |                        |                               |                        |                        |
| Adult                              | NA                     | $1.3 \times 10^{-6}$          | NA                     | NA                     |
| Child                              | NA                     | 7.6 x $10^{-7}$               | NA                     | NA                     |
| Total                              | NA                     | 2.1 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>        | NA                     | NA                     |
| Incidental Ingesti                 | on                     |                               |                        |                        |
| Surface Materials                  | 8.9 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> | NA                            | 1.2 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> | NA                     |
| Surface Water                      |                        |                               |                        |                        |
| Adult                              | NA                     | 3.4 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>        |                        |                        |
| Child                              | NA                     | 8.1 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>        |                        |                        |
| Total                              | NA                     | 1.2 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>        |                        |                        |
| <b>Inhalation</b>                  |                        |                               |                        |                        |
| Volatile Organics                  | 9.5 x $10^{-7}$        | NA                            | 1.1 x 10 <sup>-8</sup> | NA                     |
| Fish Ingestion                     |                        |                               |                        |                        |
| Adult                              | NA                     | 8.7 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>        | NA                     | 5.2 x 10 <sup>-8</sup> |
| Child                              | NA                     | <b>4.9 x</b> 10 <sup>-7</sup> | NA                     | 2.9 x 10 <sup>-8</sup> |
| Total                              | NA                     | 1.4 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>        | NA                     | 8.1 x 10 <sup>-8</sup> |
| Total                              | $2.3 \times 10^{-6}$   | $3.4 \times 10^{-6}$          | 1.9 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> | 8.1 x 10 <sup>-8</sup> |
| <b>Overall Total</b> <sup>(2</sup> | 5.7 x                  | : 10 <sup>-6</sup>            | 2.7 x                  | 10-7                   |
| Notes:                             |                        |                               |                        | ,                      |

 Not applicable, pathway not available to this receptor group.

 Conservatively assumes that a receptor will be exposed via all pathways.

# 7.2.4 Non-Cancer Risks

With respect to noncarcinogenic hazards, the analysis indicated that the hazard indices for all receptor groups and pathways combined were less than one for realistic exposure scenarios. Under worst-case assumptions, the combined hazard index was also less than one. Risk assessment results for the exposure pathways are summarized below: Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 6 of 93 Page ID #4039

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

| Pathway  | Worst-Cas                    | e Exposures                                      | <u>Average-Case</u>          | e Exposures                                      |
|--|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
|  | On-Site<br><u>Worker</u>     | Local<br><u>Resident</u>                         | On-Site<br><u>Worker</u>     | Local<br><u>Resident</u>                         |
| Dermal Contact<br>Sulface Materials<br>Surface Water               | 6.2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>       | NA (1  | 3.1 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>       | NA (1  |
| Adult<br>Child   | NA<br>NA                     | 6.1 x 10 <sup>-2</sup><br>2.2 x 10 <sup>-1</sup> | NA<br>NA                     | NA<br>NA   |
| Incidental Ingestic<br>Surface Materials<br>Surface Water<br>Adult | 2.2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup><br>NA | NA<br>1.7 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>                     | 1.1 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>       | NA   |
| Inhalation<br>Volatile Organics                                    | NA<br>5.0 x 10 <sup>-3</sup> | NA   | 2.1 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>       | NA   |
| <b>Fish Ingestion</b><br>Adult<br>Child                            | NA<br>NA                     | 5.4 x 10 <sup>-2</sup><br>1.7 x 10 <sup>-1</sup> | NA<br>NA                     | 3.0 x 10 <sup>-3</sup><br>1.0 x 10 <sup>-2</sup> |
| Total Adult<br>Total Child   | 7.9 x 10 <sup>-3</sup><br>NA | 1.1 x 10 <sup>-1</sup><br>3.9 x 10 <sup>-1</sup> | 1.6 x 10 <sup>-3</sup><br>NA | 3.0 x 10 <sup>-3</sup><br>1.0 x 10 <sup>-2</sup> |
| <b>Overall Total</b> <sup>(2</sup>                                 | 5.1 x                        | 10-1   | 1.5 x                        | 10-2   |

#### Notes:

- 1) Not applicable, pathway not available to this receptor group.
- 2) Conservatively assumes that a receptor will be exposed via all pathways.

#### 7.2 ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT

#### 7.2.1 Dynamac (1994)

In 1994, as part of the Human Health Risk Assessment prepared for the Site R RI/FS, Dynamac and Geraghty & Miller also prepared an Ecological Risk Assessment using data collected during the RI required by the IEPA AOC. Using data from prior site investigations, the risk assessors identified 29 chemicals of potential concern (COPCs).

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 7 of 93 Page ID #4040

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

Potential risks to flora and fauna were considered significant because:

- The groundwater plume from the landfill released an estimated 77 pounds per day of organic chemicals into the Mississippi River; and
- The Mississippi River is an active ecosystem.

The Dynamac and Geraghty & Miller Ecological Risk Assessment evaluated potential hazards to terrestrial biota qualitatively. Due to the poor habitat available to support terrestrial wildlife, the presence of a clay cap on the landfill and the highly industrialized nature of the study area, potential terrestrial-wildlife exposures were likely to be limited. Consequently, risks to terrestrial organisms were likely to be limited.

Potential risks to aquatic organisms associated with groundwater releases to surface water were assessed quantitatively. This was done through acute toxicity bloassays for five species exposed to groundwater collected from three perimeter wells. Chronic toxicity bioassays were done for the most sensitive species tested. Bioassay results were used to derive a no observed This data, effects concentration (NOEC) for site groundwater. coupled with data on groundwater and surface-water flow rates, was used to derive an aquatic hazard index as a theoretical estimate of the potential hazards to aquatic organisms. Utilizing a safety factor of 10, the aquatic hazard index was found to equal 4.4 under average river flow conditions with no assumption for attenuation of toxicity with downstream distance or losses of toxic chemicals due to volatilization, adsorption, etc.

Aquatic hazard index values greater than one suggested that, within the limitations of the methodology used to derive this number, potential impacts to aquatic life associated with contaminated groundwater being released to the river could not be ruled out. Two conservative assumptions were used in calculating these results:

- Application of a ten-fold safety factor to provide a margin of safety for more sensitive species than those used in the groundwater bioassays; and
- Use of a simple dilution model to estimate constituent concentrations in surface water.

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

Although the data indicate that groundwater flowing into the river could have a potential impact on aquatic organisms, actual impacts were unknown. Testing of river water downstream of the American Bottoms Regional Treatment Facility outfall indicated that aquatic toxicity could not be measured by use of standard bioassay techniques in samples of river water collected immediately adjacent to the landfill. Furthermore, the data indicated that attenuation of toxicity is likely to be significant.

Acute toxicity studies of river water samples collected near the landfill suggested that attenuation of toxicity was likely to be rapid.

# 7.2.2 Environmental Science and Engineering (1995)

Environmental Science and Engineering's Amherst, New Hampshire office completed an ecological risk assessment for Site R in May 1995. The purpose of this risk assessment was to evaluate the potential for any adverse effects that constituents from the site might have on downstream ecological receptors within or depended upon the Mississippi River.

A reconnaissance of Site R and surrounding area was performed on May 6, 1994. With the exception of a few trees, no natural (undisturbed) habitat appeared to remain on the site nor were any jurisdictional wetlands present. Birds were the only animals identified on site at the time of the visit. From the standpoint of terrestrial ecology, it was determined that all of the following factors precluded inclusion of a terrestrial component in the Ecological Risk Assessment:

- Presence of at least two feet of clean cap material;
- Lack of food and/or sparse vegetative cover;
- Low probability for recruitment of terrestrial species from surrounding areas; and
- Disturbed nature of the available habitat.

As a natural resource, the Mississippi River is considered very important. However, the urban environment between Sauget and St. Louis and the physical (e.g. docks, barges and transfer stations) and the chemical (e.g. the ABRTF outfall) disturbances in the river could lead to defining this reach as a stressed ecosystem. Rip-rap along the western edge of the site provided shoreline stability but less than adequate riparian habitat for wetlanddependent birds or mammals. Organic chemicals in groundwater and the potential for migration to the Mississippi River presented an

## Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

÷. . . . . .

exposure pathway and potential risk to aquatic biota. This potential migration pathway and risk were the focus of the Ecological Risk Assessment. Only impacts to aquatic receptors that were directly or indirectly dependent on the river were considered in this assessment. Aquatic biota residing within or dependent on the Mississippi River downstream of Site R were considered the ecosystem at risk for this risk assessment.

With the exception of three constituents (Naphthalene, 4nitrodiphenylamine and 2,4-D), SVOCs observed in soil and groundwater at Site R consisted primarily of four classes of compounds: Anilines, Chlorobenzenes, Phenols and Nitroaromatics. Some of these constituents were considered to have the potential to cause adverse acute and/or chronic effects in fish and other aquatic biota. The central question of the risk assessment was "Do the concentrations of individual CO[P]Cs in the Mississippi River predicted by the groundwater flow model meet or exceed currently available criteria, standards, or toxicity endpoints for surface water and sediment?".

All of the conservatively derived Hazard Indices for surface water and sediment were below 1.0. Therefore, the COPCs associated with Site R posed no apparent threat to aquatic biota.

In the uncertainty analysis, ES&E stated that:

"Realistically, concentrations of COPCs in the Mississippi River would be expected to be higher in surface water and sediment near the landfill as this assessment assumed "immediate" mixing across the river. However, a mixing zone study conducted for the American Bottoms Regional Wastewater Treatment Facility in Sauget indicated that mixing for a point source would be vertically complete approximately 1000 feet downstream of the release. As the release from the Site R landfill is a diffuse source, the mixing would be more efficient, and any putative impacts to biota would be very localized."

## 7.2.3 Menzie-Cura (2001)

**Study Area** - In June 2001, Menzie-Cura and Associates completed a Baseline Ecological Risk Assessment for the Mississippi River immediately downgradient of Site R. This baseline ecological risk assessment for the aquatic habitat adjacent to the W.G. Krummrich plant in Sauget, Illinois addressed surface water and sediment in the Mississippi River adjacent to Sauget Area 2 Site R (Figures 7-1, 7-2, 7-3 and 7-4). Study area boundaries, which Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 10 of 93 Page ID #4043

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

extended approximately 2000 feet along the riverbank and 300 feet into the river channel, were defined during a reconnaissance survey completed in September 2000. The study area, defined using screening-level VOC analyses of sediment samples, is referred to as the Plume Discharge Area throughout the ecological risk assessment. In general, the study area is bounded by steep emban ments lined with rip-rap. A few scattered structures, such as a wing dam and a sunken barge, offer some access points for aquatic birds and mammals and potential protection for fish. There were no bordering wetlands or appreciable bordering vegetation. No submerged or emergent vegetation was observed at the study area.

Surface water, sediment and fish tissues samples were collected in October and November 2000. River gage height varied from 2.03 feet to 0.08 feet, river depths ranged from 4 to 14.5 feet and flow varied from 78,800 to 97,500 cubic feet per second during the sampling effort. Both flow and gage height were below annual average for 2000:

|         | <u>Mean Gage Height</u> | <u>Mean Stream Flow</u> |  |  |
|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
|         | (Feet)                  | (Feet)                  |  |  |
| Maximum | 25.38                   | 387,000                 |  |  |
| Average | _6.04                   | 135,716                 |  |  |
| Minimum | - 2.39                  | 65,000                  |  |  |

Reference areas were also selected during the ecological site reconnaissance and during the main sampling event. They were selected to represent industrial habitat comparable to the study One reference area with two sampling stations, one with area. coarse sediments and one with silty sediments, was located upstream of the study area just north of the old power plant and south of a railroad bridge. The shoreline is less obstructed than at the study area with the upland portion vegetated and grading into a sandy shoreline. A second reference area, also with one coarse sediment sampling station and one silty sediment sampling station, was located downstream near the Cahokia Chute and Arsenal Island. This reference area consists of a large sand bar, less-developed uplands, banks that provide direct access to the river and a number of partially-sunken snags. The upstream reference area is referred to as Upstream from the Plume Discharge Area (UDA) and the downstream reference area is referred to as Downstream from the Plume Discharge Area (DDA). All three habitats (PDA, UDA and DDA) are located in an industrialized area and there are a number of coal, grain and other barge terminals upstream of all the sampling areas.

Ser : ME

Sec. 1

# Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

Coarse sediment sampling stations contained over 90% fine to medium sand. Silty sediment sampling stations within the study area, UDA and DDA had similar clay components although the study area stations had a larger fine sand component. Coarse sediment TOC ranged from 324 to 700 mg/kg dry weight while silty sediment TOC ranged from 2,805 to 11,800 mg/kg dry weight. Dissolved oxygen, TDS and turbidity ranged from 7.62 to 10.57 mg/l, 287 to 367 mg/l and 34.4 to 55.6 NTU.

Analytical Data - Surface water, sediment and fish tissue analytical data are summarized in Tables 5-1, 5-2 and 5-3, respectively. Fish tissue data are summarized by species and by area in Table 5-4.

Three trophic levels of fish were sampled in the plume release area and in the upstream and downstream reference areas: 1) bottom feeder, 2) forager and 3) predator. Analytical results are summarized in Table 7-1. These results represent maximum detected concentrations of constituents present in whole body fish tissue samples collected in the plume release area. Results from whole body fish tissue samples collected upstream and downstream of the plume release area are also included in this summary. As can be seen from these data, eight constituents were only detected in the plume release area. Three SVOCs were only detected in fish tissue samples collected in the plume release area: 1,2-Dichlorobenzene; 1,4-Dichlorobenzene; and 2,4-Dichlorophenol. None of these concentrations exceed Toxicity Reference Values (TRVs). One herbicide, MCPP (Methyl Chlorophenoxy Propionic Acid) was only detected in the plume release area samples. Its maximum concentration in fish tissue was 8,600 ppb. MCPP is a broadleaf herbicide currently registered for use. LC50s for rainbow trout, sunfish and bluegill are 125 ppm, >100 ppm and 92 ppm, respectively. Reported biocentration factors (BCFs) range from 122 to 141 (low to moderate potential for bioaccumulation). Four pesticides were only detected in fish tissue samples from the plume release area: 4,4,4-DDD (6.7 ppb); alpha BHC (2.6 ppb); Endrin (15 ppb) and Heptachlor epoxide (5.3 ppb). Concentrations of 4,4,4-DDD; Endrin and Heptachlor epoxide were below their respective TRVs. There is no TRV for alpha BHC. PCBs were not detected in any of the fish tissue samples. 1 23.1 136 

**Toxicity Data** - Surface water and sediment toxicity test results are summarized in Table 5-5. Benthic invertebrate community data are included in Table 5-6. Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 12 of 93 Page ID #4045

# Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

Sediment and surface water samples were collected at nine sampling stations in the Plume Discharge Area and acute and chronic toxicity testing were performed on these samples. Of these nine sampling stations, three showed benthic organism toxicity and three showed lotic organism toxicity:

|        |            | <u>8</u>          | Sediment Surfac                        |                |   |  |
|--------|------------|-------------------|--|----------------|---|--|
|        |            | <u>Hyallela</u>   | Fathead Minnow                         | Fathead Minnow | <u>Cerodaphnia</u>  |  |
| North  | Sa         | moling Tr         | ansect                                 |                |   |  |
| PDA -  | 8          | No                | No                                     | No             | Yes (1  |  |
| PDA -  | 9          | No                | Yes <sup>(2</sup><br>Yes <sup>(3</sup> | No             | Yes (1  |  |
| PDA -  | 10         | No                | No                                     | No             | No  |  |
| Center | <b>. .</b> | ampling T         | ransect                                |                |   |  |
| PDA -  | 5          | Yes <sup>(4</sup> | Yes <sup>(4</sup><br>Yes <sup>(5</sup> | No             | Yes <sup>(1</sup>   |  |
| PDA -  | 6          | No                | No                                     | No             | No  |  |
| PDA -  | 7          | No                | No                                     | No             | No  |  |
| South  | Sa         | pling Tr          | nsect                                  |                |   |  |
| PDA -  | 2          | No                | No                                     | No             | Yes <sup>(4</sup><br>Yes <sup>(2</sup><br>Yes <sup>(1</sup> |  |
| PDA -  | 3          | No                | Yes <sup>(2</sup><br>Yes <sup>(3</sup> | No             | Yes <sup>(4</sup><br>Yes <sup>(1</sup><br>Yes <sup>(2</sup> |  |
| PDA -  | 4          | No                | No                                     | No             | Yes <sup>(4</sup><br>Yes <sup>(1</sup><br>Yes <sup>(2</sup> |  |

#### Notes:

Chronic Toxicity - Reproduction
 Chronic Toxicity - Survival
 Chronic Toxicity - Growth
 Acute Toxicity - Survival
 Acute Toxicity - Growth

**Exposure Pathways** - Potential complete exposure pathways in the study area include:

 Sediment to benthic invertebrates via direct contact and ingestion; Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 13 of 93 Page ID #4046

# Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

je se la

- Surface water to invertebrates and fish through direct contact and ingestion;
- Benthic biota to higher order predators (e.g. fish) through the food chain; and
- Fish to piscivorous fish, mammals and birds via ingestion.

Species selected as potential receptors represent the ecological community and its sensitivity to the contaminants of concern and were arrived at based, in part, on knowledge of the area and on discussions with EPA and local professional fishermen. The ecological receptors selected for evaluation included: benthic invertebrates as a prey base for fish, local fin fish, great blue heron, osprey and river otter. In this assessment, drum, gizzard shad and channel catfish represent major groups of fish in the Mississippi River. They represent a bottom feeder, forage fish and a predator/omnivore bottom-feeding fish, respectively.

Assessment Endpoints - Two assessment endpoints were used in this ecological risk assessment: 1) sustainability (survival, growth and reproduction) of warm water fish species typical of those found in similar habitats (incorporates the assessment of aquatic invertebrates); and 2) survival, growth and reproduction of local populations of aquatic wildlife represented by osprey, great blue heron and river otter.

**Constituents of Potential Concern -** COPCs included the following constituents:

|                          | Sediment | Mater | <u>Fish</u> |
|--------------------------|----------|-------|-------------|
| VOCs                     |          |       | •           |
| Acetone                  | •        |       |             |
| Benzene <sup>B</sup>     | •        | •     |             |
| 2-Butanone 👋             | •        |       |             |
| Carbon Disulfide         | •        | ·     |             |
| Chlorobenzene            | ۲        | •     |             |
| Chloroethane             | •        |       |             |
| Chloroform               | ٠        |       |             |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane       | • e      | •     |             |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene-  | •        |       |             |
| Ethylbenzene             | • At     | •     |             |
| Methylene Chloride       | • ÷      |       |             |
| 4-methy1-2-Pentanone     | •        | •     |             |
| Tetrachloroethylene      | ٠        | -     | •           |
| Toluene                  | •        | •     |             |
| Trans-1,2-Dichloroethyle | ne •     |       |             |

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 14 of 93 Page ID #4047

# Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

|                           | <u>Sediment</u>                         | Water | <u>Fish</u>     |                       |
|---------------------------|---|-------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Trichloroethylene         | •                                       | •     |                 |                       |
| Vinyl Chloride            | •                                       |       |                 |                       |
| Xylenes                   | •                                       | •     |                 |                       |
| <u>SVOCa</u>              |   |       |                 |                       |
| 4-fromophenylphenylethe   | r 🔍                                     |       | * . s           |                       |
| 4-Chloroaniline           | •                                       | •     |                 |                       |
| 2-Chlorophenol            | •                                       | •     | •               |                       |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene       |   | •     | •               |                       |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene       | <b>•</b> .                              |       | •               |                       |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol        | •                                       |       | •               |                       |
| 2,4-Dimethlyphenol        | •                                       |       |                 | •                     |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene        |   |       |                 |                       |
| 2-Methylphenol            |   |       | •               |                       |
| 3-Methylphenol            | - • · ·                                 | •     |                 |                       |
| 4-Methylphenol            | •                                       | •     |                 | •                     |
| Naphthalene               | •                                       |       |                 |                       |
| 2-Nitroaniline            | •                                       | -     |                 |                       |
| Nitrobenzene              | •                                       | •     | · .             | · · · ·               |
| Phenoi                    | •                                       |       |                 |                       |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol     | •                                       | •     |                 |                       |
| Pesticides                |   |       |                 |                       |
| alpha-BHC                 |   |       | •               |                       |
| alpha-Chlordane           |   |       | ٠               | ;                     |
| gamma-Chlordane           | _                                       |       | •               |                       |
| 4,4'-DDD                  |   |       |                 | <i>V</i> <sup>2</sup> |
| 4,4'-DDE                  |   |       | •               |                       |
| 4,4'-DDT                  |   | S     | •               |                       |
| Dieldrin                  |   |       | •               | 17                    |
| Endosulian 1              | £ ·                                     |       | •               | · - 1                 |
| Engrin<br>Endrin oldobudo | -                                       |       | •               |                       |
| Lontrochlor encuide       |   |       |                 |                       |
| heptachior epoxide        |   |       | •               | •                     |
| Herbicides                |   |       |                 |                       |
|                           |   | •     |                 |                       |
| 2. <b>4</b> -D            | •                                       | •     |                 | •                     |
| Dicamba                   | y .                                     | •     | \$ <sup>1</sup> | ·                     |
| Dichloroprop              | • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | •     |                 | • • • • • • •         |
| MCPP                      | • ** «                                  |       | •               | ·                     |
| Pentachlorophenol         | •                                       | •     |                 |                       |
| 2.4.5-T                   |   |       | •               |                       |
| Silvex                    |   | •     | •               |                       |
| • • • • • • • •           |   |       |                 |                       |
| Dioxin                    | •                                       | ۲     | •               |                       |

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

Surface Water and Sediment Impact - The only COPCs in surface water that exceeded available guidelines (Tier II secondary chronic) were dioxin TEQs (Toxicity Equivalency Quotients) for mammals and birds at all study area stations and reference stations and m&p xylene at one PDA station. A conclusion of no significant risk from exposure to these COPCs could not be made based on the guideline comparison.

Sediment and surface water toxicity tests for analysis of survival and growth of fish result in toxicity at certain The sediment toxicity tests indicated a significant stations. reduction in survival at sand stations PDA-5 and PDA-9 and silt station PDA-3 (and PDA-3FD) in reference to controls; all three stations also resulted in a significant reduction in survival in comparison to all other study area, UDA and DDA stations except DDA-13 (sand). PDA-5 is 50 feet from shore on the middle transect, PDA-9 is 150 feet from shore on the northern transect and PDA-3 is 150 feet from shore on the southern transect. VOCs and herbicides (2,4-D, MCPP) are elevated at these stations. No significant reduction in growth was observed, excluding PDA-5, PDA-9 and PDA-3 (3FD). The surface water toxicity tests resulted in a significant reduction in survival at seven days in reference to laboratory controls for both downstream reference areas. The sediment fish toxicity tests indicate potential reductions in survival for fish exposed to study area sediment with effects localized to samples approximately 150 feet from shore or less.

The components of the sediment triad include the sediment COPC screening, benthic community analysis and benthic invertebrate sediment toxicity testing. The COPC screening resulted in one guideline exceedance for naphthalene. The naphthalene concentration in sediment at PDA-3 exceeded the TEC (Threshold Effects Concentration). Risk due to guidelines exceedances is low, however, there are a number of compounds without applicable guidelines. The benthic community analysis was confounded by the high-energy conditions of the environment at study area (coarse grain and high current exposure). The study area benthic community included few taxa and low abundance. A similarly sparse community was found in the UDA samples. The DDA samples included a greater diversity and abundance. Because observations are confounded by the high-energy nature of the environment, this component of the triad is inconclusive. Because of the nature of the environment, the benthic community was predicted not to be a significant component of the fish prey base. Plankton, drift and periphytic communities are likely to be more important components of the fish prey base. Finally, the sediment toxicity tests with

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 16 of 93 Page ID #4049

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

a benthic invertebrate resulted in a significantly lower survival in PDA-5 compared to the laboratory control and all other sand study area, DDA and UDA stations. No silt stations resulted in a significant reduction in survival. Growth was not significantly lower in all stations with the exception of PDA-5. PDA-5 is approximately 50 feet from shore and has elevated VOCs (clorobenzene, xylenes) and herbicides (2,4-D, MCPP and dichloroprop). The sediment triad component, toxicity testing, indicates impairment of the benthic community from exposure to sediments at PDA-5.

Surface water toxicity testing for the planktonic invertebrate, Ceriodaphnia dubia, resulted in significantly lower survival at 2 days and 7 days at PDA-2, PDA-2FD, PDA-3 and PDA-4 compared to control samples and all other samples. Both PDA -2 and PDA -2FD resulted in 0% survival at Day 2. Stations PDA-2 through PDA-4 comprise the southern, silty transect in the study area (50, 150 and 300 feet from shore, respectively). These stations have elevated SVOCs (4-chloroaniline), VOCs (chlorobenzene) and herbicides (2,4-D). Reproduction also was significantly reduced at PDA-5 (50 feet from shore on the middle transect) compared to the controls and all other stations, and at PDA-8 and PDA-9 in reference to two controls, but not the reference areas. The surface water planktonic invertebrate tests indicate a potential risk to planktonic invertebrates in terms of survival, and at one station, reproduction. However, it was assumed that water-column plankton were exposed to surface water at the sediment/surface water interface. The toxicity test exposures the plankton to this surface water for seven days. This is a conservative assumption because the surface water in the study area undergoes dynamic mixing and dilution continuously and water column plankton integrate exposures throughout the water column in the high energy environment.

Fish Impact - Several COPCs including dioxin, herbicides, pesticides and SVOCs were detected in fish from the study area at concentrations higher than those detected in fish from the UDA and/or the DDA reference areas, indicating that fish at the study area have a higher exposure. Of the COPCs detected in fish tissue, the study area fish tissue concentrations with available TRVs (Toxicity Reference Values) do not exceed the No Effect TRVs. However, TRVs are not available for some COPCs, particularly the phenoxy herbicides. For those compounds without TRVs, the comparison indicates that study area fish have a higher exposure than reference fish for a subset of detected COPCs. There is some uncertainty in this line of evidence because of the lack of TRVs for some compounds.

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 17 of 93 Page ID #4050

St 15 8

1.4.94

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

Fish species are at risk from direct exposure to study area sediments and due to threats to the prey base in sediment and surface water based on toxicity test results. However, based on the benthic survey information, the physical environment inherent to the Mississippi River under high-energy conditions reduces the importance of the benthic community as a prey base for fish communities. Planktonic invertebrates do serve as a prey base for fish species, however, the assessment assumes that they are exposed to dynamic water concentrations reflecting dilution and dispersion in the high-energy environment. Direct comparisons of COPC concentrations to guidelines indicate limited risk from exposure to a few compounds. Study area -specific COPCs, such as MCPP (Methyl Chlorophenoxy Propionic Acid), are present in study area sediment and fish tissue and are not detected in UDA or DDA samples indicating that the compounds are accumulating.

Wildlife Impact - Wildlife observations, specifically fish diversity, is similar at the study area, DDA and UDA. Habitat between these areas differs physically (study area steep and rocky shoreline) which may affect wildlife use, but this difference is not due to COPC concentrations. Comparison of COPC concentrations in surface water to wildlife drinking water benchmarks (NOAELs) indicated that no COPC for which there is a benchmark exceeded that benchmark.

Analysis of wildlife (birds and mammals) that utilize fish as a prey base and may be incidentally exposed to study area surface water and/or sediment and consume fish indicates that there is no significant risk of harm from exposure to study area media for any COPC with a TRV. However, no TRV was available for MCPP and other phenoxy herbicides and COPCs. MCPP is detected in study area sediment and fish tissue, but not in DDA or UDA sediment or fish tissue. Therefore, there is some uncertainty in this endpoint.

The analysis of potential risk to local populations for wildlife as represented by two bird and one mammal receptor species exposed to study area sediment, surface water and fish tissue indicates a low potential for risk. Observations do not indicate clear impacts to wildlife populations utilizing the study area.

In general, the impacts occur within 300 feet of shore. The toxicity tests indicate toxicity at four stations within 150 feet of shore. The surface water at one station, PDA-4, results in water column toxicity and is located approximately 300 feet from shore. This station is located downstream from the wing dam and is somewhat protected from river currents.

# Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

**Summary** - Menzie-Cura's Ecological Risk Assessment indicates that:

- Fish species are at risk from exposure to sediment based on the results of toxicity testing;
- Fish prey, such as planktonic invertebrates, are at risk from exposure to surface water based on toxicity tests. Planktonic invertebrates do serve as a prey base for fish species, however, the assessment assumes that they are exposed to surface water at the sediment-surface water interface. In reality, they are exposed to dynamic water concentrations reflecting dilution and dispersion in the high-energy riverine environment. Benthic organisms are also at risk from exposure to sediment based on laboratory toxicity tests. However, the inherent highenergy physical environment in the study area in the Mississippi River limits the number of benthic invertebrates. Therefore, benthic invertebrates are not abundant and are not considered an important prey component for fish at the study area.
- Fish are accumulating compounds, specifically MCPP [methyl-chlorophenoxy-propionic acid], detected in study area sediments but not detected in reference sediments.
- There is a low potential risk to wildlife foraging on the media (sediment, surface water and fish) in the study area.
- There are a number of compounds without applicable sediment, surface water or tissue guidelines.
   Comparisons of study area concentrations to reference concentrations indicate that a subset are found in concentrations in study area media that exceed the concentrations in reference media.
- In general, the impacts occur within 300 feet of the shoreline. All toxicity tests resulting in potential toxicity occurred within 150 feet of shore, with the exception of one station (PDA-4) at 300 feet. This station is located downstream of the wing dam in an area where surface waters are more protected from the strong currents.
- VOCs, SVOCs, and one herbicide are elevated at the surface water stations with toxicity, and VOCs, and

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 19 of 93 Page ID #4052

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

herbicides are elevated at the second in stations with toxicity.

#### 7.3 BASIS FOR RESPONSE ACTION

While the human health risk assessment for Site R indicated there is no unacceptable risk to human receptors due to site-related COCs, the June 2001, Menzie-Cura and Associates ecological risk assessment revealed that fish species are at risk from exposure to sediment, fish prey are at risk from exposure to surface water, and a number of compounds found in sediment, surface water and fish tissue were not found in reference areas. As such, actual or threatened releases of hazardous substances from this Site, if not addressed by implementing the response action selected in this ROD, may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to public health, welfare, or the environment.

#### 8. INTERIM REMEDIATION OBJECTIVES

Based on the risks associated with the release of impacted groundwater to surface water downgradient of Sauget Sites O, Q (dog leg), and R; Sauget Area 1 Site I; the W.G. Krummrich plant, Clayton Chemical Facility and other industrial facilities in the Sauget area, the following Remedial Action Objectives were identified for the Interim Groundwater Remedial Action:

- Protection of aquatic life in surface water and sediments from exposure to site contaminants;
- Prevent or abate actual or potential exposure to nearby human populations (including workers), animals or the food chain from hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants;
- Prevent or abate actual or potential contamination of drinking water supplies and ecosystems;
- Achieve acceptable chemical-specific contaminant levels, or range of levels, for all applicable exposure routes;
- Mitigate or abate the release of contaminated groundwater in the plume area to the Mississippi River so that the impact is "insignificant" or "acceptable" as required by the May 3, 2000 W.G. Krummrich RCRA AOC (EPA Docket No. R8H-5-00-003).

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

An Interim Groundwater Remedy can be implemented to abate aquatic impacts while the Sauget Area 2 RI/FS is being performed to evaluate remedial alternatives that will abate impacts on groundwater. Once the Sauget Area 2 RI/FS is completed, a Final Groundwater Remedy will be selected.

Mass loading, gradient control and sediment and surface water monitoring are appropriate performance measures for the Interim Groundwater Remedy remedial action objectives outlined above.

#### 9. DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES

This Section provides a narrative summary of each alternative evaluated to address the release of contaminated groundwater to the Mississippi River. An ecological risk assessment performed in June 2001 indicates there is an adverse impact on the Mississippi River resulting from the release of groundwater from Sauget Area 2 Sites 0, Q (dog leg), and R; Sauget Area 1 Site I; the W.K. Krummrich plant, the Clayton Chemical Facility, and other industrial facilities in the Sauget area. Based on this risk assessment, it is appropriate to take an Interim Remedial Action to protect the Mississippi River before the Sauget Area 2 RI/FS is completed, the Sauget Area 1 ROD is issued and the RCRA Corrective Measures Study is performed for the Krummrich plant. An engineered barrier located at the downgradient edge of the impacted groundwater plume is the only effective interim remedy that will achieve the objective of protecting the Mississippi For that reason, only three alternatives were compared in River. this Focused Feasibility Study and summarized below.

#### Alternative 1 - No Action

The "No Action" alternative represents a baseline against which the effectiveness of other groundwater alternatives can be compared. This alternative includes no actions to abate the impact of groundwater being released to surface water downgradient of Sauget Area 2 Site R. Implementation of a No Action alternative will not protect the Mississippi River from adverse ecological impact due to the release of impacted groundwater to surface water and will not address the primary potential risk to human health. In addition, a No Action alternative is unlikely to be effective or permanent in the longterm because it does not provide for treatment beyond that afforded by natural processes. This alternative is readily implementable and there are no costs are associated with implementation.

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 21 of 93 Page ID #4054

1. 2.

844 A

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

Physical Barrier, Groundwater Treatment, Groundwater Quality Monitoring, Groundwater Level Monitoring, Sediment and Surface Water Monitoring, and Institutional Controls

**Physical Barrier** - A 3,500 foot long, "U"-shaped, fully penetrating, jet grout barrier wall will be installed between the downgradient boundary of Sauget Area 2 Site R and the Mississippi River to abate the release of impacted groundwater (Figure 9-1). It will extend along the entire 2,000 feet north/south length of Site R with the arms of the "U" extending approximately 750 feet to the east (upgradient), past the eastern boundary of Site R and terminating before the USACE floodwall. Three partially penetrating groundwater recovery wells will be installed inside the "U"-shaped barrier wall to control groundwater moving to the wall.

Groundwater Treatment - Extracted groundwater will be treated to meet all relevant and appropriate discharge requirements.

**Groundwater Quality Monitoring** - Groundwater quality samples will be collected downgradient of the engineered barrier to determine mass loading to the Mississippi River resulting from any contaminants migrating through, past or beneath them.

**Groundwater Level Monitoring** - Groundwater level monitoring will be done to ensure acceptable performance of the physical barrier. Groundwater elevation data from water-level measurement piezometers can be used to assess whether or not gradient control is achieved if a physical or hydraulic barrier is installed to abate the release of impacted groundwater to the Mississippi River.

Sediment and Surface Water Monitoring - Sediment and surface water samples will be collected in the plume release area to determine the effect of any contaminants migrating through, past or beneath the barrier wall and being released to the Mississippi River.

**Institutional Controls** - Institutional controls will be utilized to limit fishing in the plume release area by limiting site access, posting warning signs, and implementing a public education program. Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 22 of 93 Page ID #4055

# Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

# Alternative 3: Hydraulic Barrier, Groundwater Treatment, Groundwater Quality Monitouing, Groundwater Level Monitoring, Sediment and Surface Water Monitoring, and Institutional Controls

This alternative includes groundwater treatment, groundwater quality monitoring, water level monitoring, sediment and surface water monitoring, and institutional controls previously discussed under Alternative 2.

**Hydraulic Barrier** - Three partially penetrating groundwater recovery wells, capable of pumping a combined total of 606 to 1,448 gpm, will be installed downgradient of Sauget Area 2 Site R to abate the release of impacted groundwater to surface water to the point where the impact on the Mississippi River is reduced to acceptable levels (Figure 9-2).

## 10. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES

Section 121(b)(1) of CERCLA presents several factors that at a minimum EPA is required to consider in its assessment of alternatives. Building upon these specific statutory mandates, the NCP articulates nine evaluation criteria to be used in assessing the individual remedial alternatives. The purpose of this evaluation is to promote consistent identification of the relative advantages and disadvantages of each alternative, thereby guiding selection of remedies offering the most effective and efficient means of achieving site cleanup goals. While all nine criteria are important, they are weighed differently in the decision-making process depending on whether they evaluate protection of human health and the environment or compliance with Federal and State requirements, standards, criteria, and limitations (threshold criteria); consider technical or socioeconomic merits (primary balancing criteria); or involve the evaluation of non-EPA reviewers that may influence an EPA decision (modifying criteria).

# 10.1 OVERALL PROTECTION OF HUMAN HEALTH AND THE ENVIRONMENT

This criterion evaluates whether an alternative achieves and maintains adequate protection of human health and the environment. Alternative 1 - "No Action" would not provide adequate protection to human health and the environment because it would not eliminate, reduce, or control the existing threats to public health and the environment. The June 2001 Ecological Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 23 of 93 Page ID #4056

#### he res

1. C. .

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

644 S D+

1.211

Risk Assessment demonstrated that groundwater being released to surface water is adversely impacting sediment and surface water in the Mississippi River. In addition, site-specific compounds were present in fish tissue collected in this area at higher concentrations than were detected in fish tissue collected upstream and downstream of the plume release area. Because the "No Action" alternative is not protective of human health and the environment, it was eliminated from consideration under the remaining eight criteria.

Alternative 2 and 3 would protect the Mississippi River from adverse ecological impacts resulting from impacted groundwater being released to surface water. Protection will be achieved by capturing impacted groundwater that results in surface water and sediment tokicity and fish tissue bioaccumulation. Performance of groundwater quality, groundwater level and sediment and surface water monitoring will ensure that interim remedial action objectives are met. These alternatives include institutional controls as an added means of protecting human health.

# 10.2 COMPLEANCE WITH APPLICABLE OR RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE REQUIREMENTS (ARARs)

Section 121(d) of CERCLA requires that remedial action at CERCLA sites at least attain legally applicable or relevant and appropriate federal and State requirements, standards, criteria, and limitations which are collectively referred to as "ARARs", unless such ARARs are waived under CERCLA section 121(d)(4).

Applicable requirements are those cleanup standards, standards of control, and other substantive requirements, criteria, or limitations promulgated under federal environmental or State environmental or facility siting laws that specifically address a hazardous substance, pollutant, contaminant, remedial action, location, or other circumstance found at a CERCLA site Only those standards that are identified by a State in a timely manner and that are more stringent than federal requirements may be applicable. Relevant and appropriate requirements are those cleanup standards, standards of control, and other substantive requirements, criteria, or limitations promulgated under federal environmental or State environmental or facility siting laws that, while not "applicable" to a hazardous substance, pollutant, contaminant, remedial action, location, or other circumstance at a CERCLA site address problems or situations sufficiently similar to those encountered at the CERCLA site that their use is wellsuited to the particular site. Only those State standards that

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 24 of 93 Page ID #4057

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

are identified in a timely manner and are more stringent than federal requirements may be relevant and appropriate.

Compliance with ARARs addresses whether a remedy will meet all of the applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements of other Federal and State environmental statutes or provides a basis for invoking a waiver. The type of legal requirements applying to Superfund responses will differ to some extent depending upon whether the activity in question takes place on site or off site. Congress limited the scope of EPA's obligation to attain administrative ARARs through CERCLA \$121(e), which states that no federal, State, or local permits are required for on-site Superfund response actions. This permit exemption allows the response action to proceed in an expeditious manner, free from potentially lengthy delays associated with the permit process.

ARARs are categorized as chemical-specific, location-specific, or action-specific.

Chemical-specific ARARs define acceptable concentrations and are used to establish preliminary remediation goals. State and federal surface water criteria and drinking water standards are appropriate chemical-specific ARARs for ground-water quality. This interim action will only address those risks associated with the release of impacted groundwater to surface water identified in the 2001 ecological risk assessment. EPA will continue to collect the necessary data through the RI/FS process in order to develop options for a long-term comprehensive groundwater cleanup for Area 2. Due to the limited scope of the interim action, EPA will be invoking an interim action waiver of chemical-specific ARARs. An interim action waiver is appropriate where a requirement that is an ARAR cannot be met as part of the interim remedy, but will be attained by the final site remedy.

Location specific ARARs set restrictions on activities within certain locations such as floodplains or wetlands. Alternatives 2 and 3 would be compliant with location specific ARARs.

Action-specific ARARs set controls for particular treatment and disposal activities related to the management of hazardous waste. Alternative 2 and 3 are expected to comply with action-specific ARARs.

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 25 of 93 Page ID #4058

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

÷ "+

#### 10.3 LONG-TERM EFFECTIVENESS AND PERMANENCE

1. A. A.

Long-term effectiveness and permanence refers to expected residual risk and the ability of a remedy to maintain reliable protection of human health and the environment over time, once clean-up levels have been met. This criterion includes the consideration of residual risk that will remain onsite following remediation and the adequacy and reliability of controls.

The long-term effectiveness of Alternative 2 depends on the structural integrity of the physical barrier and the continued operation of the groundwater extraction system. Following proper design and installation, this alternative should effectively control the release of contaminated groundwater to surface water. Alternative 2 offers the benefit of reducing the reliance of a mechanical pumping system that may occasionally fail and that would require shutdown for maintenance. The engineered barrier would prevent the immediate release of contaminated groundwater to the Mississippi River. The effectiveness of Alternative 3 depends on the integrity of the extraction system; however, continuous operation of Alternative 3 should effectively control the release of contaminated groundwater into the Mississippi Monitoring the effectiveness of Alternative 3 would be River. more difficult than Alternative 2 due to the inability to collect groundwater quality data outside the influence of the extraction system in a down gradient direction.

# 10.4 REDUCTION IN TOXICITY, MOBILITY AND VOLUME THROUGH TREATMENT

Reduction of toxicity, mobility, or volume through treatment refers to the anticipated performance of the treatment technologies that may be included as part of the remedy.

Alternatives 2 and 3 utilize conventional technologies that have been proven effective in reducing the toxicity, mobility, and volume of contaminated groundwater by providing hydraulic control and removal of affected groundwater before it releases to the Mississippi River.

#### 10.5 SHORT-TERM EFFECTIVENESS

Short-term effectiveness addresses the period of time needed to implement the remedy and any adverse impacts that may be posed to workers, the community and the environment during construction and operation of the remedy until cleanup levels are achieved.
Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 26 of 93 Page ID #4059

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

Alternatives 2 and 3 would not pose a substantial risk during construction and operation. Short-term risk to workers associated with normal construction hazards and potential contact with contaminated water will be eliminated through appropriate controls and adherence to proper health and safety protocols. Investigation-derived waste and purge water produced during well development and sampling will be managed and disposed of as provided for in an appropriate sampling and analysis plan. Extracted groundwater will be treated and discharged in compliance with all applicable standards and permits. Alternative 3 more quickly mitigates the adverse surface water impacts resulting from groundwater being released to the Mississippi River because it can be implemented sooner than Alternative 2. Consequently, Alternative 3 is more effective in the short term than Alternative 2.

#### 10.6 IMPLEMENTABILITY

Implementability addresses the technical and administrative feasibility of a remedy from design through construction and operation. Factors such as availability of services and materials, administrative feasibility, and coordination with other governmental entities are also considered.

Alternative 3 can be implemented more readily than Alternative 2 because installation of a physical barrier is not included in this alternative. Installing a physical barrier to depths of 120 feet will be difficult, but within the capabilities of available technology. Both Alternative 2 and Alternative 3 include groundwater extraction and treatment. Additional time will be required to plan, design, procure and install the extraction and treatment system. Both of these alternatives are implementable with conventional materials and equipment.

#### 10.7 COST

This criterion includes estimated capital and operation and maintenance costs as well as present worth costs. Present worth cost is the total cost of an alternative over time in terms of today's dollar value. Cost estimates are expected to be accurate within a range of +50 to -30 percent.

The present worth cost for Alternative 2 is \$ \$26,586,366. The present worth cost for Alternative 3 is \$50,338,199. Alternative 3 (\$50.3MM) is significantly more expensive than Alternative 2 (\$26.5MM) on a 30-year present value basis. A summary of all the

53

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 27 of 93 Page ID #4060

¥ ¥.

### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

alternative's costs is provided below. No costs are associated with Alternative 1.

### Project Element

### Alternative 2 Alternative 3

#### (Physical Barrier) (Hydraulic Barrier)

100

| 30-Year Present Value Cost | \$26,586,366 | \$50,338,199 |
|----------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Groundwater Treatment      | \$17,446,864 | \$47,220,670 |
| Barrier                    | \$7,045,794  | \$1,023,821  |
| Monitoring                 | \$1,845,527  | \$1,845,527  |
| Institutional Controls     | \$248,181    | \$248,181    |

#### **10.8 STATE ACCEPTANCE**

The IEPA has indicated it's intention to concur with the Selected Remedy. The Letter of Concurrence will be added to the Administrative Record upon receipt.

### 10.9 COMMUNITY ACCEPTANCE

This criterion evaluates whether the local community agrees with EPA's analyses and preferred alternative. Very few comments were received regarding the Proposed Plan for the Site. Based on its communications and contacts with the community, EPA believes the community would be supportive of Alternatives 2 or 3.

#### 11. SELECTED REMEDY

#### 11.1 SUMMARY OF THE RATIONALE FOR THE SELECTED REMEDY

The selected remedy is considered an interim remedial action for the groundwater operable unit (OU-2) Sauget Area 2 Site. This limited-scope action is intended only to address the release of contaminated groundwater into the Mississippi River in the vicinity of Site R and the associated risks. Operation of the physical barrier and groundwater extraction system will provide additional information to be used in developing options for a final long-term comprehensive groundwater remedy.

A final response action to address fully the threats posed by conditions at the Sauget Area 2 Site will be taken upon

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 28 of 93 Page ID #4061

### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

completion of the Sauget Area 2 RI/FS in 2004. The selected remedy includes a physical barrier, groundwater treatment, institutional controls, groundwater quality, groundwater level and sediment and surface water monitoring, is the proposed preferred alternative that was identified in the Proposed Plan.

#### 11.2 DESCRIPTION OF REMEDIAL COMPONENTS

The major components of the remedy are:

Physical Barrier - A 3,500 ft. long, "U"-shaped, fully penetrating, jet grout barrier wall will be installed between the downgradient boundary of Sauget Area 2 Site R and the Mississippi River (Figure 9-1) to abate the release of impacted groundwater. The purpose of the barrier wall is to minimize the volume of groundwater that has to be extracted to ensure equal heads on both sides of the wall. It will extend along the entire 2,000 ft. north/south length of Site R with the arms of the "U" extending approximately 750 feet to the east (upgradient), past the eastern boundary of Site R and terminating before the U.S. ACE floodwall.

The barrier wall will be taken to the top of the bedrock surface which is expected to be in the range of 120 to 140 feet deep. The injection holes will be drilled a few feet into the rock to ensure that the injection ports are at the same elevation as the top of the rock.

The geometry and installation methods for the wall will be optimized during the remedial design. The jet grout system allows the physical barrier to be constructed in a number of different ways including intersecting panels, half columns, and columns. At this time, it appears that the use of intersecting panels may best suit the conditions of the Site in terms of constructability and ease of installation. These panels can vary in thickness between 4 to 6 inches and 2 feet, and will intersect at a shallow angle with overlap past the point of intersection.

The jet grout wall is expected to produce a continuous barrier with minimal gaps. Minor discontinuities may occur because of very localized geologic variations. These discontinuities, if they exist, are expected to be very minor and will not materially affect the performance of the system. Larger discontinuities will be identified by the QA/QC program and addressed.

55

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 29 of 93 Page ID #4062

3 C.S.

### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

Quality control measures will include the construction of test cells prior to wall construction and evaluation of the integrity by performing a pump drawdown test within the cell, pre-drilling the grout injection holes and gauging each hole with an inclinometer to ensure verticality, and coring the completed panels at regular intervals to check for strength and soil-grout consistency.

The approximate spacing of grout injection points will be finalized in the field on the basis of test panel construction. The spacing is dependent on a number of variables, including the equipment used by the contractor, injection pressures, mix design, and site specific geologic conditions. Spacing is anticipated to be somewhere in the range of 5 to 10 feet. Only one row of injection points is planned since the panel sections will be angled to intersect each other.

- Groundwater Extraction Three partially penetrating groundwater recovery wells, capable of pumping a combined total of 303 to 724 gpm, will be installed inside the "U"shaped barrier wall to abate groundwater moving to the wall. Modeling indicates that groundwater is released to the Mississippi River for high, average and low river stage conditions at 303, 535 and 724 gpm, respectively (Volume II - Design Basis and Design).
- Groundwater Treatment Once extracted, the contaminated groundwater would be treated and ultimately discharged to the Mississippi River. Several groundwater treatment options are currently being evaluated. Selection of the actual treatment technologies and the location of the treatment system will be determined during the remedial design.

The treatment component of the groundwater alternative will utilize presumptive technologies identified in EPA's groundwater presumptive strategy, "Presumptive Response Strategy and Ex-Situ Treatment Technologies for Contaminated Groundwater at CERCLA Site", October 1996, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (OSWER) Directive 9283.1-12 (Appendix C to the ROD). Since contaminants of concern include volatile and semivolatile organic compounds, one or more of the presumptive technologies - air stripping, granular activated carbon (GAC), chemical/UV oxidation and aerobic biological reactors - will be used for treating Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 30 of 93 Page ID #4063

### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

aqueous contaminants in the extracted groundwater. Other technologies may also be needed in the treatment system for removal of suspended mineral solids and treatment of vapor phase contaminants. The actual technologies and sequence of technologies used for the treatment system will be determined during the remedial design. Final selection of these technologies will be based on additional site information to be collected during the remedial design. Based on this information and sound engineering practice, the treatment system shall be designed to attain the chemical-specific discharge or pretreatment requirements and other performance criteria in compliance with ARARs. Other design factors shall include maximizing long-term effectiveness, maximizing long-term reliability (i.e., minimizing the likelihood of process upsets), and minimizing long-term operating costs. Treated groundwater would ultimately be discharged to the Mississippi River.

Additional information concerning presumptive technologies for the ex-situ treatment component of the remedy is provided in OSWER Directive 9283.1-1-12. Descriptions of each of the presumptive technologies are presented in Appendices D1 through D8, and advantages and limitations of each of these technologies are listed in Appendix C4 of this directive.

For the purpose of estimating the approximate cost of the treatment component of the selected remedy, it was assumed that extracted groundwater would be routed to the American Bottoms Regional Treatment Facility (ABRTF) via subsurface pipeline which would connect with the Village of Sauget trunk sewer leading to the PChem Plant.

Groundwater Quality Monitoring - Groundwater quality samples will be collected downgradient of the physical barrier to determine mass loading to the Mississippi River resulting from any contaminants migrating through, past or beneath the barrier wall. Groundwater quality samples will be collected from four monitoring well clusters and analyzed for VOCs, SVOCs, Herbicides, Pesticides and Metals. TOC and TDS will also be determined for each sample. Each well cluster will consist of monitoring wells screened in the Shallow, Middle and Deep Hydrogeologic Units. A total of twelve monitoring wells will be installed. Figure 9-1 depicts the planned monitoring well network. Groundwater samples will be collected quarterly until the final groundwater remedy and

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 31 of 93 Page ID #4064

3 . A.

Server 1

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

associated groundwater monitoring program for the Sauget Area 2 Site is in place. Mass loading for each hydrogeologic unit will be calculated using average TOC and TDS concentration in the unit. Total mass loading to the Mississippi River will be determined by summing the mass loads for the SHU, MHU and DHU. Total mass loading will be plotted over time to track changes in the amount of mass being released to the Mississippi River.

Groundwater Level Monitoring - Groundwater level monitoring will be done to ensure acceptable performance of the physical barrier. Soil samples from the borings completed for the purpose of installing water-level piezometers will be screened for the presence of NAPL. In addition, existing wells downgradient of Sauget Area 2 Site R will be measured for accumulation of NAPL.

Groundwater levels will be monitored at the physical barrier to determine if gradient control is achieved. Gradient control will be determined by:

- Comparing the water-level elevations in pairs of fully penetrating water-level piezometers installed at the northwest corner of the barrier wall, southwest corner, halfway between the south pumping well and the center pumping well, and halfway between the north pumping well and the center pumping well (Figure 9-1). One piezometer of each pair will be installed inside the barrier wall and one will be installed outside it. Pumping rates will be adjusted so that the water-level elevation in the inside piezometer is the same as the water-level elevation in the outside piezometer. This will ensure that groundwater moving to the physical barrier is controlled. Electronic water-level recorders will be installed in each piezometer and telemetry will be used to send the water-level data to the pump controller. Groundwater elevations inside and outside the barrier wall will be compared by the pump controller and pumping rates will be adjusted to maintain the same groundwater level elevation inside the barrier wall as measured outside the wall.
- Groundwater levels will be measured manually on a quarterly basis in existing wells B-21B, B-22A, B-24C, B-25A, B-25B, B-26A, B-26B, B-28A, B-28B and B-29B to supplement gradient control information from the waterlevel piezometers.

58

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 32 of 93 Page ID #4065

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

Sediment and Surface Water Monitoring - Sediment and surface water samples will be collected in the plume release area to determine the effect of any contaminants migrating through, past or beneath the barrier wall and being released to the Mississippi River. Impact will be determined by comparing constituent concentrations to site-specific, toxicity-based, protective concentrations derived from existing sediment and surface water chemistry and toxicity data. An Apparent Effects Threshold approach will be used to derive sitespecific, protective constituent concentrations for sediments and a Toxic Units approach will be used to derive site-specific, protective constituent concentrations for surface water.

Surface water and sediment samples will be collected at Sediment Sampling Stations - 2, 3, 4, 5 and 9, where toxicity was observed in October/November 2000, and analyzed for VOCs, SVOCs, Herbicides, Pesticides and Metals. Constituent concentrations will be plotted as a function of time and compared to the site-specific, toxicity-based, protective concentrations to determine progress toward achieving these targets.

Sediment and surface water sampling will be conducted twice a year, once during the summer low flow period and once during the winter low flow period, when groundwater being released to the Mississippi River is high.

Institutional Controls - This alternative includes institutional controls in combination with a well-designed performance-monitoring program. Institutional controls will be utilized to limit fishing in the plume release area while performance monitoring will be used to evaluate the effectiveness of the physical barrier in mitigating or abating the release of groundwater to the Mississippi River so that the impact is "insignificant" or "acceptable".

Access to the Mississippi River in the plume release area is limited by existing fencing at Site R, a very steep riverbank and the absence of public roads leading to this area. Additional institutional controls would include warning signs posted at the top of the riverbank in the plume release area and in nearby river access areas. A public education program would be implemented by the appropriate government agencies to inform the public that

\$ 70 A.Y

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

fish in the impacted groundwater release area may contain site-related constituents and to assure public awareness of the potential risks, if any, that may be associated with consumption of fish caught in the plume release area.

Routine maintenance and inspection of the condition and effectiveness of the institutional controls will be performed. For estimating purposes, it is assumed that inspections will be conducted quarterly.

### 11.3 SUMMARY OF THE ESTIMATED REMEDY COSTS

The present worth cost for the selected remedy is \$26,586,366. A more detailed cost estimate summary for the selected remedy is provided in Table 11-1.

The information in this cost estimate summary table is based on the best available information regarding the anticipated scope of the remedial alternative. Changes in the cost elements are likely to occur as a result of new information and data collected during the engineering design of the remedial alternative. Major changes may be documented in the form of a memorandum in the Administrative Record file, an ESD, or a ROD amendment. This is an order-of-magnitude engineering cost estimate that is expected to be within +50 to -30 percent of the actual cost.

#### 11.4 EXPECTED OUTCOMES OF THE SELECTED REMEDY

The Selected Remedy will greatly reduce the environmental impacts associated with the release of contaminated groundwater to the Mississippi River in the vicinity of Sauget Area 2 Site R. This will be accomplished through the containment and extraction of contaminated groundwater downgradient of Sauget Area 2 Site R, thereby reducing mass loading to the Mississippi River. Reduction of mass loading will abate aquatic organism exposure to impacted groundwater, contamination of ecosystems and sediment The preferred alternative will, in the short term, toxicity. prevent or abate actual or potential human and ecosystem exposure to hazardous substances, pollutants and contaminants. In the long term, operation of an engineered barrier may achieve acceptable chemical-specific contaminant levels downgradient of the barrier. Due to the limited scope of the interim action, EPA will be invoking an interim action waiver of chemical-specific Chemical-specific ARARs define acceptable concentrations ARARs. and are used to establish preliminary remediation goals. Aquifer restoration, which will be evaluated in the Sauget Area 2 RI/FS, is not within the scope of the interim remedial action.

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 34 of 93 Page ID #4067

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

### 12. STATUTORY DETERMINATIONS

Based on information currently available, EPA believes the Preferred Alternative meets the threshold criteria and provides the best balance for tradeoffs among the other alternatives with respect to the balancing and modifying criteria. The EPA expects the Freferred Alternative to satisfy the following statutory requirements of CERCLA Section 121(b): (1) be protective of human health and the environment; (2) comply with ARARs (or justify a waiver); and (3) be cost-effective. Although this interim action is not intended to address fully the statutory mandate for permanence and treatment to the maximum extent practicable, this interim action does utilize treatment and thus supports the statutory mandate. Because this action does not constitute the final remedy for the Sauget Area 2 Groundwater Operable Unit, the statutory preference for remedies that employ treatment that reduces toxicity, mobility, or volume as a principal element, although partially addressed in this remedy, will be addressed by the final response action.

### 12.1 PROTECTION OF HUMAN HEALTH AND THE ENVIRONMENT

The Selected Remedy will protect the Mississippi River from adverse ecological impacts resulting from impacted groundwater being released to surface water. Protection will be achieved by capturing impacted groundwater that results in surface water and sediment toxicity and fish tissue bioaccumulation. Performance of groundwater quality, groundwater level, sediment and surface water monitoring will ensure that remedial action objectives are met.

Implementation of institutional controls can reduce and/or control impact on human health by warning the public of the potential risks associated with eating fish caught in the plume release area.

### 12.2 COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE OR RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE REQUIREMENTS (ARARs)

The Selected Remedy will comply with all federal and any more stringent State ARARs that pertain to the Site.

#### 12.2.1 Chemical-Specific ARARs

Chemical-specific ARARs define acceptable concentrations and are used to establish preliminary remediation goals. State and

61

### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

federal surface water criteria and drinking water standards are appropriate chemical-specific ARARs for ground-water quality. Brief descriptions of the relevance and applicability of chemical-specific ARARs for groundwater are summarized in the following table:

| ARAR                    | Description   | Applicability               |
|-------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| 40 CFR 141.61           | MCLs for organic chemicals for<br>drinking water  | Relevant and<br>Appropriate |
| 40 CFR 141.62           | MCLs for inorg <b>anic chemicals for</b><br>drinking water  | Relevant and<br>Appropriate |
| 40 CFR 264.92           | Establishes groundwater<br>protection standards for<br>hazardous waste treatment and<br>disposal facilities   | Relevant and<br>Appropriate |
| 40 CFR 264.94           | Establishes maximum<br>concentration limits. Provides<br>for establishment of alternate<br>limits for groundwater<br>protection   | Relevant and<br>Appropriate |
| 40 CFR 264.95           | Establishes point of compliance<br>for which groundwater quality<br>standards apply   | Relevant and<br>Appropriate |
| 35 IAC 620              | Defines classes of groundwater<br>within the State of Illinois  | Applicable                  |
| 35 IAC 620.410          | Establishes numeric groundwater<br>quality standards for Class I<br>Potable Groundwater   | Applicable                  |
| 35 IAC 620.250          | Provides for establishment of a groundwater management zone to mitigate impairment  | Applicable                  |
| 35 IAC 620<br>Subpart D | Establishes groundwater quality<br>standards for classes of<br>groundwater. Provides for<br>establishing alternative<br>groundwater quality standards<br>for any chemical constituent in<br>a groundwater management zone | Applicable                  |

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 36 of 93 Page ID #4069

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

This interim action will only address those risks associated with the release of impacted groundwater to surface water identified in the 2001 ecological risk assessment. EPA will continue to collect the necessary data through the RI/FS process in order to develop options for a long-term comprehensive groundwater cleanup for Area 2. Due to the limited scope of the interim action, EPA will be invoking an interim action waiver of chemical-specific ARARs. An interim action waiver is appropriate where a requirement that is an ARAR cannot be met as part of the interim remedy, but will be attained by the final site remedy.

#### 12.2.2 Location-Specific ARARs

Location specific ARARs set restrictions on activities within certain locations such as floodplains or wetlands. A brief description of the relevance and applicability of locationspecific ARARs is summarized in the following table:

#### ARAR Description

Applicability

40 CFR Part 6Requires Federal agencies toApplicableand Appendix Aevaluate the potential effectsof actions to avoid adverselyimpacting flood plains

#### 12.2.3 Action-Specific ARARs

Action-specific ARARs set controls for particular treatment and disposal activities related to the management of hazardous waste. Brief descriptions of the relevance and applicability of action-specific ARARs are summarized in the following table:

| ARAR       | Description   | Applicability |
|------------|---|---------------|
| 40 CFR 125 | Establishes technology-based<br>limits for direct discharge of<br>treatment system effluent   | Applicable    |
| 40 CFR 402 | Controls the direct discharge<br>of pollutants to surface waters<br>through the National Pollutant<br>Discharge Elimination System<br>(NPDES) program | Applicable    |

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 37 of 93 Page ID #4070

1

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

ţ?

| ARAR |     |          | Description  | <b>Applicability</b>        |
|------|-----|----------|--|-----------------------------|
| 40   | CFR | 403.5    | Specifically prohibits the<br>direct discharge of pollutants<br>to a publicly-owned treatment<br>works without treatment, that<br>interfere with operations, or<br>that contaminate sludge | Applicable                  |
| 29   | CFR | 1910.120 | Standards for conducting work<br>at hazardous waste sites  | Applicable                  |
| 29   | CFR | 1926     | OSHA safety and health<br>standards  | Applicable                  |
| 35   | IAC | 306.302  | Standards for expansion of<br>existing or establishment of<br>new combined sewer service<br>areas  | Relevant and<br>Appropriate |
| 35   | IAC | 307.1101 | Sewer discharge criteria that<br>prohibit entry of certain types<br>of pollutants into a POTW  | Applicable                  |
| 35   | IAC | 309.102  | A NPDES permit is required for<br>any discharge to the waters of<br>the State of Illinois  | Applicable                  |
| 35   | IAC | 309.202  | A State Construction permit is<br>required for new sewer and<br>wastewater sources   | Applicable                  |

Appropriate ARARs will depend on the type of treatment process selected and whether the treatment and discharge occur on site or off site. Pursuant to Section 121(e) of CERCLA, "no Federal, State, or local permit shall be required for the portion of any removal or remedial action conducted entirely onsite, where such remedial action is selected and carried out in compliance with this section." Both the treatment process and the onsite/offsite determination will be made during the remedial design and the appropriate ARARs will be applied at that time.

### 12.3 COST-EFFECTIVENESS

The Selected Remedy is cost-effective and represents a reasonable value for the money to be spent. In making this determination, the following definition was used: "A remedy shall be cost-

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

effective if its costs are proportional to its overall effectiveness" (NCP 300.430(f)(ii)(D)). This determination was made by evaluating the overall effectiveness of those alternatives that satisfy the threshold criteria (i.e., that are protective of human health and the environment and comply with all federal and any more stringent State ARARs, or as appropriate, waive ARARs). Overall effectiveness was evaluated by assessing three of the five balancing criteria-long-term effectiveness and permanence; reduction in toxicity, mobility, and volume through treatment; and short-term effectiveness, in combination. The overall effectiveness of each alternative then was compared to the alternative's costs to determine cost-The relationship of the overall effectiveness of effectiveness. this remedial alternative was determined to be proportional to its costs and hence represents a reasonable value for the money to be spent. As only two alternatives were considered to be protective and ARAR compliant, the evaluation of the most costeffective alternative was based upon a comparison of the costs between Alternative 2 (with a net present value of \$26.5 million) and Alternative 3 (with a net present value of \$50.3 million). Alternative 2 is the most cost effective of the alternatives evaluated.

### 12.4 UTILIZATION OF PERMANENT SOLUTIONS AND ALTERNATIVE TREATMENT (OR RESOURCE RECOVERY) TECHNOLOGIES TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PRACTICABLE

Of those alternatives that are protective of human health and the environment and comply with ARARs, EPA has determined that the Selected Remedy provides the best balance of trade-offs in terms of the five balancing criteria, while also considering the statutory preference for treatment as a principal element and bias against off-site treatment and disposal and considering state and community acceptance. A principal element of the Remedy is the extraction and treatment of contaminated groundwater. The Selected Remedy does utilize treatment and thus supports the statutory mandate. The Selected Remedy satisfies the criteria for long-term effectiveness by preventing groundwater with contaminants in excess of allowable concentrations from being released to the Mississippi River. The barrier wall and extraction wells, along with monitoring and institutional controls, will provide more long-term effectiveness and permanence than the other alternatives. The Selected Remedy reduces the mobility of groundwater contaminants by providing physical and hydraulic control and removal of affected groundwater before it releases to the Mississippi River. The

. .

#### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

p · Ba ·

Selected Remedy does not present short-term risks different from the other alternatives. The Selected Remedy is likely to be more difficult to implement than the other alternatives evaluated, however, installation of a physical barrier and a three-well groundwater extraction system can be accomplished with conventional materials and equipment. In addition, IEPA is supportive of Alternative 2, and the community showed no preference between Alternatives 2 and 3. Since the Selected Remedy is an interim action, it is not intended to address fully the statutory mandate for permanence and treatment to the maximum extent practicable.

### 12.5 PREFERENCE FOR TREATMENT AS A PRINCIPAL ELEMENT

One of the principal elements of the Selected Remedy is the extraction and treatment of contaminated groundwater. Therefore, the Selected Remedy satisfies the statutory preference for treatment as a principal element by reducing mass loading to the Mississippi River through extraction and treatment of contaminated groundwater. The statutory preference for remedies that employ treatment that reduces toxicity, mobility, or volume as a principal element, although partially addressed in this remedy, will be more fully addressed by the final response action.

### 12.6 FIVE-YEAR REVIEW REQUIREMENTS

Because this remedy will result in hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants remaining on-site above levels that allow for unlimited use and unrestricted exposure, a statutory review will be conducted within five years after initiation of remedial action to ensure that the remedy is, or will be, protective of human health and the environment.

#### 12.7 DOCUMENTATION OF NO SIGNIFICANT CHANGES

The Proposed Plan was released for public comment in June 2002. It identified Alternative 2, engineered barrier and groundwater extraction as the Preferred Alternative to address the release of contaminated groundwater to the Mississippi River in the vicinity of Sauget Area 2 Site R. EPA reviewed all written and verbal comments submitted during the public comment period. It was determined that no changes to the remedy, as originally identified in the Proposed Plan, were necessary.

### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

The Proposed Plan stated that extracted groundwater would be routed to the ABRTF via subsurface pipeline which would connect with the Village of Sauget trunk sewer leading to the PChem Plant. The ROD does not specify a treatment option for the extracted groundwater. Several groundwater treatment options are being evaluated including the ABRTF. Selection of the actual treatment technologies and the location of the treatment system will be determined during the remedial design.

ŧ

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 41 of 93 Page ID #4074

### Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

•

FIGURES

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 42 of 93 Page ID #4075

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

----

## Figure 1-1

### Sauget Area 2 Site Location Map

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 43 of 93 Page ID #4076



Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

## Figure 5-1

### Conceptual Site Model for Human Health Risk Assessment

## Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 45 of 93 Page ID #4078

ł.



FIGURE Conceptual Site Model for Human Health Risk Assessment Sauget Area 2 RI/FS SSP Sauget Area 2 Sites Group Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 46 of 93 Page ID #4079

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

----

\_\_\_\_\_

### Figure 5-2

## Aquatic Conceptual Site Model for the Ecological Risk Assessment

# Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 47 of 93 Page ID #4080

nd no oupport Sampling Plan Sauget Area 2 Sites, Sauget, IL April 15, 2002

----

Figure 12-2

### **Aquatic Conceptual Site Model**

### for the Ponded Area

### **Ecological Risk Assessment**

۰.

# Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 48 of 93 Page ID #4081



Sauget Area 2 Sites Group

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 49 of 93 Page ID #4082

\_\_\_\_\_

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

<del>..</del>.-

### Figure 5-3

### Aquatic Conceptual Site Model for the Ecological Risk Assessment

# Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 50 of 93 Page ID #4083



Aquatic Conceptual Site Model for the Ponded Area Ecological Risk Assessment Sauget Area 2 RI/FS SSP Sauget Area 2 Sites Group

### Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 51 of 93 Page ID #4084

RI FS Support Sampling Plan Sauget Area 2 Sites, Sauget, IL April 15. 2002

- -

### Figure 12-3

# **Terrestrial Conceptual Site Model for**

## Ecological Risk Assessment

٠.

FILE: K:/ENVIRON\2.3-20010024.00 (SA2)\April 15 2002 report/Volume Downer for all figures.doc

ł

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 52 of 93 Page ID #4085

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

**.**...

### Figure 5-4

### Terrestrial Conceptual Site Model for the Ecological Risk Assessment

.

# Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 53 of 93 Page ID #4086

**Potential Receptors** 

Secondary Potential Potential Primary Prairie Red Earth-Release Exposure Exposure Release Secondary Primary Fox Vole Shrew Plants worms Mechanisms Pathways Sources Route Mechanisms Sources 1  $\bigcirc$ (Uptake) Ingestion Surface Deposition G Direct  $\bigcirc$ Soil Contact Sites Soil OPORS ----Infiltration/ C ► Biota Ingestion  $\bigcirc$  $\bigcirc$ 6 Percolation а

Key

pathway potentially complete; further evaluation recommended

pathway evaluated and determined to be incomplete; no further evaluation recommended

> Terrestrial Conceptual Site Model for Ecological Risk Assessment Sauget Area 2 RI/FS SSP Sauget Area 2 Sites Group

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

.

----

### Figure 5-5

### Cross Sections of the Valley Fill

East St. Louis Area, Illinois



Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 56 of 93 Page ID #4089

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

-

## Figure 5-6

### Geologic Cross Section

### and

## Piezometric Profile of the Valley Fill

### Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 57 of 93 Page ID #4090



IE ÷ 

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 58 of 93 Page ID #4091

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

-

### Figure 5-7

### Site Locus (PDA)

### W.G. Krummrich Plant

### Ecological Risk Assessment



Figure Site Locus (PDA) WGK Plant Ecological Risk Assessment Sauget, IL







Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

- -

## Figure 5-8

### PDA Transect Layout

### W.G. Krummrich Plant

### Ecological Risk Assessment

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 61 of 93 Page ID #4094



NOTE: North Stations = PDA10, PDA9, PDA8 Middle Stations = PDA7, PDA6, PDA5 South Stations (South of Dike) = PDA4, PDA3, PDA2


----

### Figure 5-9

### PDA Transect Layout (Schematic)

### W.G. Krummrich Plant

Ecological Risk Assessment

## FIGURE '· : PDA Transect Layout (Schematic) WGK Plant Ecological Risk Assessment Sauget, Illinois



X Sediment Collection and Sediment Bioassay

Water and bioassays at bottom only

**Benthic** Community

Reference Numbers: UDA-Sand = 11 UDA - Soft = 12 DDA - Sand = 13 DDA - Soft = 1 North

---

### Figure 5-10

#### PDA, UDA and DDA Locus Map

### W.G. Krummrich Plant

## Ecological Risk Assessment

Figure PDA, UDA and DDA Locus Map WGK Plant Ecological Risk Assessment Sauget, Illinois



1 0 1 2 Miles



Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 66 of 93 Page ID #4099

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

•

---

## Figure 5-11

## EPA Sediment Sampling Locations

### Adjacent to Site R

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 67 of 93 Page ID #4100



- TETRA TECH
  SAMPLING LOCATION
- MENZIE-CURA SAMPLING LOCATION



SOLUTIA FACILITY, SAUGET, ILLINOIS SAMPLING LOCATIONS ADJACENT TO SITE R

TETRA TECH EM INC.

•

## Figure 5-12

## EPA Upstream and Downstream

Sediment Sampling Locations

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 69 of 93 Page ID #4102



**y** S

9

TETRA TECH EM INC.

•

## Figure 5-13

### Total VOC Concentrations

## Shallow Hydrogeologic Unit

## Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 71 of 93 Page ID #4104





-

## Figure 5-14

### Total VOC Concentrations

## Middle Hydrogeologic Unit



Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 74 of 93 Page ID #4107

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

\_ ---

## Figure 5-15

### Total VOC Concentrations

## Deep Hydrogeologic Unit

# Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 75 of 93 Page ID #4108



-----

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

•

-----

## Figure 5-16

### Total SVOC Concentrations

## Shallow Hydrogeologic Unit

## Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 77 of 93 Page ID #4110



-

- -

-----

## Figure 5-17

### Total SVOC Concentrations

## Middle Hydrogeologic Unit

# Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 79 of 93 Page ID #4112



.....

. \_\_\_\_\_

-

- -

## Figure 5-18

## Total SVOC Concentrations

# Deep Hydrogeologic Unit





Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 82 of 93 Page ID #4115

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

~

- -

## Figure 5-19

#### Sauget Area 2

### Total VOC Concentrations in

### Shallow Wells

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 83 of 93 Page ID #4116



% Mile

AREA 2 GROUNDWATER TOTAL VOC CONCENTRATIONS SHALLOW WELLS Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 84 of 93 Page ID #4117

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

- -

### Figure 5-20

### Sauget Area 2

### Total VOC Concentrations in

## Intermediate/Deep Wells

## Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 85 of 93 Page ID #4118



TOTAL VOC CONCENTRATION INTERMEDIATE/DEEP WELLS Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 86 of 93 Page ID #4119

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

## Figure 5-21

### Sauget Area 2

### Total BNA Concentrations

### in Shallow Wells

## Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 87 of 93 Page ID #4120



TOTAL BNA CONCENTRATIONS SHALLOW WELLS

<del>.</del>.-

### Figure 5-22

### Sauget Area 2

### Total BNA Concentrations

## in Intermediate/Deep Wells



TOTAL BNA CONCENTRATION

## Figure 9-1

### Groundwater Alternative 2

## Physical Barrier



### Figure 9-2

### Groundwater Alternative 3

### Hydraulic Barrier

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-3 Filed 03/11/15 Page 93 of 93 Page ID #4126



Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 1 of 78 Page ID #4127

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

#### TABLES

### Table 5-1

## Surface Water Analytical Data Summary

# Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 3 of 78 Page ID #4129

Table 6-1. Surface Water Screening Table W G Knummrich Site Sauget, Winsis

Internal Review Draft . 11

|  |              |            |  |                  |         | Site (PD)   | U U          |  | _        |           |                    |                    |  |   |             |               |               |               |                   |  |
|--|--------------|------------|--|------------------|---------|-------------|--------------|--|----------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|--|---|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|--|
| Late      Late <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th> </th><th>ľ</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>urface Wate</th><th>Quality Crite</th><th>ria</th><th></th><th>Downe</th><th>tien (DDA) P.</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>  |              |            |  |                  |         |             | ľ            |  |          |           | urface Wate        | Quality Crite      | ria                                    |   | Downe       | tien (DDA) P. |               |               |                   |  |
|  |              |            |  |                  |         | -           | Brace manage | Acida  | SW IL    |           |                    | SW Tier 8          | SW Tier 8                              | Oak Ridos                               |             |               |               | Upstrea       | m (UDA) Ref       | erence   |
|  | CAS Number   | Analysis   | Name   | Unite            | Maximum | Average     | of Detection | 14401  | CHEOREC  | STY NAWQ  | SM NAMO            | Secondary          | Secondary                              | Lowest Chronic                          | Downster    | <b>.</b> .    | 2 X           |               |                   |  |
|  | 3268-87-9    | Dioxin     | 12346789-0000  | Dod.             | 180     | 167.3       | 100.000      |  | mu       | CINC-     | CCC1               | Acute <sup>3</sup> | Chronic <sup>3</sup>                   | All Organiama <sup>3</sup>              | Maximum     | Downstream    | Downstream    | Upstream      | Upstream          | Upstream   |
|  | 39001-02-0   | Dioxin     | 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-OCDF   | POL              | 5.2     | 4.014       | 11 1%        | ł  |          |           |                    |                    |  |   | 222         | Average       | Average       | Maximum       | Average           | Average  |
|  | 35822-46-9   | Dioxin     | 1234678-HpCDD  | pg/L             | 7       | 4.003       | 44.4%        | f  |          | •         |                    |                    |  | <u> </u>                                | ND          | <u>420.5</u>  | 441           | 195           | 182 3             | 364 6  |
|  | 67562-39-4   | Dicodin    | HALL HALL AND A CONTRACTOR   | 2 P. 1           | ND      | ND          | 0.0%         |  |          | +         | ····               |                    |  |   | ND          | ND            | ND ND         | ND            | ND                | ND   |
|  | 56673-89-7   | Dioxin     |  | PO/L             | NO      | ND          | 0.0%         |  |          | t         | + ·                |                    |  |   | ND          | ND            | ND            | <u>ND</u> _   | L N <u>E</u> r [  | ND]  |
|  | 39227-28-6   | Dicodin    |  | Part.            | ND      | ND          | 0.0%         |  |          |           | · · · · · ·        |                    |  |   | ND          | ND            | ND            |               | ND                | N <u>I</u>   |
|  | 57653-86-7   | Olanto     | 1,2,3,4,7,8-PECDF  | POL-             | 22      | 1 297       | 11.1%        |  |          |           | <b></b>            | t                  |  |   | ND          | ND            | ND            |               | 1 1 1             | 140  |
|  | 57117-44-9   | Dicatin    |  | POL.             | NU      | ND          | 0.0%         | ļ  |          |           |                    | † ··· · · · ·      | └─- <u>,</u> ·───                      | • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ND          | ND            | ND            | NO            | - <u>-</u>        | 40   |
|  | 19408-74-3   | Dicodin    |  |                  | NO      | ND          | 0.0%         | <b></b>  |          | +         |                    |                    | · · <u>-</u>                           | +                                       | - <u>ND</u> | ND            | ND            |               |                   | 10   |
|  | 72918-21-9   | Dicode     | TRADE CON THE REAL PROPERTY OF   | 001              | ND      | ND          | 0.0%         | ŧ  |          | +         | μ                  |                    | ······                                 | + · ·                                   |             | ND            | ND            | ND            |                   | 141.1  |
|  | 40321-76-4   | Dicudin    | 27 ACT 10 10 10 10 225 11  | PO/L             | ND      | ND          | 0.0%         | t  |          | <b>+-</b> | <b>∔</b> ∺         |                    |  | ·                                       |             | NU            | ND            | ND            | 10 <sup>1</sup>   |  |
|  | 57117-41-6   | Dicadin    |  | PO/L             | ND      | NO          | 0.0%         | <b>1</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |          | †·        | + ·                | +                  |  |   | ND          | ND            | <u>ND</u>     | ND .          | _ ño _ !          | - 40 <sup>-</sup>  |
|  | 00851-34-5   | Dicadon    |  | PRL.             | ND      | NO          | 0.0%         |  |          | t         | +                  | ł                  | · ······ ·                             |   | ND          | NO            | NU            | <u>NO</u>     | ΠD                | 41   |
|  | 1748-01-6    | Dieuden    |  | jeg.             | ND      | NO          | 0.0%         |  |          | 1         | •                  | †·                 |  |   | ND          | ND            | ND            |               | 40                | 14   |
| 1977 (2)    Dame    Transmoother    MA    MA <th< td=""><td>51207-31-9</td><td>Dication</td><td></td><td>Part.</td><td> ND</td><td>ND.</td><td>0.0%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>t</td><td>t ·</td><td>·····</td><td></td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>NO .</td><td>ta i 🛔</td></th<>   | 51207-31-9   | Dication   |  | Part.            | ND      | ND.         | 0.0%         |  |          |           | t                  | t ·                | ·····                                  |   | ND          | ND            | ND            | ND            | NO .              | ta i 🛔   |
| HMM      Loss      Loss      Loss      Loss      Hole  | 37871-00-4   | Diaxin     | Total HeCOD  | PEL              | 12.0    | ND 8 307    | 0.0%         | <b>-</b>                                       |          |           |                    | t · · ·            |  | +                                       | ND          | ND            | ND            | ND .          | 40                | 14   |
| Hadd Ad, Duen      Nutfield Screening and Screening  | 38998-75-3   | Dicidin    |  |                  | NO      | 8.39/<br>NO | 0.0%         | <u>↓</u>                                       |          | +         |                    | [                  | ·····                                  | +                                       | ND.         | ND            | ND            |               | L HU I            | NI:  |
| Sected 1      Date   | 34485-48-8   | Dioxin     | And the second se  | 1 001            | ND      | NO          | 0.0%         | <b>∤</b> ~ ———                                 |          |           | L                  |                    |  |   | <u> 9.4</u> | 8.95          | 17.9          | ND            |                   | 문 문  |
| M305 210    Dest    3.3    Max 3.5    <  | 56684-94-1   | Dicadin    | Total HscDF  | port             | 22      | 1.363       | 11.1%        | t  |          | +         |                    |                    |  | t                                       |             | <u>ND</u>     | ND            | ND            | <u>no</u> t       |  |
| Marcel 1-1    Date    To    NO   | 36088-22-9   | Dicudin    | BAR STATE AND A  | POA.             | ND      | NO          | 0.0%         | t  |          | <u>+</u>  | +                  |                    |  |   | NO -        |               | ND            | ND            | NG T              | 140  |
| 1800-17-3    Deen    Too    No   | 30402-15-4   | Dioxidin   | 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1   | Port.            | ND      | ND          | 0.0%         | t  | ·        | +··       | t                  |                    |  |   | ND          | ND            | ND .          | <u>ND</u>     | NO                | NC 1   |
| B2/E4/13      Deen      U      cbs. Methodshow:  | 41903-57-5   | Diordn     | No Standard Contraction  | 1.001            | ND      | ND          | 0.0%         | 1  |          | <b>†</b>  | +                  | ·                  |  |   | NO          | ND            | ND ND         | H ND          | - <del>ND_</del>  | ND <sup>4</sup>  |
| Barb      Desp.      1000000000000000000000000000000000000   | 55722-27-5   | Dicadin    | And the second sec   | PO/L             | ND      | ND          | 0.0%         | T  |          | t         |                    |                    |  |   | ND          | ND            | NO            | - <u>ND</u>   | 140               | NO 1   |
| B 252      Partnerse      D 252      D 252      D 252      D 252      D 252      D 252      D 254      Partnerse      Par  |              | Dicadin    | TEQ Mammal   | POL.             | 0.307   | 0 192       |              | Γ  |          |           |                    | •                  |  |   | ND          | ND            | ND            | + <u>ND</u> . | L N0              | ND (   |
| Link      Link <thlink< th="">      Link      Link      <thl< td=""><td>93-76-5</td><td>Herbioides</td><td></td><td>l ugi</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>0.0%</td><td></td><td></td><td>t</td><td></td><td></td><td>3 10E-03*</td><td></td><td>0.0222</td><td>0 0221</td><td>0.0447</td><td></td><td>_<u>N</u>O</td><td>NĻ</td></thl<></thlink<>  | 93-76-5      | Herbioides |  | l ugi            | ND      | ND          | 0.0%         |  |          | t         |                    |                    | 3 10E-03*                              |   | 0.0222      | 0 0221        | 0.0447        |               | _ <u>N</u> O      | NĻ   |
| 14424      144000      1400000      14000000      14000000      140000000      14000000000000000000000000000000000000  | 04.76.7      | Heroloides | 2,4,5-TP (Silvex)  | - YOU            | 0.14    | 0.238       | 11.1%        |  |          |           |                    |                    |  | ·                                       | 0.11        | 0.18          | 0.36          | ND            | 0.0182            | 0_0364   |
| 15      16      10      0.0   | 94.42.4      | Herbicides | 2,4-0  | yar.             | 10      | 3 08        | 55.6%        | L  |          |           |                    |                    |  |   | ND          | ND            | ND            |               | <u>NO</u> -       | ND   |
| 1919-00-9      Heritade      Lobic property      MO      NO      NO <t< td=""><td>75-99-0</td><td>Harbioldes</td><td></td><td>99</td><td>NO NO</td><td>ND</td><td>0.0%</td><td>i</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>·</td><td>0.63</td><td>0.48</td><td>0.96</td><td>ND</td><td>· 10-</td><td>140</td></t<>  | 75-99-0      | Harbioldes |  | 99               | NO NO   | ND          | 0.0%         | i  |          |           |                    |                    |  | ·                                       | 0.63        | 0.48          | 0.96          | ND            | · 10-             | 140  |
| 102.945      Heldsdarf      MO      NO   | 1918-00-9    | Herbigidee | Dicamba  |                  | NU      | ND          | 0.0%         |  |          |           |                    |                    |  |   | ND I        | ND            | ND            | ND            |                   |  |
| Add S7      Heritades      Xio      Xio <t< td=""><td>120-36-5</td><td>Herbicidee</td><td>Dichlorgerap</td><td></td><td>1.85</td><td>2 60</td><td>72.04</td><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>NU</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>t <u>nc</u> t</td><td>응 문 문</td></t<>   | 120-36-5     | Herbicidee | Dichlorgerap   |                  | 1.85    | 2 60        | 72.04        | <u> </u>                                       |          |           |                    |                    |  |   | NU          | ND            | ND            | ND            | t <u>nc</u> t     | 응 문 문  |
| 0+74-6      Herbidde      NO   | 88-85-7      | Herbicides | C SERT   |                  | ND      | NO          | 0.0%         | <b>∲</b>                                       |          |           |                    |                    |  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | NO          | <u>ND</u>     | ND            | ND            | ND I              | un -   |
| Constrained      Location      NO  | 94-74-6      | Herbicides | And a start of the | l upi            | ND      | ND          | 0.0%         |  |          | +-· · ·   |                    |                    |  |   |             | NU            | ND            | NÙ            | - ND              | 40   |
| 708-19-0      Heritakas      Heritakas <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>g -</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.0 4</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td><u>ND</u></td><td><u> NO</u></td><td>46</td></th<>  |              |            |  | g -              |         |             | 0.0 4        |  |          |           |                    |                    |  |   | ND          | ND            | ND            | <u>ND</u>     | <u> NO</u>        | 46   |
| 1/1      1/2 <td>7085-19-0</td> <td>Herbioides</td> <td></td> <td>§</td> <td>ND</td> <td>NO</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>t</td> <td>·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>140</td> <td>NU</td> <td>ND</td> <td>no i</td> <td>- 1EO</td>  | 7085-19-0    | Herbioides |  | §                | ND      | NO          | 0.0%         |  |          | t         | ·                  |                    |  |   |             | 140           | NU            | ND            | no i              | - 1EO  |
| 2015 0.0      Participation of 1, 47 and   |              |            |  |                  |         | L           |              |  |          |           |                    |                    |  |   | ND          | ND            | ND -          |               |                   | ,  |
| CODUCTOR      FCS      AND      NO   | 2051-24-1    | POR-       | Pentachiorophenol (a: ,H 7 d)  | 100              | 0.87    | 0.519       | 33 3%        |  |          | 19        | 15                 | ·                  |  |   |             |               |               | LINI .        | t no s            | 191 <sup>1</sup>   |
| CHEPTRATLOR      CB      NO  | C-DICHLOROBI | PCBA       |  |                  |         | NO NO       | 0.0%         |  |          |           |                    |                    |  | +····                                   | ND          | ND            | N             | - ND          | t en i            | Г  |
| CHERACHLORO      CCER      State      Mode      ND   | CHEPTACHLOR  | PCBe       | and the second s |                  | NO      |             | 0.0%         |  |          | +         |                    |                    |  |   | ND          | ND            | NI            | NÜ            | 1                 | <sup>1</sup>   |
| AMCROCHLORD      PCSs      Jath      NO  | C-HEXACHLORO | PCBe       | Brite and a second s  |                  | NO      |             | 0.0%         |  |          | ······    |                    |                    |  |   | - <u>NU</u> | ND            | NL            | I ND          | чc                |  |
| C-HOMACHLORO      PCBs      Table trained      HO      HO      O      O      HO  | -MONOCHLORO  | PC8+       | Service and the service of the servi | uol              | NO      | NO          | 0.0%         |  |          |           |                    |                    |  |   |             | <u>ND</u>     | NC            | NO _          | L ND 1            | - 19. I  |
| C-CCTABINE      POIs      Color      NO  | C-NONACHLORO | PCBs       | State of the second   | uof i            | NO      | ND          | 0.0%         |  |          | ·         |                    |                    |  |   |             | NU            | +             | ND.           | 100               | 1  |
| CPTENDHTER      PCBs      Statute      Lot      NO   | C-OCTA-BIPHE | PC8+       |  | yor I            | ND      | ND          | 0.0%         | t  |          | ·         |                    |                    |  |   | NO          | ND            | +             | <u>ND</u> .   | ND                | , ni l   |
| C.T.BLANCHAUTL      FURE      Control      NO      NO </td <td>C TETRACHUR</td> <td>PCBe</td> <td></td> <td>un/</td> <td>ND</td> <td>NO</td> <td>0,0%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><u> </u></td> <td></td> <td>► · .</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td><u>+</u></td> <td>+ <u>NB</u>-</td> <td>ND</td> <td>i un</td>  | C TETRACHUR  | PCBe       |  | un/              | ND      | NO          | 0,0%         |  |          | <u> </u>  |                    | ► · .              |  |   | ND          | ND            | <u>+</u>      | + <u>NB</u> - | ND                | i un   |
| Christering      Tradit      Tradit      ND      ND <td>C TOTAL DCT</td> <td>PCH</td> <td></td> <td>y yozt</td> <td>NO</td> <td>NO</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><u> </u></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>t- : i</td> <td></td> <td>H N<u>D</u></td> <td>, ND  </td>   | C TOTAL DCT  | PCH        |  | y yozt           | NO      | NO          | 0.0%         |  |          | <u> </u>  |                    |                    |  |   | ND          | ND            | t- : i        |               | H N <u>D</u>      | , ND   |
| 1/2-01-02/10/2      1/2-01      0.1% <th0.1%< th="">      0.1%      0.1%</th0.1%<>   | C-TRICHLORO  | PCBs       | Sector Se | ugi i            | ND      | ND          | 0.0%         |  |          |           | 0.014 <sup>0</sup> |                    | 0.14                                   |   | ND          | ND            | ND            |               |                   | 1467   |
| 72-02-0      Participae      ND  | 72.44.8      | Peetlete-  | Bart C. Same and C. C. C. C. C. C. C.  | ugi i            | NO      | NO          | 0.0%         | L  |          |           |                    |                    | <u> </u>                               | 0.1                                     | ND          | ND            | ND            |               | + <u>190</u>      | 46   |
| 50-28-3      Presidice      ND   | 72-56-9      | Pasticidae | the second secon | <u> 19</u>       | NO -    | H ND        | 0.0%         | <b> </b>                                       |          |           |                    | 0.19               | 0.011                                  | 1.00                                    | ND          | ND            | ND            |               | ι 90 .<br>105 .   | • <u>14</u> .  |
| 300-002      Peeticides      MD      ND  | 50-29-3      | Pasticidae | The second s   | - <u></u> -      |         |             | 0.0%         | <b> </b> -                                     |          | +         |                    |                    |  | 1.04                                    | ND          | ND            | ND            | ND            | 1 66              | 1 1 .  |
| 319-84-6      Peeticides      MD      ND   | 309-00-2     | Pestodes   |  | - 1960<br>- 1970 |         |             | 0.0%         | <u>↓</u>                                       |          | 1.1       | 0.001              |                    | 0 013                                  | 0.1                                     |             | ND            | ND            |               | 1 10              | на на страната и на страна<br>На страната и на страната и<br>На страната и на страната и |
| 5103-71-9      Peetiddee      Control      ND      ND </td <td>319-84-8</td> <td>Peeticidee</td> <td>the state of the second st</td> <td>und .</td> <td>NO</td> <td>ND</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td>t</td> <td></td> <td>30</td> <td></td> <td>L</td> <td>······································</td> <td>·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>· •** .<br/>14</td> | 319-84-8     | Peeticidee | the state of the second st | und .            | NO      | ND          | 0.0%         | t  |          | 30        |                    | L                  | ······································ | ·                                       |             |               | ND            | ND            | ND                | · •** .<br>14  |
| 319-85-7      Periodes      MD      ND   | 5103-71-9    | Peeticidee | A STATE OF A STATE OF  | - Ind            | ND      | ND          | 0.0%         | t  | <u> </u> | +         |                    | 30                 | 2 2*                                   | 96*                                     |             |               | ND            | <u>un</u>     | 1 14.             | 141  |
| 319-80-8      Peetidide      344      22*      95*      ND   | 319-85-7     | Pesticidae | The second s   | 100              | ND      | NO          | 0.0%         | <u>†</u>                                       |          | 24        | 0.0043             |                    |  | 1.00                                    |             | NU            | ND.           | ND            | 146               | 0.   |
| 60-77-1      Peeticidee      M2      ND  | 319-86-8     | Pesticidee | A STATE OF A STATE OF  | i voli           | ND      | ND          | 0.0%         | t  |          | +         |                    | 30'                | 2 2*                                   | 95'                                     | ND          | ND ND         | - <u>ND</u> _ | ND            | <u>no</u>         | - 41.  |
| 095-06-8      Peetiddee      NO  | 60-57-1      | Peeboldes  |  | uof.             | NÖ      | NO          | 0.0%         | t  |          | 0.24      | 0.077              | 39*                | 2 2*                                   | 85*                                     | NO          | ND            | ND            | L №           | <u>ND</u>         | ( uc.  |
| 3321-05-9      Peetdodes      MD      ND   | 959-98-8     | Peetfoldes | CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION  | uat              | NQ      | ND          | 0.0%         | <b>†-</b>                                      |          | 0.22      | 0.056              |                    |  |   | ND          | ND            | - <u>ND</u>   | ND            | ND                | [ 46   |
| 1001-07-8      Peetiddes      100      ND  | 33213-85-9   | Peedoidee  | A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O | un I             | ND      | ND          | 0.0%         | t  |          | 0.22      | 0.000              |                    | 0.061                                  |   | ND          | ND            | <u>NU</u>     | <u>ND</u>     | ND                | 1 440  |
| CA20-3      Peetidate      CA20-3      ND  | 1031-07-8    | Peetodes   |  | ual              | ND      | NO          | 0.0%         |  |          | 0.22      | 0.056*             |                    | 0.051                                  |   | ND          | ND            | - <u>ND</u> - |               | ND                | L, 500 - <sup>1</sup>  |
| //4/1450-4      /Peetidides      /ND      ND      ND <td>72-20-8</td> <td>Peeteldee</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.086</td> <td>0.020</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ND I</td> <td>L no</td>   | 72-20-8      | Peeteldee  |  |                  | ND      | ND          | 0.0%         |  |          | 0.086     | 0.020              |                    |  |   | ND          | ND            |               |               | ND I              | L no   |
| D3-04-10-05      Feedfoldes      XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  | /4/1-83-4    | Peeboidee  |  | i ugi            | ND      | NO          | 0.0%         |  |          |           | 0.030              |                    |  |   | L ND        | ND            | NO NO         | + <u>ND</u>   | ND 1              | tite -   |
| Construction      Construction<  | 50-00-0      | Peed date  | and the second se  |                  | ND      | ND          | 0.0%         |  |          | Γ         |                    |                    |  |   | ND          | ND            | NO -          | ND ND         | <u>- ND</u> -     | 100  |
| 76-44-8      Peeticidee      72/54      ND      HI      HI <td>5103-74-2</td> <td>Pantiald-</td> <td>The second s</td> <td>g war</td> <td>NO</td> <td>ND</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td>L</td> <td></td> <td>0.95</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td></td> <td>+ ND -</td> <td>e let</td>  | 5103-74-2    | Pantiald-  | The second s   | g war            | NO      | ND          | 0.0%         | L  |          | 0.95      |                    |                    |  |   | ND          | ND            | ND            |               | + ND -            | e let  |
| 0.52 0.0038 0.125 0.0069 1.26 NO ND  | 76-44-8      | Peeticides | CLEAR AND A COMPANY AND A COMPANY AND A COMPANY  | 9                |         | NO          | 0.0%         |  |          | 2.4       | 0.0043             |                    |  | 3.3                                     | ND          | ND            | ND            |               | ND N              | ÷  |
|  |              |            |  | 1 40             | NU      | IND.        | 0.0%         | L  |          | 0.52      | 0.0038             | 0.125              | 0 0069                                 | 1.00                                    | ND          | ND            | ND            | ND            | + 1951 (<br>1 100 | , <u>(</u> •. ,  |
|  |              |            |  |                  |         |             |              |  |          |           |                    |                    |  | 1.20                                    | L NU        | ND            | ND            |               | tur i             |  |

| - 1      |        |          | -       | h        |          |         |          | r -      | r.               | T        | 1        | -                                       | -        | -                |         | <b>T</b>  | T         | -   |               | _       | -           | -                         | 7    | T            | -        | т    | -        | -    | _                | -                | <b>—</b> | -       | -                | -7       | -        |          |           | 1              | t       | ŧ       | ┿       | ÷   | 4    | <u> </u>       |                           |              | -       |           | 1        | -                 | -     | -       | _        |                  | _             | _             | _             | -                | -                | ÷                |           | -       | 1          | -         | -   |           | -         | -     | -       | -                 | -         |         | -       | -        |                  | -            | _          |             | _           | - |
|----------|--------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|------------------|----------|----------|---|----------|------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----|---------------|---------|-------------|---------------------------|------|--------------|----------|------|----------|------|------------------|------------------|----------|---------|------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|-----|------|----------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------|-----------|----------|-------------------|-------|---------|----------|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|---------|------------|-----------|-----|-----------|-----------|-------|---------|-------------------|-----------|---------|---------|----------|------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-------------|---|
|          | 800-80 | 021-04-7 | 78-56-1 | 193-30-6 | 07-72-1  | 77-47-4 | C-00-78  | 118-74-1 | 00-73-7          | 200-44-0 | 6-11-101 |   |          | 132-04-0         | 53-70-3 | 11/410    |           |     | 218-01-6      | 80-74-8 | 90-00-7     | 7-14-71                   |      | 11-141-1     | A-01-102 |      | 101-34-3 |      | 50-12-4          |                  | 120-12-7 | 0-00-0V |                  | 000      | 100-02-7 | 100-01-0 | 7006-72-3 | 108-47-8       | 1-00-00 | 01-00-0 |         |     | 2000 |                | 108-44-5                  | 000-19-0     | 91-94-1 | 0-1-00    | 00-14-4  | -94-04            |       | 01-67-4 | 05-57-A  | 91-04-7          | 808-20-2      | 121-14-2      | 51-24-6       | 105-07-0         | 120-43-2         | 84-06-2          | 05-06-4   | 933-7-5 | 933-78-8   | 0-00-0001 |     | 108-80-1  | 100-10-/  | 1-1-1 | 10-10-1 | 00-00-1           | 1.74.07   | 0-10-/0 | 17.41 A | 14-14-1  | 7.10.4PU         | 1.72 101     | CAS Numb   |             |             |   |
|          | 300    | 3000     | 300     | ð        | ۳<br>۵   | 300     | 300      | SNOC     | SNOC             | 5000e    | 100      |   |          | 8                | SNOO    | 3000      | SX5Me     |     | \$            | MOC     | 200         | SNOC.                     | SNOC | 3000         | SVOC     |      |          |      | 3                | SNOC             | SNOC-    | SNOO    |                  |          |          | 3000     | SNOC      | 3400-          | SNOC    | SACE    | - VVC   |     |      |                | SOOR                      | Soos<br>Soos | SNOC    | SVOO      | avoo.    | NO.               |       |         | 3        | 300              | Soc.          | SNOC          | SNOC          | SNOC.            | SVOC.            | SAOC.            | 3400-     | 3000    | SVOC.      | SVOC      |     | SVOC      | SAVC.     | SAVC. | SACC.   | SACC.             | - MORE    | - Sec   |         | - Walter |                  |              |            |             |             |   |
|          |        |          |         |          |          |         |          |          |                  |          |          |   |          |                  |         |           |           |     |               |         |             | Mail 2- Ethydynyn yn effi |      |              |          |      |          |      |                  |                  |          |         |                  |          |          |          |           | 4-Chiorountine |         |         |         |     |      |                | 3-Mathylananol/4-Mathylan |              |         |           |          |                   | - · · |         | 3        |                  | r             | 1             |               | 2,4-Dimetrytyten | 2.4-Dichlorophen | 3.4.0-Incharophe |           |         |            |           |     |           |           | 1     |         | 1,2-Ciercy abor 1 |           | 7       |         |          |                  |              |            |             |             |   |
|          |        |          | ŀ       |          |          |         | - 1      | -        | c                | 5        | 5        |   |          |                  | - 1     |           |           |     |               |         | -           |                           | 6    |              |          | 2    |          | L    |                  |                  | c .      | 5       | 6                | L        | i.       |          |           | -              | c       | 2       | c       | Ŀ   |      |                |                           | - 1          | 5       | c         | _<br>_   | -                 | L     |         |          | - 4              |               | į             | -             | 2                | 2                |                  |           | 6       | E          | c         | ľ   | 5         |           | L.    | Ŀ       | 8                 |           |         | 4       | ŀ        | Te               |              | Ē          |             |             |   |
|          |        |          |         |          |          |         |          |          |                  |          |          |   |          |                  |         |           | 0         |     |               |         |             |                           |      |              |          |      |          |      |                  |                  | -        |         |                  |          |          |          |           |                | -       |         | Ē       | Ē   | +    | -              |                           |              |         |           | 9        |                   |       | F       |          |                  |               |               |               | 9                |                  |                  | 8         |         | Ē          |           |     | -         |           |       | Ê       |                   |           |         | Ĩ       |          |                  | ļ            |            |             |             |   |
|          |        |          |         |          |          |         |          |          | 5                | z        | Ć        | 6<br>Z                                  |          |                  | 5       | 6<br>Z    | 2.1       |     |               |         | 5           | 2                         | ð    | e<br>z       | 6<br>3   |      |          |      |                  |                  | 6<br>X   | ē<br>z  |                  |          |          |          | 5         | 14             | 8       | ð       |         |     |      | a.c. 1         |                           | 5            | C Z     | 5         | 6<br>X   | ð                 | Ó     | 0       |          |                  |               |               | 5             | 2.5              |                  | 5 2 5            | ð<br>Z    | 5       | 5          | 6         |     | 6<br>     | 6         | 6     | ić<br>Z | 25 4.8            | 525 2.3   | 6       |         |          |                  |              |            | !<br>       |             | F |
| 0.0      |        |          |         |          |          |         |          |          | 0                | 0        | 0.0      | 0.0                                     | 00       |                  |         | 0         | 1         | 0.0 |               |         |             | 8<br>                     | 0.0  | 0.0          | 0.0      | 0.0  | 00       | 20   |                  |                  |          | 0.0     | 0.0              | 0        |          |          |           | 1              |         | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0 |      | د. در<br>د. در |                           |              |         | 0.0       | 0.0      | 0.0               | 0.0   |         |          |                  |               |               |               | 23               | Ĩ                | 2 44.4           | 000       | 0.0     | 0.0        | 0,0       |     |           | 0.0       | 0     | 0.0     | 2 33.3            | 21.2      | 0.0     | 0.0     | 100      | 100              | K            |            |             |             |   |
|          |        |          |         |          |          |         |          |          |                  |          | *        |   |          |                  |         | *         | *         |     |               |         |             | *                         | ×    | *            | *        | -    |          |      |                  |                  |          | *       |                  |          |          |          |           | *              | *       | *       | *       | *   |      | *              |                           |              |         | *         | *        | *                 |       | *       |          |                  |               |               |               | ×:               | *                | *                | *         | *       | ¥          | *         | -   | *         | ¥         |       |         | *                 | *         |         |         |          |                  |              | Nov You    |             | <br>ŧ       | - |
|          |        |          |         |          |          | +       |          | +        |                  |          | -        |   |          |                  |         |           |           |     |               |         |             | -                         |      |              |          | <br> |          |      | $\left  \right $ | $\left  \right $ |          | _       |                  |          |          |          |           |                | -       | _       | _       |     |      |                |                           | +            |         | -         | -        |                   |       |         | ╞        | $\left  \right $ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | +                | +                |                  | +         | +       |            |           | -   | -         |           |       |         |                   | Η         |         | ┢       | ╞        | $\left  \right $ | ž            |            | T N N       |             |   |
|          |        | 1        | +       | ł        |          |         |          | †        |                  | +        |          |   |          | $\left  \right $ | +       | +         |           |     |               | ł       | ł           | 1                         |      |              |          |      |          |      |                  | t                | +        |         |                  |          |          | Ì        |           | 1              |         |         |         |     |      |                | +                         |              | 1       | Ì         |          |                   |       |         |          | <u></u><br> <br> | $\frac{1}{1}$ |               | Ì             | +                | †<br>            |                  | +         |         | +          |           |     | +         | -         |       |         |                   |           |         | 0 73    |          | 0.52             |              | A WI ME    |             | -           |   |
|          |        |          |         |          | -<br>    |         | +-       |          | $\left  \right $ | ł        |          |   |          |                  | +       |           |           |     |               |         | ł           |                           |      | +            | 1        |      |          |      |                  |                  | †        |         |                  |          |          |          |           | +              | 1       |         |         |     | -    |                | ł                         | ł            | t       | $\dagger$ | 1        |                   |       |         |          | <br> <br>        |               | ł             |               | †<br>            | ļ                | +                | †         |         | +          |           |     | •†        |           |       |         |                   | 1         |         | 0.0002  | 0.03     | 9600 0           | 500          | WWW WE     |             |             | Ë |
| 3800     |        |          |         | 210      |          |         |          | 70       |                  | t        | 1000     | 1                                       | 8        |                  |         | Ī         | 8         | +   | _             |         | 27          |                           | +    | †            |          | +    |          | 024  | 0.49             | 13               |          |         |                  | 1200     |          | -        |           | t              | ł       |         |         |     | _    |                |                           |              |         | t         | 26.7     | 3                 |       |         |          |                  |               |               | +-            |                  |                  | ţ                |           | †<br>   | †<br>I     |           |     | 90        |           | 35    |         | ¥                 | 3         | +       | 1       | +        |                  | Aou.         | 2 Beconder | aw Tim      |             |   |
| 210      |        |          |         | 12       |          |         |          | 3.9      |                  |          | 10       | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | :        |                  |         | 8         | +         |     |               | 10      | 3.0         | ļ                         |      |              |          | ł    |          | 0.01 | 0.027            | 0.73             |          | ł       |                  | 5        |          |          |           |                |         |         | †       |     |      |                |                           |              |         |           | -        | +                 | †     | +       |          |                  |               |               |               | -<br> <br>       |                  |                  | <br> <br> |         | ļ          | †<br>     |     | 10        |           |       | 1       |                   | +         | t       |         | 000      | ł                | Chronic      | y Seconda  | I IN The    |             |   |
| Ц        |        |          |         |          |          |         |          |          |                  |          |          |   | +        | -                |         | <br> <br> | ł         | +   | +             |         |             |                           |      |              | -        |      |          |      |                  |                  |          |         | $\left  \right $ | +        | +        | _        |           |                |         |         | +       |     |      | -              |                           |              |         |           |          |                   |       | +       |          | +                |               | -             | _             |                  | -                |                  |           | -<br>   | ł          |           |     | +-        | <br> <br> | +     | Ì       | +                 | ╀         | ł       | +       | +        | ł                | ".<br>▲      | Lower      |             | -           |   |
| 332      |        |          |         |          |          |         |          |          | 5                |          | 6000     | Ę                                       |          |                  | 708     | 807       |           |     |               |         | <b>9</b> 12 |                           |      |              |          |      | 2        |      |                  | 8                |          | 74      |                  |          |          |          |           |                |         |         |         |     |      |                |                           |              |         |           | 48.0     |                   |       |         |          |                  |               |               |               |                  |                  |                  |           |         |            |           |     |           | <br> -    |       |         | <br>              |           | <br> -  |         | <br>+    | ſ                |              | Chronic    | Nido        |             |   |
| N        | 5      | 3        | 5       | B        | z        | 5       | 3        | ¥        | 8                | z        | z        | Z                                       | R        | 5                | 5       | ¥         | z         | ł   | 5 8           | 5       | ¥           | ş                         | z    | ₹            | NO       | ¥    | đ        | Z    | 5                | 5                | z        | €       | E                | 2        | 5 e      | 5        | 1.6       | ß              | NO      | S       | ĕ       |     | ē    | 5              | 5                         | 3            | g       | ₹         | Ş        | z                 | 0.36  | E       | ē        | 5                | 5             | 5             | 3             | -                | ¥                | ß                | ß         | đ       | đ          |           | ş   | z         | ð         | 5     | B       | đ                 | đ         | ð       | E       | 518<br>  | ;;               |              |            |             | Downs       |   |
| 38       | 5 8    | 5 2      | 58      | 3        | 5        | 5       | 5        | z        | 3                | z        | Ş        | Z                                       | E        | 5                | 5       | ¥         | N         | K   | R             |         | 5           | 3                         | ¥    | 8            | ŝ        | ¥    | R        | NC   | R                | 5                | z        | g       | B                | E        | đ        | 5        | 2         | ð              | z       | ND      | z       |     | NC   | NC             | 5                         | 5            | S       | S         | N        | ß                 | 143   | ß       | ŧ        |                  | 5             | 5             | 5             | 175              | 3                | z                | z         | z       | S          |           | NC  | S         | ¥         | N     | S       | z                 | Z         | NO      | £       | N        |                  |              |            |             | (Team (DDA) |   |
| 3 Z      |        | Z        | Z       |          |          | Z       |          | 5        | 5                | 4        | z        | z                                       | z        | -                |         | 5         | z         | z   | No            |         |             | 5                         | z    | ż,           | z        | z    | ZO       | NO   | NC               |                  | 5        | 3       | 3                | N        | 13       |          | 2         | N              | N       | z       | z       |     | ND   | NO             | Ī                         | 5            | 5       | 3         | 3        | S                 | 280   | N       | NO       | NO               |               |               |               | 2.6              |                  | 5                |           | 1       |            |           | Z   | Z         | ž         | N     | ND      | z                 | N         | z       | ND      | z        | <b>VAI</b>       | Dentwood III | , . X      |             | Autorence.  |   |
| - -      |        | Ļ        | T       |          | +        | +       |          | +        |                  | +        |          | -                                       | _        | ľ                |         | +         |           | _   | ,<br> -<br> - |         |             |                           |      |              | +        |      | <br>     |      |                  |                  | +        |         |                  |          |          | <br> -   |           | +              |         |         |         |     |      |                | +                         |              | +       |           |          |                   |       | _       | _        | -                |               |               | 1             | <br> -           | +                |                  | +         | !       | ,<br> <br> |           |     | -+        | 1         | <br>  | +       | <br>              | -+        | _       | -       |          | Nex.             | upal upal    |            |             |             |   |
| sja<br>T | 13     | B        | No      | B        | g        | NO      | le<br>t- | Z        | ; 2<br>+         | 5)ð      | 5        | 3                                       | z        | ĕ                | Ē       | 518       | 5         | Z   | B             | E       | ₹<br>+      |                           | +    | 5);<br> <br> | 5 i      | 5    | đ        | 5    | B                | iz<br>I          | 10       | 5       | 31<br>-          | 8        | Ĕ        |          |           | € 8<br>+       | 5!      | 5<br>T  | €'<br>↓ |     | é    | 3              | jë<br>T                   | 12           | 1       |           | 5 6<br>+ | ≤!:<br> <br> <br> | 5)    | 5       | ť        | 16               | 15<br>1       | 16            |               | j<br>↓           | i)e              | 1                | ⇒}€<br>+  | 5.6     | 5'<br>-↓-  |           | 5   | 518<br>-+ | 6j        | 6     | 히<br>+  | 5                 | 5         | 6       | 히       | 6        | murii Ai         | tream Up     |            | i ure-usdo  |             |   |
| 518      | E      | ie<br>   | z<br>T  | 15       | NO<br>NO |         | Ę        | . NO _   | i5               |          |          | 5                                       | <u> </u> | 20               | ie<br>I | 1         | 5 {<br>+- | 51  | ē             | ē       | le<br>L     | -                         | iē   |              |          | 5    | ₹ <br>_+ | 5.   | ē                | Ž                | i ĉ      |         | €:<br>+          | 5        | ē<br>L   | č        | 1         | iê<br>i-       | +       |         | 5       | -   | 5    | Ē              | Ē                         | 20           | 10      |           | lē<br>†  | 5                 | S ic  | 20.1    | <u>-</u> | Ē                | an<br>B       | 3             | Ē             | 'Ę               |                  |                  | iē<br>-   | Ē       |            | ž         |     | i.        | 5.Ę       | 5     | Ē lē    | 5                 | ≩ ₹<br>-• | 5       | 51      | ē<br>-   | 7 affe 10.       | Stiearn U    |            | UDA) Rafera |             |   |
| E        | Ē      | 2        | ē,      | ē        | Ę!       | R       | ŝ        | z        | , III.           | Ŧ        | ē        |   |          | Ę                | Z       | Z         | E         | 5   | <b>2</b> '    | 3       | Ę           | 10                        | E.   | nu j         | 2        |      |          | 5    |                  | -                | 1        | - 11.2  | : .              | <u>.</u> | 2.       | 24U      | .10       | ee<br>C        | ē       |         |         |     |      | н.             | ee                        | 3            | ē       | No<br>    | N        | IZ                |       | 518     | 5        | Z,               | E)            | ц.,           | 8             | · /·             | ŝ.               | 77               | ε         |         |            | ÷         | 11. |           | Ē         |       | 12      | 1                 |           |         | ēiē     |          | Velage           | 1. Stepan    | •          | 50 e        | 1<br>:      |   |

Table 5-1 Sufface Waver Screening Table W G. Knummrich Site Slauger, Mincle

laternal Review (aur. 1
Teble 5-1. Surface Water Screening Teble W.O. Krunnston Sta Staget, Elhola

internal Review Draft v1 to

| All humble         Analysis         Analysis         Analysis         Analysis         Monocold           412-33         90001         90011         90011         90011         90011         90011         90011         90011         90011         90011  |           | ate ate    |          |                  | Ma               | MAND BW H        | MG Beconda | A THE REAL             | Oak Nage<br>Lousek Chronic | Downatreen |         | 2X  | Upstree     | m (UDA) Refe | rence ,         |
|---|-----------|------------|----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------|------------------------|----------------------------|------------|---------|-----|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| All Number         Annalysis         Annalysis         Masses           01:20:1         50005         50005         50005           01:20:1         50005         50005         50005           01:20:1         50005         50005         50005           10:20:1         50005         50005         50005           11:20:1         50005         50005         50005           11:20:1         50005         50005         50005           11:20:1         50005         50005         50005           11:20:1         50005         50005         50005           11:20:1         50005         50005         50005           11:20:1         50005         50005         50005           11:20:1         50005         50005         50005           11:20:1         50005         50005         50005           11:20:1         50005         50005         50005           11:20:1         50005         50005         50005           11:20:1         50005         50005         50005           11:20:1         50005         50005         50005           11:20:1         50005         50005         50005 <th></th> <th>NO NO NO</th> <th></th> <th>Ĩ</th> <th>Ū.</th> <th>y<br/>y</th> <th>MG Beandar</th> <th>y Becondary<br/>Chronic</th> <th>Lowest Chronic</th> <th>Dometreen</th> <th>-</th> <th>2 X</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>2 X</th>  |           | NO NO NO   |          | Ĩ                | Ū.               | y<br>y           | MG Beandar | y Becondary<br>Chronic | Lowest Chronic             | Dometreen  | -       | 2 X |             |              | 2 X             |
| 01:30:1         50000<br>6011-1         50000<br>6011-1         50000<br>6001-1         6000-1         6000-1           12:0:0:0:0         10:0:0:0         10:0:0:0         10:0:0:0         10:0:0:0           12:0:0:0:0         10:0:0:0         10:0:0:0         10:0:0:0         10:0:0:0           12:0:0:0:0         10:0:0:0         10:0:0:0         11:0:0:0         11:0:0:0           12:0:0:0:0         10:0:0:0         10:0:0:0         11:0:0:0         11:0:0:0           12:0:0:0:0         10:0:0:0         10:0:0:0         11:0:0:0         11:0:0:0           12:0:0:0:0         10:0:0:0         10:0:0:0         11:0:0:0         11:0:0:0           12:0:0:0         10:0:0:0         11:0:0:0         11:0:0:0         11:0:0:0           12:0:0:0         10:0:0:0         00:0:0         00:0:0         11:0:0:0           10:0:0:0         10:0:0:0         00:0:0         00:0:0         11:0:0:0           10:0:0:0         10:0:0:0         00:0:0         00:0:0         11:0:0:0           11:0:0:0         10:0:0:0         00:0:0         00:0:0         11:0:0:0           11:0:0:0         10:0:0:0         00:0:0         00:0:0         11:0:0:0           11:0:0:0         10:0:0:0         00:0:0 <th></th> <th>N N0</th> <th></th> <th>1.000</th> <th></th> <th>(</th>  |           | N N0       |          |                  |                  |                  |            |                        |                            |            |         |     | 1.000       |              | (               |
| BARRA         BMCCI         Managements           100-01-0         BMCCI         Managements           100-01-0         BMCCI         Managements           1120-01-0         BMCCI         Managements           1120-01-0         BMCCI         Managements           1120-01-0         BMCCI         Managements           1120-01-0         MCCI         Managements <tr< td=""><td></td><td>0.60 2.2</td><td>100</td><td>ł</td><td>┞</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>A Opposite</td><td>Mucimum</td><td>Average</td><td></td><td>Upstream</td><td>Upstream</td><td>Upstream</td></tr<>  |           | 0.60 2.2   | 100      | ł                | ┞                |                  |            |                        | A Opposite                 | Mucimum    | Average |     | Upstream    | Upstream     | Upstream        |
| BATCA         BATCA         BATCA         BATCA         BATCA           128-00-1         9000-1         9000-1         9000-1           128-00-1         9000-1         9000-1         9000-1           128-00-1         9000-1         9000-1         9000-1           128-00-1         9000-1         9000-1         9000-1           128-00-1         VOCA         9000-1         9000-1           128-00-1         VOCA         9000-1         9000-1           128-00-1         VOCA         900-1         900-1           128-10-1         VOCA         900-1         1           128-10-1         VOCA         900-1         1         900-1           158-10-1         VOCA         <   | 333333333 |            | 22       | $\left  \right $ |                  | +                | 8          | 12                     | 83                         | 2          |         |     |             | Average      | Average         |
| 101-06-2         9000a         Fmme           1128-00-0         9000a         9000a           1128-00-0         9000a         9000a           1128-00-0         9000a         9000a           1128-00-0         9000a         900a           1128-00-0         9000a         1.2 Mone           1128-00-0         900a         1.2 Mone           1128-00-0         900a         1.2 Mone           1128-0         900a         900a           1149.2         900a         900a  |           | 9          | No.      | ╞                | $\left  \right $ | $\left  \right $ |            |                        |                            |            | 2       | Ŷ   | Ŷ           | ç            | 9               |
| 128-00-0         5000c         5000c           17:44-0         VOOR         10:44-0           17:44-0         VOOR         10:44-0           17:44-0         VOOR         10:44-0           73-14-0         VOOR         10:44-0           73-14-0         VOOR         10:44-0           73-14-0         VOOR         10:44-0           73-14-0         VOOR         11:200-0           71-14-0         VOOR         11:200-0           81-14-0         VOOR         11:200-0           11-14-1         VOOR         11:200-0           11-14-1         VOOR         11:200-0           11-14-1         VOOR         11:200-0           11-14-1         VOOR         11:44-0           11-14-1   |           | 16         |          | $\left  \right $ | $\frac{1}{1}$    |                  |            |                        | 902                        |            | 2       | Ş   | ¥           | Ş            |                 |
| 11-84-0         VXXa           19-34-0         VXXa           19-34-0         VXXa           19-34-0         VXXa           17-34-1         VXXa           17-34-1         VXXa           110-34-2         VXXa           114-14         VXXa   |           |            |          | $\left  \right $ | ┦                |                  |            |                        |                            |            | 2       | Q   | g           |              | 2:5             |
| TP-244-6         VOOD         Mail           TP-244-5         VOOD         Mail           TP-344-5         VOOD         Mail           TP-344-5         VOOD         Mail           TP-344-5         VOOD         Mail           TP-345-5         VOOD         Mail           TP-445-5         VOOD         Mail           TP-445-5         VOOD         Mail           Mail         VOOD         Mail           Mail         VOOD         Mail           T1-445-5         VOOD         Mail      <   |           | 29         |          | ╉                | $\left  \right $ |                  |            |                        |                            | 2 9        | 9       | Ŷ   | g           | 2            | 219             |
| 1004         1004         1004           75344         1005         6           1014b         1005         6           1114b         1005         6           1114b         1005         6           1114b         1005         6           11411         1005         6           11412         1005         6           11441         1005         6           11442         1005         6   | 333       |            |          | +                |                  | -                | 8          | F                      |                            | 2          | 2       | ş   | ş           |              |                 |
| 75345         1053         11           75346         1050         13           117-842         1050         13           118-847         1050         13           118-847         1050         13           118-11-1         1050         13           119-11-1         1050         13           119-11-1         1050         14           11-112         1050         14           11-112         1050         14           11-112         1050         14           11-112         1050         14           11-112         1050         14   | 33        |            |          |                  |                  |                  | 2100       | 014                    |                            | 2          | 9       | Ŷ   | Ş           |              | 2               |
| 197-94         VXX         12.04         VXX           197-94-2         VXX         1.2.04         1.4.1.4           261-114         VXX         3.4.1.4         1.2.04           261-114         VXX         3.4.1.4         1.4.1.4           164-10         VXX         3.4.4.4         1.4.1.4           17-342         VXX         3.4.4.4         1.4.4.4           17-342         VXX         3.4.4.4         1.4.4.4           17-342         VXX         3.4.4.4.4         1.4.4.4           17-342         VXX         3.4.4.4.4         1.4.4.4.4           17-342         VXX         3.4.4.4.4.4         1.4.4.4.4.4           17-342         VXX         3.4.4.4.4.4.4.4         1.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4  | 3         | 2          | 5        |                  | _                |                  | Ne.        |                        | 3                          | 2          | 2       | 2   |             | 2            | ĝ               |
| (10.42)         VOG         (1.46) <td>3</td> <td>2</td> <td>80</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>808</td> <td>ş</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>ş</td> <td>Q</td>   | 3         | 2          | 80       |                  |                  |                  |            |                        | 808                        | ş          | 2       | 2   | 2           | ş            | Q               |
| 107-05         102-05         1.2.00         1.4.000 <th1.4.000< th=""> <th1.4.000< th=""> <th1.4.< td=""><td></td><td>2</td><td>0.0%</td><td></td><td></td><td>ŀ</td><td></td><td></td><td>14640</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td></td><td>Z</td><td>2</td><td>Ş</td></th1.4.<></th1.4.000<></th1.4.000<> |           | 2          | 0.0%     |                  |                  | ŀ                |            |                        | 14640                      | 2          | 2       |     | Z           | 2            | Ş               |
| 1441-4         VXX           1441-4         VXX           841-124         VXX           841-124         VXX           841-124         VXX           114-10         VXX           114-11         VXX           114-12         VXX           114-13         VXX           114-14         VXX           114-14         VXX           114-14         VXX           114-14         VXX           114-14         VXX           114-14         VXX   |           | 776 0.872  | 222      |                  |                  | +                | 8          | 8                      |                            | 2          |         | 2   | Ş           | g            | Ž               |
| 74-95-5         VOCa         Manual           84-174         VOCa         944444           108-101         VOCa         944444           108-114-1         VOCa         9544444           17-912         VOCa         9544444   |           | 2          | 0.0      |                  | $\left  \right $ |                  | 8          | 910                    | 16200                      | 2          | 2       | 2   | ç           | Î            | Ē               |
| 261-11-4 VOCS Signification (1994)<br>104-101 VOCS 54 444444443 244444444444<br>114-112 VOCS EX20 Excellence (1994)<br>114-12 VOCS 5444444444444444444444444444444444444  | Ĩ         | 2          | No o     |                  | $\left  \right $ | +                |            |                        |                            | 2          | 2       | £   | g           | Ş            | Į               |
| 114-11-1 VOGa Contract American March 114-11-1 VOGa Contract March 114-12-1 VOGa Contract March 114-12-114-114-114-114-114-114-114-114-1  | 3         | 9          | No o     |                  | $\left  \right $ | +                | 240000     | 14000                  | 282170                     | 2          | 2       | Ŷ   | g           | Ę            | ;<br>;;;<br>;;; |
| <u>87-84-1</u> WOSa Borana<br>11-84-2 WOSa Borana<br>1-81-2-4 WOSa Sava<br>18-38-2 WOSa Sava  |           | 2.2        |          |                  |                  |                  | 99         | 8                      | 12741                      | 2          | 2       | 9   | •           |              |                 |
| 71-13-2 VOOA Series<br>75-77-4 VOOA Series<br>75-75-2 VOOA Series   |           |            |          |                  |                  |                  | 0022       | 170                    | 2 12                       | 2          | Ŷ       | ¥   | Z           | <u>-</u>     | :<br> <br>      |
| 75-77-4 VOCA 52000  |           |            |          |                  |                  |                  | COORC      |                        |                            | 2          | 2       | 2   | 4           |              | ₽İ              |
| 75-25-2 VOCa VAL  |           |            |          |                  |                  |                  |            |                        |                            | 2          | 9       | 2   | 2           | 2            | Î               |
| 79-29-2 VOG9 V900   | Y         | 2          | 600      |                  |                  |                  |            |                        | \$25000                    | 0.24       | 0 195   |     | 2           | 9            | <u>Q</u>        |
|   | Ţ         | 9          | 0.01     |                  | ┞                |                  |            |                        |                            | 2          | 5       |     | <b>C8/0</b> | 0 8925       | 1 785           |
|   |           | 9          | 100      |                  |                  |                  |            |                        |                            | 2          |         | 219 | ç           | g            | g               |
| 75-16-0 VOCA EN   | 159       | 2          | 80       |                  | ļ                |                  |            | _                      |                            | 2          |         | 2   | Ş           | g            | Z               |
| 59-23-5 VOCs JA 74-0  | , tiol    | 9<br>9     | Ş        |                  |                  |                  | =          | 0.02                   | 244                        | 2          |         | 2   | Ŷ           | Ş            | 2               |
| 108-80-7 VOCa Chindrana   | 9         | 24 7.66    |          | ╞                | ł                |                  | 2          | 9.9                    | 1970                       |            | 2 !!    | 2   | ş           | g            | Ş               |
| 75-00-3 VOCe 14 THE   | 1         | 9          |          | $\left  \right $ | $\left  \right $ | +                | 18         | 2                      | 1205                       |            | 2       | 9   | 0,73        | 0 865        |                 |
| 67-68-3 VOOs MARK   |           | 5          |          |                  | $\left  \right $ |                  |            |                        |                            |            |         | 3.6 | 0.775       | O RATE       |                 |
| 74-87-3 VDCs 21   |           |            |          | +                |                  |                  | ŝ          | 2                      | UAC!                       |            | £       | 9   | Ŷ           |              |                 |
|   |           |            |          | $\downarrow$     |                  | -                |            |                        |                            |            | 2       | 2   | S           |              | 2               |
|   |           | 2          | 5        |                  | _                |                  | 1100       | 1.07                   | Acad                       | 2          | £       | 9   | 2           |              | ⊋¦i<br>₽        |
|   |           | 2          | <b>3</b> | -                |                  |                  | 3          |                        |                            | 2          | 9       | 2   | 5           |              | P               |
|   |           | 2          | 5        |                  |                  |                  |            |                        | ž                          | 9          | 9       | 2   | 2           | Ē            | ş               |
|   |           |            | ¥<br>¥   |                  |                  |                  | Ş          | :                      |                            | 2          | £       | 5   |             | ŝ            | ₹               |
|   |           | 2.4        | 27       |                  |                  |                  | 2          |                        |                            | 9          | 9       | 2   | 29          | ₽!!          | ŝ               |
| 1 PUP2 VOCe 1   | 1         | 2<br>2     | 80%      |                  |                  |                  |            |                        |                            | Ŷ          | £       | E   |             | Ð            | g               |
|   | 1         | 9          | 100      |                  |                  |                  |            |                        | 42007                      | 2          | 2       | 2   | 2           | g            | 2<br>2          |
|   | Ţ         | 22         | 100      |                  |                  |                  | -          |                        |                            | 9          | 2       | 2 2 | ₹!÷         | Ð            | Q               |
| Tolers VOG Takens   |           | 1.7 T 1.10 | ***      |                  | ╞                | $\left  \right $ |            | 3                      | 750                        | 2          | 9       |     | 2           | Q            | Ş               |
| 169-60-6 VOC> 142 B   |           | 9          | 0.0%     | ╞                |                  |                  |            | 69                     | 1266                       | 10         | 6       | 2   | g           | g            | 2               |
| 10091-02-6 VOCs College 1   |           | 2          |          | ╞                |                  |                  | 18         | 200                    | 9636                       | 9          | 1       | •   | Ŷ           | £            | QN              |
| 79-01-9 VOCa Trializedhan-  |           | 0.1 0.000  |          | $\left  \right $ | $\left  \right $ |                  | 8          | 0.066                  | 244                        | 9          | 2 9     | 2   | g           | ş            | g               |
| 76-01-4 VOCa  |           | 9          |          | +                | $\left  \right $ |                  | ŧ          | 47                     | 7267                       | 2          | 2       | 2   | g           | )<br>ž       | Ē               |
| 1330-20-7 VOCa Xvenue Total   |           |            |          |                  | $\left  \right $ |                  |            |                        |                            |            | 2       | Ŷ   | £           | S            | j               |
|   |           |            |          |                  |                  |                  | 200        | F                      | WWW                        | 2          | 2       | ž   | 0.675       | 0 8374       |                 |
|   |           |            |          |                  |                  |                  |            |                        |                            | Ż          | Ŷ       | Ž   | Z           | c/202        | -               |

ð δ VEEPA, 1999. National Recommend VEEPA, 1990. Great Lateus Water of Values for DCBA Water Stor DCBA Value for DCDAP Value for DBC (other) Value for BHC (other)

ş

reden. . Treden. Offen of Watar, ETA 42-3-2-64-001 (Jord 1980). Screening Potential Contaminants of Concern for Effects on Aquatic Biola: 1988 Revision. Risk Assessment, ents for the Proteotion of Wataris: DOT, Marcary, 3,3,7,8-TCDO, PCBs. Offen of Watar, EPA 420-9-6COB. Initiative Criteria Docum å

ç

rch Division, Oak Ridge, Tennesses, ES/ER/TM

Į

ģ

Žił

Page 3 of 3

Sauget Area 1: Record of Decision

•

- -

## Table 5-2

## Sediment Analytical Data Summary

# Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 7 of 78 Page ID #4133

#### Table 6-2. Sediment screening Table W.G. Krummich Site Sauget, Illinois

Internal Review Dratt v1.0

| LAB         Nome         Use         No         No <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Site (PDJ</th><th>N)</th><th>Sedi</th><th>ment Quality C</th><th>ritoria</th><th>Down</th><th>etream (DDA)</th><th>Reference</th><th>l la star</th><th>(115.4)</th><th>The second second</th></th<>   |                   |              |  |               |             | Site (PDJ | N)           | Sedi  | ment Quality C          | ritoria                  | Down       | etream (DDA) | Reference     | l la star | (115.4)     | The second second |
|--|-------------------|--------------|--|---------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|
| CALANCY         Angle         Note         Product of All         Angle         Product of All         Product of  |                   |              |  | , i           |             |           |              | Red Qual                                      |                         |                          | *          |              |               | Opetre    | am (UDA) Re | ference           |
| TABLE 1         TABLE 1 <t< th=""><th>CAR Number</th><th>Anabala</th><th>Mana</th><th>1.1</th><th>Manimum</th><th>Site</th><th>Frequency of</th><th>Guide</th><th>Sed FL</th><th>Sed Ontario<sup>3</sup></th><th>Downstream</th><th>Downstream</th><th>2 X Downetmen</th><th>Upptrover</th><th></th><th></th></t<>   | CAR Number        | Anabala      | Mana   | 1.1           | Manimum     | Site      | Frequency of | Guide   | Sed FL                  | Sed Ontario <sup>3</sup> | Downstream | Downstream   | 2 X Downetmen | Upptrover |             |                   |
| Sign 2003         Diam         COMPACT SCOPP         RD         Fig.         RD         D         RD         RD  |                   | Analysis     |  | Unite         | MAXIMUTO    | Average   | Detection    | (TEC)   | SQAG <sup>E</sup> (TEL) | (LEL)                    | Maximum    | Average      | Average       | Maximum   | Upstream    | 2 X Upstream      |
| NO.2 443         Common (13) (13) (14) (12) (20)         Rd         (13)         (14)         (13)         (14)  | 3200-67-8         | Dicute       | 1,2,3,4,6,7,6,9-00,00  | <u> 995</u>   |             | 166,5     | 100%         |   |                         |                          | 1180       | 508.2        | 1102.4        | maximum   | Average     | Average           |
| Control         Control <t< td=""><td>39001-02-0</td><td>Distan</td><td>1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-0001</td><td><u> 9999</u></td><td>74.9</td><td>10.76</td><td>58%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>14.3</td><td>7.44</td><td>1192.4</td><td>287</td><td>149 4</td><td>298 8</td></t<>   | 39001-02-0        | Distan       | 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-0001   | <u> 9999</u>  | 74.9        | 10.76     | 58%          |   |                         |                          | 14.3       | 7.44         | 1192.4        | 287       | 149 4       | 298 8             |
| 1000000000000000000000000000000000000  | 30822-40-9        | Dicon        | 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HDCUD  | P9/9          | 70.8        | 10.84     | 100%         |   |                         |                          | 313        | 15.75        | 14.66         | 6.2       | 3 288       | 6.576             |
| State         Construction         State         Construction         State         Construction         State         Construction         State         Construction         State   | 67562-39-4        | Dicuón       | 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-MpCDF  | P92           | 10.4        | 1.615     | 11%          |   |                         |                          |            | 15/5         | 31.5          | 11 1      | 5.7         | 11.4              |
| Product 23:0         Com         Product Alendo         Doi         Doi         No         No <t< td=""><td>55573-89-7</td><td>Dición</td><td>1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HBCDF</td><td>P9/1</td><td>0.79</td><td>0.3511</td><td>33%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>NO.</td><td>1.50</td><td>3.1</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></t<>   | 55573-89-7        | Dición       | 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HBCDF  | P9/1          | 0.79        | 0.3511    | 33%          |   |                         |                          | NO.        | 1.50         | 3.1           | ND        | ND          | ND                |
| 1988 29         Diam         1223 (1450)         PM   | 39227-28-6        | Dicadin      | . A. "   | <u>P9%</u>    | ND          | ND        | ND           | I   |                         |                          |            | ND           | ND            | ND        | ND          | ND                |
| P3793-0         Com         1224/14/200         P40         12         21   | 70648-25-9        | Dictor       | 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF  | P9/9          | 0.62        | 0.4178    | 78%          |   |                         |                          |            | ND           | ND            | ND        | ND          | ND                |
| ST112443         Deck         C210144400         Md         0.31         0.31         270         0.200 <th< td=""><td>57653-85-7</td><td>Dicadn</td><td>1,2,3,6,7,8-Hb(CDD</td><td>Porto</td><td>1,2</td><td>0.3183</td><td>22%</td><td></td><td></td><td>ł</td><td></td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>0.27</td><td>0 1975</td><td>0.395</td></th<>   | 57653-85-7        | Dicadn       | 1,2,3,6,7,8-Hb(CDD   | Porto         | 1,2         | 0.3183    | 22%          |   |                         | ł                        |            | ND           | ND            | 0.27      | 0 1975      | 0.395             |
| Name         No.         No. <td><u>57117-44-9</u></td> <td>Dicián</td> <td>1,2,3,6,7,8-HbcDF</td> <td>Para</td> <td>0.38</td> <td>0.1944</td> <td>22%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>10</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>0 37</td> <td>0 2725</td> <td>0.545</td>   | <u>57117-44-9</u> | Dicián       | 1,2,3,6,7,8-HbcDF  | Para          | 0.38        | 0.1944    | 22%          |   |                         |                          | 10         | ND           | ND            | 0 37      | 0 2725      | 0.545             |
| 2011-13-14         Decit         MO  | 19408-74-3        | Dicatin      |  | Pata          | ND          | ND        | ND           |   |                         | +                        | ND         | ND           | NDND          | ND        | ND          | ND ND             |
| 4007-76-1         Dest         MO         DO         NO  | 72918-21-9        | Dioxin       | 1  | 99/2          | ND          | ND        | ND           |   |                         | t — — —                  | NU         | ND           | ND ND         | 0.35      | 0.2674      | 1 100             |
| ST11446         Deam         122.14 PACCY         PR         0.64         0.2331         11%         PR         MO         MO <th< td=""><td>40321-76-4</td><td>Dicein</td><td>14 State 1 Sta</td><td>POS</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></th<> | 40321-76-4        | Dicein       | 14 State 1 Sta | POS           | ND          | ND        | ND           |   |                         |                          | ND         | ND           | ND            | ND        | ND          | ND                |
| 0005.14.5         Deam         23.4.4.4.4.627         ph/         0.166         111          NO  | 57117-41-6        | Dicein       | 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF  | P99           | 0,48        | 0.2033    | 11%          | t   |                         | ····                     | ND.        | ND           | ND            | ND        | ND -        | ND .              |
| 9111314         Deam         22.4/14/CF         ph         0.16         0.17         11%   | 60661-34-5        | Dioxin       | 2,3,4,6,7,6-HbcCDF   | Pata          | 0.195       | 0.1606    | 11%          | t   |                         |                          | ND         | ND           | ND            | ND        | ND          | +                 |
| 114614         Doard         H2972323         H00         <  | 57117-31-4        | Dician       | 23478-PeCDF  | POA           | 0.18        | 0.17      | 11%          | t   |                         | +                        | ND         | ND           | ND ND         | ND        | ND          |                   |
| 91273-19       Down       23,1,4 / COF       PR       0.8       5500       33%   | 1746-01-6         | Dioxin       | Jack and States and States   | P9/1          | ND          | ND        | ND           | <u>                                      </u> |                         | ·                        | ND         | ND           | ND            | ND        | ND          |                   |
| P277-00-1         Duan         Trial (pC20         P99         144         2233         100%         Image: Participant state stat   | 51207-31-9        | Dicodin      | 2,3,7,8-TCDF   | pola          | 0.8         | 0 3008    | 33%          | t   |                         |                          | ND         | ND           | ND            | ND        | ND          |                   |
| 3388773         Duan         Train (cal r)COT         399         642         744         695         773         874         788         233         1710         744           34867.63         Doan         Teal (CCD         BO         117         206         444         233         12         12         23         33         7784         738         3476           36867.63         Doan         Teal (CCD         BO         100         2001         784         -         23         12         12         12         33         1728         3476           36867.63         Doan         Teal (CCD         BO         100         100         NO         NO         NO         100         NO         100  | 37871-00-4        | Dicotin      | Total HoCDD  | pola          | 146         | 22.53     | 100%         | <u> </u>                                      |                         | ·                        | ND         | ND           | ND            | ND        | ND          | ND                |
| 34466-64-1         Doah         Trainic200         190         113         2210         4348           35681-64-1         Doah         Trainic207         190         101         220         101         220         101         220         101         220         101         220         101         220         101         220         100         220         100         220         100         120         214         120         214         120         214         120         214         120         214         120         214         120         214         120         214         120         216         214         120         216         214         120         216         214         120         216         217         200         110         216         214         120         120         120         120         216         216         010 <t< td=""><td>38998-75-3</td><td>Dicadin</td><td>Total HpCDF</td><td>DOVO</td><td>54.7</td><td>7 414</td><td>RAN</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>75.3</td><td>37,94</td><td>75.88</td><td>23</td><td>11.82</td><td>+ NU</td></t<>   | 38998-75-3        | Dicadin      | Total HpCDF  | DOVO          | 54.7        | 7 414     | RAN          |   |                         |                          | 75.3       | 37,94        | 75.88         | 23        | 11.82       | + NU              |
| 55884441         Door         Trainicipi         Door         Trainicipi         Trainicipi <thtrainicipi< th="">         Trainicipi</thtrainicipi<>  | 34465-46-8        | Dicatin      | Total HacDD  | pola          | 117         | 2054      | 444          | t   |                         | +                        | 12.9       | 6.5          | 13            | 53        | 2712        | 23 64             |
| 38688 23 4         Doein         Triel PCC/D         DD         DD <thdd< th="">         DD         <thdd< th=""> <thd< td="" thd<=""><td>55684-94-1</td><td>Diada</td><td>Total HbrCDF</td><td>000</td><td>10.1</td><td>2 031</td><td>784</td><td><b> </b></td><td></td><td></td><td>7.3</td><td>3.7</td><td>7.4</td><td>33</td><td>1 730</td><td>5426</td></thd<></thdd<></thdd<>  | 55684-94-1        | Diada        | Total HbrCDF   | 000           | 10.1        | 2 031     | 784          | <b> </b>                                      |                         |                          | 7.3        | 3.7          | 7.4           | 33        | 1 730       | 5426              |
| 30002 16.4         Deem         Title PCDF         200         100         Fill         200         NO         NO <thn< td=""><td>38088-22-9</td><td>Dicatin</td><td>Total Pecbo</td><td>polo</td><td>0.25</td><td>0.2194</td><td>1070</td><td><u>↓                                     </u></td><td></td><td></td><td>2.3</td><td>1.2</td><td>24</td><td>24</td><td>1.738</td><td>3 476</td></thn<>  | 38088-22-9        | Dicatin      | Total Pecbo  | polo          | 0.25        | 0.2194    | 1070         | <u>↓                                     </u> |                         |                          | 2.3        | 1.2          | 24            | 24        | 1.738       | 3 476             |
| if if is a strain         Total TOD         Jbb         L / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 /  | 30402-15-4        | Diceto       | Total Pac DE   | 2000          | 27          | 0.2104    | 224          |   |                         |                          | ND         | ND           | ND            | 0.61      | - 203 -     | 2 526             |
| 9772-27.5         Deam         Tom   | 41903-57-5        | Diceto       | TOWITCOD   | 2000          | 42.8        | 0.0000    | 3370         |   |                         |                          | ND         | ND           | ND            | 0.51      | 0.355       | 071               |
| Disole         Téchen         Téchen<  | 44722.27.5        | Dianto       | Total TODE   | 200           | 4.0         | 0./80     | 447          | ·   |                         |                          | 3,1        | 1.625        | 125           | 0.00      | 0.3925      | 0.785             |
| B3753         Instruction         No   |                   | Diado        | TEO Manager  |               | 1.4         | 0.4063    | 35%          |   |                         |                          | ND         | ND           | ND            | 0.25      | 0.225       | 0.45              |
| BY721         Herickies         By721         Herickies         By721         Herickies         By721         Herickies         Dot of the property of the prop  | 62.74.8           | Lindidia     |  |               | 1.30        | 0.379     |              | L   |                         |                          | 0.462      | 0.233        | 0.498         | NU        | ND          | ND                |
| 35:767         THERE         And         NO   |                   | Lierbielden  | The second se  |               | <u>NU</u>   | ND        | ND           | L   |                         |                          | ND         | NO           | V.400         | 0.239     | 0.145       | 0 292             |
| Statical         Problem         At-D         Market         ZAD         And         NO         NO </td <td>04 78 7</td> <td>Verbieldee</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ND</td> <td></td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td>  | 04 78 7           | Verbieldee   |  |               | ND          | ND        | ND           |   |                         |                          | ND         |              | ND            | ND        | ND          | ND                |
| Trissical         Production         Value   |                   | the block    | 2,4-0  | Line on       | 2300        | 277       | 22%          |   |                         |                          | ND         |              | NU            | ND        | ND          | ND                |
| 178 20 3         Hardbass<br>(150 92)         Max All<br>(150 92)         Hardbass<br>(150 92)         Mot<br>(150 92)         No         No<  | 24-02-0           |              |  | uging ow      | ND          | ND        | ND           |   |                         |                          | ND         | ND ND        | ND ND         | ND        | ND          | ND                |
| 1912-02-0         Hartholds         Derivative         Weig & Tool         NO   | /5-50-0           | PHERDICICIDE |  | daug av       | ND          | ND        | ND           |   | T                       | 1                        | ND -       |              | ND            | ND        | ND          | ND                |
| 120-28-0         Heriticides         Déchargeros         Leige de l'into         100         ND         ND <td>1918-00-9</td> <td>Herbicidee</td> <td></td> <td>uping dw</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>t</td> <td>ND</td> <td>NU NO</td> <td><u>ND</u></td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td>  | 1918-00-9         | Herbicidee   |  | uping dw      | ND          | ND        | ND           |   |                         | t                        | ND         | NU NO        | <u>ND</u>     | ND        | ND          | ND                |
| BB-BC-7         Herkickies         Jack of the sector         Uplie dr         NC         ND   | 120-36-5          | Herbickles   | Dichloroprop   | uplig dw      | 1100        | 165       | 44%          |   |                         | t                        |            | ND           | ND            | ND        | ND          | ND                |
| 94.74.5         Net/Liside         Value         NC         ND   | 88-86-7           | Herbicidee   | s a tradición de la companya de la c  | Uping dw      | ND          | ND        | ND           |   |                         | t                        | NU ND      | ND           | ND            | ND        | ND          | 115               |
| Totes         Harticide         Harticide         Harticide         Mod PP12 (4 chanolog)<br>(Mg drift drift)         18000         190000         190000         190000         190000         190000         190000         190000         190000         190000         190000         190000         190000         190000         190000         190000         190000         190000         1900000         1900000         1900000         19000000         19000000000000000000000000000000000000   | 94-74-6           | Herbicidee   | No. 1  | uging dw      | ND          | ND        | ND           |   |                         | +                        | NU         | ND           | ND            | ND        | ND          |                   |
| 7065-19-0         Herticidee         MCPP3/4-critor-2-<br>met/phramax/propordic<br>scidl         lpbg dr<br>met/phramax/propordic<br>scidl         180000         198000         56%         Image: Second<br>Feature         ND         ND </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OF</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>NU</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>t NO</td>  |                   |              | CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OF   |               |             |           |              |   |                         | 1                        | NU         | ND           | ND            | ND        | ND          | t NO              |
| Bit of the intervey properatic set of the intervey properatic set of the intervey properatic set of the intervey properation will be intervey properation.         Image: set of the intervey properation set of the intervey properation set of the intervey properation.         Image: set of the intervey properation set of the intervey properation set of the intervey properation.         Image: set of the intervey properation set of the intervey properation.         Image: set of the intervey properation set of the intervey properation.         Image: set of the intervey properation set of the intervey properation.         Image: set of the intervey properation set of the intervey properation.         Image: set of the intervey properation set of the interve properation.         Image: set of the interve properation set of the interve properation.         Image: set of the interve properation set of the interve properation.         Image: set of the interve properation set of the interve properation.         Image: s   | 7065-19-0         | Herbicidee   | MCPP[2-(4-chloro-2-  | up/kg dw      | 160000      | 19500     | 56%          | 1   | <u> </u>                | +                        |            | +            |               | ]         |             |                   |
| 87-86-5         Herbicksee         Perischarophend         uelg de         4         1   |                   |              | methylphenoxy)-propenoic   |               | 1           |           |              |   |                         |                          | ND         | ND           | ND            | ND        | ND          | 1 - NC            |
| 87-86-6         Herbickles         Perticulture         upility         46         13.7         11%         Image: Constructure         18         7.8         15.6         15.5         8.0.9         16.0           2051:24.3         PC8s         upility         ND   |                   |              | acid   |               |             |           |              |   |                         |                          |            |              |               |           |             | inc.              |
| 2051:24-3         PCBs         uptig dr         ND  | 87-88-5           | Herbicides   | Pentechlorophenol  | uping de      | 45          | 13.7      | 11%          | · · · · · ·                                   |                         | •                        | +          | +            |               |           | 1           |                   |
| C-CCHLOROBI         PCBa         UgB dr         ND  | 2061-24-3         | POBe         |  | uping de      | ND          | ND        | ND           | <u> </u>                                      | <u>+</u>                | ·                        | 1.6        | 7.8          | 15.6          | 1 55      | 803         | 15.05             |
| C-HEPTACHLOR         PCSa         usgade         ND         ND <td>C-DICHLOROBI</td> <td>PCBs</td> <td></td> <td>uoles de</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>NO</td> <td>1</td> <td>ł</td> <td>+</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td></td>  | C-DICHLOROBI      | PCBs         |  | uoles de      | ND          | ND        | NO           | 1   | ł                       | +                        | ND         | ND           | ND            | ND        | ND          |                   |
| C-HEQUCHLORO         PCBs         with dr         NO         NO <td>C-HEPTACHLOR</td> <td>PCBe</td> <td></td> <td>unin de</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td></td> <td><b> </b></td> <td></td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td>   | C-HEPTACHLOR      | PCBe         |  | unin de       | ND          | ND        | ND           |   | <b> </b>                |                          | ND         | ND           | ND            | ND        | ND          | ND                |
| C-MONOCH QRO         POSe         ND   | C-HEXACHLORO      | PCBs         | -  | unden de      | ND          | ND        | NO           |   | +                       | +                        | ND         | ND           | ND            | ND        | ND          | + -               |
| C-NONACHICRO         PC8a         NO         ND   | C-MONOCHLORO      | PCBe         |  | uplin de      | NO          | ND        | NO NO        | +   |                         | +                        | ND         | ND           | ND            | ND        | ND          | ·                 |
| C-COTA-BIPHE         PCBs         Udig dr         ND         ND <td>C-NONACHLORO</td> <td>PCBe</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>NO</td> <td>TND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>t</td> <td><u> </u></td> <td>+</td> <td>I ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td></td> <td></td>   | C-NONACHLORO      | PCBe         |  |               | NO          | TND       | ND           | t   | <u> </u>                | +                        | I ND       | ND           | ND            | ND        |             |                   |
| C-PENTBIPHEN         PCBs         Value dr/         NO         NO </td <td>C-OCTA-BIPHE</td> <td>PCBs</td> <td></td> <td>under de</td> <td>NO</td> <td>T ND</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>+</td> <td></td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td></td> <td></td>   | C-OCTA-BIPHE      | PCBs         |  | under de      | NO          | T ND      |              | 1   | +                       |                          | ND         | ND           | ND            | ND        |             |                   |
| C-TETRACHLOR         PCBs         under dw         ND         ND <td>C-PENTBIPHEN</td> <td>PCBe</td> <td></td> <td>uplin de</td> <td>NO</td> <td></td> <td>ND</td> <td>-<b>h</b></td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND ND</td> <td></td> <td>+</td>  | C-PENTBIPHEN      | PCBe         |  | uplin de      | NO          |           | ND           | - <b>h</b>                                    | +                       | +                        | ND         | ND           | ND            | ND ND     |             | +                 |
| C-TOTAL-PC8         PCBe         wold or<br>value or<br>ND         ND  | C-TETRACHLOR      | PCBe         |  |               | ND ND       | NO        | ND ND        | +   | ·                       |                          | ND         | ND           | ND            | ND        |             | -+- <u>ND</u>     |
| C-TRICHLOROB         PCBe         udita dur         ND         ND </td <td>C-TOTAL-PCB</td> <td>PCBe</td> <td>-0</td> <td>Landon de</td> <td>NO NO</td> <td></td> <td>ND NO</td> <td>+</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND -</td> <td></td> <td>ND</td>  | C-TOTAL-PCB       | PCBe         | -0   | Landon de     | NO NO       |           | ND NO        | +   |                         |                          | ND         | ND           | ND            | ND -      |             | ND                |
| C-TRICHLORO8         PC8e         Using der         ND         ND </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>and an</td> <td>1 ""</td> <td></td> <td>NU NO</td> <td>59.8</td> <td>21.6</td> <td>70</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>-+</td> <td>ND</td>  |                   |              |  | and an        | 1 ""        |           | NU NO        | 59.8  | 21.6                    | 70                       | ND         | ND           | ND            | ND        | -+          | ND                |
| 72-84-3         Peeticidee         4,4-DDD         upite dw         nD         ND <th< td=""><td>C-TRICHLOROA</td><td>PC9a</td><td></td><td>in the second</td><td></td><td>- NR</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>ND</td></th<>  | C-TRICHLOROA      | PC9a         |  | in the second |             | - NR      | +            | +   | +                       | +                        | 1          |              |               |           |             | ND                |
| 172.85-3         Peeticides         ND   | 72.54.5           | Pentidae     | 44000  |               |             | 1. 204    |              | +   | +                       |                          | ND         | ND           | ND            | NO        | -+          |                   |
| in 222-3         Peeticidee         Uping dw         ND         ND<  | 72.86.0           | Destruiter   |  |               |             | +         | 11%          | 4.82  | 1.22                    | 8                        | ND         | ND           | ND            | NU ND     | ND          | ND                |
| 300-00-2         Peeticidee         MD         ND   | 40.20.2           | Destados     |  |               |             | +         | + <u>NP</u>  | 3.16  | 2.07                    | 5                        | ND         | ND           | ND ND         |           | ND          |                   |
| 336-04-2         Peeticidee         Udita dw         ND         ND<  | 300.00 2          | Personal     | -  |               | ND ND       | ND.       | ND           | 4.16  | 1.19                    | 8                        | ND         | ND           |               | ND ND     | ND          | ND                |
| Story         Peedicides         Unit or         ND   | 310.41.4          | Protecting   |  |               | ND          |           | ND           | +   | 1                       | 2                        | ND         | ND           |               | ND ND     | ND          | ND                |
| Other Instruction         Freedom         Utility day         ND         ND         ND         324         2.26         7         ND   | A102 72 A         | Personal     |  |               | <u>4 NP</u> |           | ND           | 1   |                         | 6                        | ND         |              |               | ND        | ND          | ND                |
| 319-00-7         Peeriodee         Udita dw         ND         ND         ND         S         ND         ND <td>3103-/1-0</td> <td>Personal</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1 ND</td> <td>I ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>3.24</td> <td>2.26</td> <td>7</td> <td>ND</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td>   | 3103-/1-0         | Personal     |  |               | 1 ND        | I ND      | ND           | 3.24  | 2.26                    | 7                        | ND         |              |               | ND        | ND          | ND                |
| 314-00-5         Peericides         ND   | 319-60-7          | Peetodee     |  |               | ND          | ND        | ND           |   | 1                       | 5                        |            |              | ND ND         | ND        | ND          | ND                |
| CU-0-1         Peeticidee         Uplite dw         ND         ND         ND         1.9         0.715         2         ND         N  | 319-66-8          | Peelckes     |  | uging de      | ND          | NO NO     | ND           |   |                         | 1                        | ND ND      |              | ND -          | ND        | ND          | ND                |
| ICOL-00-5.         Peeticidae         Icole 00-6         ND         ND <th< td=""><td>00-67-1</td><td>Peelcides</td><td></td><td></td><td>ND ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>1.9</td><td>0.715</td><td>2</td><td>1 10</td><td>+ ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND ND</td></th<>   | 00-67-1           | Peelcides    |  |               | ND ND       | ND        | ND           | 1.9   | 0.715                   | 2                        | 1 10       | + ND         | ND            | ND        | ND          | ND ND             |
| 33213-65-9         Peeriodice         Uping dw         ND         N  | 959-96-8          | Peelicides   |  | ugig de       | ND          | ND        | ND           |   | 1                       |                          | - <u></u>  | -HND         | ND            | ND        | ND          | ND                |
| 1031-07-8         Peetickies         ND  | 33213-65-9        | Peelcides    |  | Light de      | ND ND       | ND        | ND           | 1   | ·+                      | +                        |            | ND_          | ND            | ND        | ND          | ND                |
| 72-20-8 Pesticides KERANAM ND ND ND 2.22 3 ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND   | 1031-07-8         | Peelcides    |  | ugig de       | / ND        | ND        | ND           | 1   |                         |                          |            | ND           | ND            | ND        | ND          | 1 ND              |
|  | 72-20-8           | Pesticides   | ALEN   | uging de      | ND ND       | ND        | ND           | 222   | +                       |                          | + ND       | ND           | ND            | ND        | ND          |                   |
|  |                   |              |  |               |             |           |              | -A. A.LL                                      | A                       | 1                        | L ND       | I ND         | ND            | ND        | ND          |                   |

# Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 8 of 78 Page ID #4134

#### Table 6-2. Sediment Screening Table W.G. Krummich Site Sauget, Illinois

Internal Review Draft v1.0

|            |           |                           |            |               | 909 (PQ)   | 3            | Sedi<br>Red Cust   | ment Quality C | riteria      | Down       | etreem (DDA) I | Reference      | Unetre   |          |               |
|------------|-----------|---------------------------|------------|---------------|------------|--------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|------------|----------------|----------------|----------|----------|---------------|
|            |           |                           |            | Site          | Site       | Fragmency of | Guida <sup>1</sup> | Red El         |              | _          |                |                | open.    |          | rerence       |
| CAS Number | Analysis  | Neme                      | Units      | Maximum       | Average    | Detection    | (TEC)              | BOACT (TEL)    | Sed Ontario* | Downstream | Downstream     | 2 X Downstream | Upstream | Upstream | 2 X Upstruer  |
| 7421-93-4  | Peetcides |                           | uging dw   | ND            | ND         | ND           | 1.00/              | (IEL)          | (LEL)        | Maximum    | Average        | Average        | Maximum  | Average  | Average       |
| 53494-70-5 | Peetcides |                           | uging dw   | ND            | ND         | ND           |                    |                |              | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 58-89-9    | Pesicides |                           |            | ND            | ND         | ND           | 2.37               | 0.32           |              | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       |               |
| 5103-74-2  | Peetcides |                           | uging day  | ND            | ND         | ND           | 3.24               | 2.28           | 3            | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       |               |
| 78-44-8    | Peelcides |                           | uging der  | ND            | ND         | ND           |                    |                | 0 3***       | ND ND      | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 1024-57-3  | Peelcides |                           |            | NID           | ND         | ND           | 2.47               |                | 5            |            |                | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 72-43-6    | Peetcides |                           | uping ov   | ND            | ND         | ND           |                    |                |              | ND         |                | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 8001-36-2  |           |                           | LIDING OW  | ND            | NO         | ND           |                    |                | T            | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND -          |
| 8/-01-0    | SVUUS     |                           |            | ND NO         | ND         | ND           | 4                  |                | 1            | ND         | ND             | ND ND          | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 08.80.1    | 8000      | 1.2 Dichinghonson         | Links du   | 110           | 100        | ND           | <u> </u>           |                |              | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND .     | ND            |
| 104.70.3   | 5000a     | 1,2-010 301 0001 20110    | Links da   |               | 100        |              |                    |                |              | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 541-73-1   | SVOCa     |                           | unito de   | NO            | ND -       | NO           |                    |                |              | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 108-46-7   | SVOCe     | 1.4-Dichlorobenzene       | untes de   | 81.5          | 108        | 114          | <b> </b>           |                |              | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND .     | ND .          |
| 108-80-1   | SVOCa     |                           | unite dw   | ND            | ND         | ND           |                    |                |              | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND            |
|            |           |                           |            | 1             |            |              | 1                  |                |              | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | H-ND     | + <u>ND</u>   |
| 15950-66-0 | SVOCa     |                           | unim de    |               | NO         | NO           | <u> </u>           |                |              |            |                |                |          |          | ND            |
| 933-78-8   | SVOCa     |                           | uping dw   | ND            | ND         | ND           | t                  | +              |              | ND         | I ND           | ND             | NO       |          | +             |
| 933-7-5    | SVOCa     |                           | uping dw   | ND            | ND         | ND           | t                  | t              | +            | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND ND    | +             |
| 95-95-4    | SVOCa     |                           | uping dw   | ND            | ND         | ND           | t                  | t              | +            | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       | H-ND          |
| 88-06-2    | SVOCa     | 2,4,6-Trichlerophenol     | ugka dw    | 470           | 144        | 22%          | t                  | +              | ÷            | ND ND      | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       | +             |
| 120-83-2   | SVÓČe     | 2,4-Dichlorophenol        | ugles dw   | 1000          | 208        | 22%          | 1                  | t              |              | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 105-67-9   | SVOCa     | 2,4-Dimetryphenol         | uging dw   | 80            | 98.1       | 22%          | 1                  | † — — — —      | +            | ND ND      | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       | + ND          |
| 51-28-5    | SVOCa     | S. S. La                  | uging da   | ND            | ND         | ND           |                    |                | +            | ND ND      | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND .          |
| 121-14-2   | SVOCe     | 2,4-Dinitrolaluene        | uplin du   | 750           | 196        | 22%          |                    |                | <u> </u>     | <u>ND</u>  | ND ND          | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 606-20-2   | SVOCe     |                           | uping dw   | ND            | ND         | ND           |                    | <u> </u>       | 1            |            | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 91-58-7    | SVOCa     |                           | uping or   | ND            | ND         | ND           |                    | 1              |              | ND         | ND ND          | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 80-07-6    | SVOCE     | 2-Chiorophenel            |            | 360           | 137        | 11%          |                    |                | +            | ND         | ND ND          | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 91-0/-0    | 3V0C8     |                           |            | NU NO         | ND         | ND           |                    | 20.2           |              | ND         |                |                | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 80-40-7    | 37003     |                           |            | NU NU         | ND ATA     | ND           |                    |                |              | 1 ND       | ND             | ND ND          | ND ND    | ND       | ND            |
| AL78.8     | 5V0Ce     |                           |            | 1 <u>- 19</u> | + <u>•</u> | 11%          |                    |                |              | ND         | NO             | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 91-94-1    | 5000      |                           |            | ND            |            | ND           | <u> </u>           |                |              | ND         | ND             | ND             |          | ND       | ND            |
| 809-19-8   | SVOCa     | 7                         | unin de    |               |            | ND ND        | +                  |                |              | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 108-44-5   | SVOCa     | 3-Mathdohanali4-          | unden de   |               | 192        | 2294         | +                  |                |              | ND         | ND             | ND             |          | ND ND    | ND            |
|            |           | Methylphanol (man-Crean)  |            |               |            |              | 1                  |                |              | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND ND    | NO_      | <u>ND</u>     |
| 99-09-2    | SVOCa     | 1 m -                     | uping de   | ND            | ND         | ND           | +                  | +              |              |            |                |                |          | NU       | ND            |
| 534-52-1   | SVOCa     |                           | uping de   | ND            | ND         | ND           | +                  |                |              | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       |          | +             |
| 101-55-3   | SVOCe     | 4-Bromophenylphenyl ether | uging de   | 98.5          | 108        | 11%          | +                  | +              | -            | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       | INU:          |
| 59-50-7    | SVOCa     |                           | uping de   | ND            | L ND       | ND           | ·                  |                |              | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       |               |
| 108-47-8   | SVOCe     | 4-Chiproantine            |            | 4800          | 1080       | 67%          | T                  | ·              |              | ND ND      | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 7005-72-3  | SVOCa     | -                         | upleg de   | ND            | ND         | ND           |                    | 1              |              | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 100-01-6   | SVOCe     |                           |            |               | ND         | ND           |                    |                | +            | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 100-02-7   | SVUU      |                           |            | ND            | ND         | ND           |                    | 1              | 1            | NO         |                | + <u>ND</u>    | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 204.04.4   |           |                           |            | NO            | + ND       | ND           |                    | 6.71           | . [          |            |                |                | H ND     | ND       | ND            |
| 120-12-7   | SV0Ca     |                           |            |               |            | H ND         | +                  | 5.87           |              | ND         |                | ND             | + ND     | ND       | 10            |
| 56-66-3    | SVOCa     |                           | Links de   |               |            |              | 57.2               | 46.9           | 220          | ND         | ND             | ND ND          |          | ND ND    |               |
| 50-32-8    | SVOCa     |                           | uplus de   | ND ND         |            |              | 100                | 74.8           | 320          | 46         | 75.5           | 151            | ND       |          | ND            |
| 205-99-2   | SVOCa     |                           | uples de   | NO            | ND         | ND ND        | 100                | 5.66           | 370          | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND ND         |
| 191-24-2   | SVOCe     |                           | uping de   | ND            | ND         | T ND         | +                  | +              | +            | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       | + <u>ND</u> - |
| 207-08-9   | SVOCa     |                           |            | ND            | ND         | ND           | +                  | +              | 1/0          | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       |               |
| 111-91-1   | SVOCe     |                           | vering de  | ND            | ND         | ND           | +                  | +              |              | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       | -+ NO -       |
| 111-44-4   | SVOCe     |                           | Links de   | ND            | ND         | ND           | 1                  | +              | +            |            | ND             | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 117-81-7   | SVOCe     |                           | ugilig di  | V ND          | ND         | ND           | 1                  | 182            |              | - ND       | <u>ND</u>      | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 86-68-7    | SVOCa     |                           | uging di   | V ND          | ND         | ND           | 1                  | +              | -+           | <u> </u>   | - ND           | ND             | 110      | 109      | 1 218         |
| 86-74-8    | SVOCe     |                           | فيرابيها   | V ND          | NO         | ND           |                    | <u> </u>       | <u> </u>     |            | -+ ND          | ND             | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 218-01-9   | SVOCe     |                           |            | V ND          | ND         | ND           | 166                | 108            | 340          | 40         | - ND<br>77     | - ND           | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 64-74-2    | SVOCe     | -                         | unin é     | V ND          | ND         | ND           |                    |                |              |            |                | 104            | ND       | ND       | ND            |
| 11/-64-0   | SVOCE     |                           | upito di   | ND ND         |            | ND           | 1                  |                |              | T ND       | ND             |                |          | ND       | ND            |
| 122 44 0   | 3000      |                           |            |               |            | <u>NP</u>    | 33                 | 6.22           | 60           | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND ND    | ND       | ND            |
| ALAN.2     | SVOLE     |                           |            |               |            | + ND         | +                  |                |              | ND         | ND             | ND ND          |          |          | ND ND         |
| 131-11-3   | SVOC      |                           | Lingung (I |               |            |              | +                  |                | _            | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND ND    |          | - <u>ND</u>   |
|            | 1 07000   |                           | <b>1</b>   |               |            |              |                    |                |              | ND         | ND             | ND             | ND       |          |               |
|            |           |                           |            |               |            |              |                    |                |              |            |                |                |          | NU       | ND            |

| W.G. Krummrich Ste | Table 6-2. Sedment screening |
|--------------------|------------------------------|
|                    |                              |
|                    | <b>s</b> ' -                 |

Ī

Frequency of Detection

BOAG' (TEL Int Quality

Critterle

Down

tream (DDA) Reference

Upstream (UDA) Reference Upstream

Downetreen

2 X Downetreem

Upstream Maximum

2 X Upstream

21.2

Bed Ontarto<sup>1</sup> (LEL) 190

Bits (PDA)

Internal Review Draft v1 ()

| Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF | Document 310-4 | Filed 03/11/15 | Page 9 of 78 | Page ID |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------|
|                            | #4135          |                | -            | -       |
|                            |                |                |              |         |

 (m) Control
 (m) Control

 (m) Control

**P** 

8 34

178

8

ţ

8

3

8 8

cie-1,2-Dicharoethene

E SEE E SE E SE E SE

33333333333333

88888888888888

33333333333333

<u>SEREESESSESSE</u>

388888888888888

i j

333333333333

ΰð

Einbertens näp-Xylens seinjene chickis

Chiorobergene Chioroeftene Chioroform Carbon daulita

| white-Based Thr                    |   | 1-12-20-20-2   |                |                 | 0-20-10001 | 100-00-0                 | 100-00-3 | 127-18-4         | 100-12-0 |    |
|------------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------------|----------|------------------|----------|----|
| Mahald Effect Concentr             |   | Y CL           |                | NOC I           | NOC        | VOCe                     | NOO:     | 500              | 100      |    |
| Willion - MiscDonwid, D.D., C.G. i |   | Ayeenee, Total | Vinyl chicride | Trictionosthere | •          | trans-1,2-Dichtoroethene | Toluene  | Tetractionethere |          |    |
| nonnoit and T                      |   | uphy dw        | uphg dw        | upho dw         | uphy dw ]  | up to du                 | uphg dw  |                  | VD DAOL  |    |
|                                    |   | 710            | •              | 42              | NO         | 0.91                     | 7800     | 2                | đ        |    |
| 000 Davah                          |   | 142            | 0.960          | 5.94            | ₹          | 0.619                    | 8        | 3                | 3        |    |
|                                    |   | 57             | ž              | r,              | z          |                          | 2        | 2 A              | 3        |    |
|                                    |   |                | T              | T               |            |                          | T        | T                | T        |    |
|                                    | ſ |                |                |                 |            | T                        |          |                  |          |    |
|                                    |   |                |                |                 |            |                          |          |                  |          |    |
|                                    | N | NO             | N              | Ŋ               | Ŋ          | 1.8                      | Ŋ        | Ŋ                |          | zo |
|                                    | H | Н              | -              | +               | -          | -                        | 4        | +                |          |    |

88888588

888888888

888888888

E S S S S S S S

Ecosystems. Arch. Environ. Contern. Toxicol. 39: 20-31. Sedimart Quality Assessment Guidelines - MecDoneld Environmetial Sciences, Ltt. 1904. Approach b the Asses Environ of Sedimart Quality Assessment Guidelines. Properties for FLDEP. November 1994. "Lowest Effect Lavel - Perseud. D. R. Jangung, and A. Heyfon. 1993. Guidelines for the Protection and Manage "Onterio and Sedimart Quality Guideline values are for 2.4-DOT and 4.4-DOT. "Pforfa. Onterio, and Sedimart Quality Guideline values are for 2.4-DOT and 4.4-DOT. "Pforfa. Onterio, and Sedimart Quality Guideline values are for Chibritane. "Pforfa. The for Higher for Net The Steamer Samples but not at the Steamer Announces."

Jornaemaus-Based Sediment Quality Guidelines for Fresh

Ĩ

ament of Sedmant Quality in Florida Coastal Waters, Volume 1 -- Development and

Avenage

25 ž

medmum concentration

Page 3 of 3

Quality in Ontario. Ortanto Ministry of Environment and Energy August 1993

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 10 of 78 Page ID #4136

----

.....

\_\_\_\_\_

Namuet Area 1: Petird of Becisic:

-

- -

### Table 5-3

### Whole Body Fish Tissue

### Analytical Data Summary

# Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 11 of 78 Page ID #4137

#### Table 6-3. Whole Body Fish rissue Screening Table W.G. Krummitch Site Sauget, Illinois

Internal Review Draft v1.0

|                  | Ch          | annel Catlish, Drum, Shed Whele Bedy  |               |           | 8.ite   |              | 0           | And the second s |                 |                 |                | L_ 10- 00         |
|------------------|-------------|---|---------------|-----------|---------|--------------|-------------|--|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|
|                  | }           |   |               | 8 ite     | - 24km  | Proguesey of | Dewnstream  | Devestreen   | 2 X Development | Upse            | eem (UDA) Refi | Jrence            |
| CAS              | Analysis    | Name  | Units         | Maximum   | Average | Detection    | Maximum     | Average  | Avenue          | Opstroum        | Upstream       | 2 X Upstream      |
| % Lipida         |             | % Lipide  |               | 17        | 9.067   | 100 00%      | 14          | 8 997  |                 | MILXIMUM        | Average        | Average           |
| 3268-87-9        | Dioxin      | 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-OCDD  | P9/9          | 189       | 29.64   | 100 00%      | 110         | 44.03  | 13,334          | 10              | 8.333          | 16 666            |
| 39001-02-0       | Dicodn      | 1,2,3,4,8,7,8,9-OCDF  | P9/9          | 4.3       | 0.6617  | 11 11%       | 27          | 0.0799   | 09.00           |                 | 30.87          | 61 74             |
| 35822-46-9       | Dicada      | 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD   | 29/9          | 7.1       | 2.91    | 100.00%      | 49          | 0.9733   | 1.9400          | ND              | ND             | ND                |
| 67562-39-4       | Dioxin      | 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF   | P9/9          | 1         | 0.1367  | 11 119       |             | 3.073  | 5.146           | 8.8             | 4.12           | 8 24              |
| 55673-89-7       | Dicuán      |   | pg/g          | ND        | ND      | 0.00%        | <u></u>     | NU   | ND              | 0.59            | 0.2167         | 0 4334            |
| 39227-28-6       | Dicadin     | 1,2,3,4,7,8-HuCDO   | P9/9          | 0.3       | 01411   | 44.449       | NU          | ND   | ND              | ND              | ND             | ND                |
| 70848.28.9       | Diceán      | 1.2.3.4.7.8-HxCDF   | <b>20/0</b>   | 0.84      | 03611   | 44.4478      | 0.58        | 0.22   | 0.44            | 0.33            | 0.2217         | 0 4434            |
| 57651.85.7       | Diavin      | 123678-HxCD0  | pala          | 12        | 0.0011  | 30.30%       | <u>0.71</u> | 0.3217   | 0.6434          | 0.85            | 0.5933         | 1 1866            |
| 57117.44.0       | Dioxin      | 123878-HxCDE  | po/a          | 0.22      | 0.1007  | 100.00%      | 2           | 0.9033   | 1.8066          | 18              | 1.153          | 2 306             |
| 10408 74 3       | Diordin     | 123789HCDD  | bdia          | 0.54      | 0.100/  | 44.44%       | 0.34        | 0.1333   | 0.2666          | 0.26            | 0.1633         | 0.3266            |
| 70048.04.0       | Dianda      | 100100-100000   | pala          | ND        | 0.3000  | 100.00%      | 0.78        | 0.4133   | 0.8286          | 1.1             | 0.6033         | 1 2066            |
| /2010-21-0       | Dioxin      | 12374 0-000   | Dala          |           | NU      | 0.00%        | ND          | ND   | ND              | ND              | ND             | NO                |
| 40321-/6-4       | Dicean      | 1,2,3,7,6-PeCOU   | <u>- 1979</u> | 0.04      | 0.3328  | 77.78%       | 1.2         | 0.4883   | 0.9766          | 1               | 0.5467         | 1.0024            |
| 5/11/-41-6       | Uician      | 1,2,3,7,8+80.04   | <u>P949</u>   | 0.43      | 0.07389 | 11.11%       | ND          | T ND   | ND              | NO              | ND             | 10934             |
| 60651-34-5       | Dician      | 2,34,6,7,8-100.07   | P0/g          | 0.48      | 0.1933  | 66.67%       | 0.86        | 0.4133   | 0.8266          | 03              | 0.215          | NU)               |
| 57117-31-4       | Dioxidn     | 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF   | P9/9          | 0.64      | 0.3181  | 77.78%       | 1           | 0.41   | 0.82            | 0.50            | 0.215          | 0.43              |
| 1748-01-8        | Dicxin      | 2,3,7,8-TCDD  | <b>Pg/g</b>   | 2.4       | 0.5794  | 00.07%       | 0.98        | 0 4417   | 0.8834          | 1.00            | 0 2863         | 0.5766            |
| 51207-31-9       | Dicxin      | 2,3,7,8-TCDF  | P9/9          | 5.7       | 1.404   | 100.00%      | 1 1         | 0.62   | 1.24            |                 | + 0.6          | 12                |
| 37871-00-4       | Dicodn      | Total HpCDD   | Pg/g          | 13.5      | 4.011   | 100.00%      | 85          | 4 817  | 0.224           | 2.0             | 1.317          | 2.634             |
| 36998-75-3       | Dicodn      | Total HpCDF   | P9/9          | 13.6      | 3.004   | 55.80%       | AS          | 5 692  | 11 744          | 12.4            | 5.5            | 11                |
| 34405-46-8       | Dioxin      | Total HxCDD   | 29/9          | 3.3       | 2.072   | 77 7A%       | 10          | 1 477  | 11.200          | 4.5             | 3.2            | 64                |
| 55684-94-1       | Diaxin      | Total HbcOF   | P9/9          | 81.6      | 20.5    | 100.000      | 421         | 1.0//  | 3.354           | 4.9             | 2.717          | 5 434             |
| 36068-22-9       | Dicode      | Total PeCDD   | pg/g          | 7.5       | 2,959   | 100.00%      |             |  | 61.8            | 21.2            | 19.5           | 39                |
| 30402-15-4       | Dicxin      | Total PeCDF   | po/g          | 124       | 43.07   | 100.00%      | 3.2         | 2.3  | 4.6             | 3               | 2 367          | 4 734             |
| 41903-57-5       | Dicerin     | Tatel TCDD  | DO/O          | 72        | 2458    | 77.700       | ¥3.9        | 00.2   | 120.4           | 125             | 74.07          | 148 14            |
| 55722-27-5       | Diordo      | Total TCDF  | po/o          | 187       | 77 34   | 11.10%       | 1.4         | 0.6917   | 1.3834          | 1.5             | 0.9567         | 1 9134            |
| 02.78.5          | Linchinidan | 2451  | unition       | 13        | 5.74    | 100,00%      | 218         | 124.7  | 249.4           | 121             | 90.73          | 181 46            |
| 03 70 4          | Linchielden | 2 4 5 TD (90) and   | uafra         |           | 3.14    | 33.33%       | ND          | ND   | ND              | 7.1             | 5.13           | 10.26             |
| 83-72-1          | Herbicides  | Z, A, OF IF (ORVER)   | - Marina      | 0.7       | 4.8/    | 56.50%       | 6.9         | 4.96   | 9,96            | 7.5             | 5 27           | 10.54             |
| 04 40 4          | Herbicides  |   | ugyig         |           | NU NU   | 0.00%        | ND          | ND   | ND              | ND              | ND             |                   |
| <u>94-02-0</u>   | Herbicides  |   | 40740         | <u>NU</u> | ND_     | 0.00%        | ND          | ND   | ND              | ND              | ND             |                   |
| /5-69-0          | Herpicides  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | 49769         | <u>ND</u> | ND      | 0.00%        | ND          | ND   | ND              | ND              | ND             |                   |
| 1918-00-9        | Herpicides  |   | <u>uonia</u>  | ND        | ND      | 0.00%        | ND          | ND   | ND              | 85              | 7 77           | 14.40             |
| 120-38-5         | Herbicides  |   | ug/kg         | ND.       | ND      | 0.00%        | ND          | ND   | ND              | ND              | 1 ND           | 14.40             |
| 88-85-7          | Herbicides  | and the second se | ug/kg         | ND        | ND      | 0.00%        | ND          | ND ND  | NO              | ND              |                |                   |
| 94-74-8          | Herbioldes  |   | ug/kg         | ND        | ND      | 0.00%        | ND          | ND   | ND              |                 |                |                   |
| 7085-19-0        | Herbicides  | MCPP[2-(4-chloro-2-methylphenoxy)-propenoic sold]   | ug/kg         | 8800      | 2300    | 33.33%       | ND          |  |                 |                 | ND             |                   |
| 87- <b>86-</b> 5 | Herbicidee  |   | yanka         | ND        | ND      | 0.00%        | ND          |  | NU NO           |                 | ND             | <u> </u>          |
| 2051-24-3        | PCBs        |   | ug/kg         | ND        | ND      | 0.00%        | ND          | ND ND  |                 |                 | ND             | <u>ND</u>         |
| C-DICHLOROBI     | PCBs        |   | ug/kg         | ND        | ND      | 0.00%        | ND ND       |  | + <u>NP</u>     |                 | ND             | <u>ND</u>         |
| C-HEPTACHLOR     | PCBe        | u • •   | ug/kg         | ND        | ND      | 0.00%        | ND          |  | ND ND           | - <b>↓</b> '' - | ND             | ND                |
| C-HEXACHLORO     | PCBa        |   | uarka         | ND        | ND      | 0.00%        |             | - <u> </u>   | ND              | <u> </u>        | ND             | ND                |
| C-MONOCHLORO     | PCBe        | 2   | ug/ka         | ND        | ND      | 0.00%        |             |  | ND ND           | ND              | ND             | ND                |
| C-NONACHLORC     | PCBs        |   | uolka         | ND        | ND      | 0.00%        |             |  | ND              | ND              | ND             | ND                |
| C-OCTA-BIPHE     | PCB         |   | ug/ko         | ND        | 1 ND    | 0.00%        |             | ND   | ND              | ND              | ND             | I ND              |
| C-PENTRIPHEN     | PCB         |   | volka         | ND        | NO NO   | 0.00%        | <u></u>     | ND   | ND              | ND              | ND             | ND                |
| C-TETRACHI OP    | PCB         | ·····   | ualka         | ND        |         | 0.000        | - NO        | ND   | ND              | ND              | ND             | ND                |
| C-TOTAL-PCP      | PCR-        |   | ug/kg         | T NO      | ND      | 0.00%        | ND          | ND   | ND              | ND              | ND             | ND                |
| C-TRICHI 0909    | PCP-        |   | unkc          |           |         | 0.00%        | ND          | ND   | ND              | ND              | ND             | ND                |
| 72.54.8          | Destinia    | 44-000  | uolte         | A7        |         | 0.00%        | ND          | ND   | ND              | ND              | ND             | ND                |
| 72 86 0          | Destinite   |   |               | +         |         | 22.22%       | 12          | 16,5   | 33              | ND              | ND             | ND                |
| 50 20 8          | Deuticide   |   |               | +         | 18.4    | 88.89%       | 19          | 13.4   | 26 8            | 25              | 21             | 42                |
| 00-00-3          | 1 Pergeloe  | 4,4-001   | 49780         | 13        | 12.6    | 11.11%       | ND          | ND   | ND              | 7.0             | 15             | 30                |
| 309-00-2         | Pesticide   |   | 49/10         | ND        | ND      | 0.00%        | ND          | ND   | ND              | ND              | ND.            | ND                |
| 319-54-6         | Pesticide   | el elphe-BHC  | <u>49/Kg</u>  | 26        | 11.4    | 11.11%       | ND          | ND   | ND              | ND              |                |                   |
| 5103-71-9        | Pesticide   | ei eiphe-Chiordane  | yorka .       | 14        | 11.7    | 22.22%       | 7.7         | 10.9   | 21.8            |                 |                |                   |
| 319-86-7         | Pesticide   |   | ya/ka         | ND        | ND      | 0.00%        | ND          | ND   | ND              | ND ND           | - ND           |                   |
| 319-80-8         | Pesticide   | 6   | up/kg         | ND        | ND      | 0.00%        | ND          |  | ND              |                 | -+             | $ + \frac{ND}{2}$ |
| 60-67-1          | Pesticide   | e Dieidrin  | ug/kg         | 64        | 14.9    | 77 78%       | 19          | 130  | 07.0            |                 | ND             | • ND              |
| 959-98-8         | Pesticide   | e Endosulfan I  | ug/kg         | 4.3       | 11.6    | 11 11        | ND          |  | <u> </u>        | 32              | 212            | 42.4              |
| 33213-65-9       | Peeticide   | 5 81 /8 1/1   | ug/ka         | ND        | ND      | 0.00         |             |  |                 |                 | 13.5           |                   |
|                  |             |   |               |           |         | 0.00%        |             |  |                 | <u>ND</u>       |                | 1 NO              |

| Π              | Ī       |         |          |         |          |          |          | 1       |          |          |          |         |          |          |          |          | T       |          | T       | T             | T      | T      |              |      |         |         | Γ                         | Γ       |         | Γ       |          |          | Π       |          |                   |         |         |         |          | Ţ        |       | T                   | T        | Τ        | Γ                   |          |         |           | I        | T         | T               | T   |           | Γ               | Ţ           | Π          |                |              |
|----------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|---------|---------------|--------|--------|--------------|------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|-------|---------------------|----------|----------|---------------------|----------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|-----------|-----------------|-------------|------------|----------------|--------------|
| 00-74-8        | AA AQ 7 | 17-01-7 |          | 11-01-1 | 207-06-0 | 191-24-2 | 205-99-2 | 50-32-8 | 5652     | 120-12-7 | 206-96-8 | 53-52-0 | 100-02-7 | 100-01-0 | 005-72-3 | 106-47-8 | 50-50-7 | 101-00-0 | 01 02-1 |               |        | 100445 | 19-8         | 9941 | 88-75-5 | 88-74-4 | 95-48-7                   | 91-57-8 | 95-57-8 | 91-58-7 | 000-20-2 | 121-14-2 | 51-28-5 | 105-87-9 | 120-83-2          | 88-06-2 | 95-95-4 | 933-7-5 | 933-78-8 | 5050-000 |       |                     | 041-/3-1 | 106-70-3 | 96-50-1             | 120-82-1 | 87-61-8 | 8001-35-2 | 72-43-5  | 1024-57-3 | 78.44.8         |     | 3494-70-5 | 7421-93-4       | 72-20-8     | 1031-07-8  | % Lipids       | 2            |
| SVOC.          |         | SVOO    | SVOOL    | SVOC    | SVOC     | SVOC     | SVOCA    | SNOC    | SVOC     | SVOC     | 9000     | SVOC    | SVOC     | SVOC     | SVOC     | SVOC     | SVOQ    | SAOC     | SVOC    |               |        |        | ŝ            | SVOC | SVOC    | SVOC.   | SVOC                      | SVOC    | SVOC.   | SVOC    | SVOC     | SVOC.    | SVOC    | SVOC     | SVOC.             | SVOC.   | SVOC.   | SVOC.   | SVOC     |          | 2004  |                     | SVOC     | SVOC     | SVOO                | SVQC.    | SVOC    | Peetoldee | Pestodes | Pantola   |                 |     | Peetodee  | Peetioidee      | Pesticides  | Pesticides |                |              |
|                |         |         |          |         |          |          |          |         |          |          |          |         |          |          |          |          |         |          |         |               |        |        |              |      |         | •       | 2-Methylphanol (o-Cresol) |         |         |         |          |          |         |          | 2,4-Otohionahanal |         |         |         |          |          |       | 1,4-Dichlorobenzene |          |          | 1,2-Dioteorobanzana |          |         |           |          |           | gemme-Chlordane |     |           | Endrin aldehyde | Endon       |            | % Lipida       |              |
| ug/ig<br>ug/ig |         |         | <b>D</b> |         | DAR      | - DAUGH  |          |         | PAGE A   |          |          |         | unita    | uovia    | Lovia    | uo/co    | 5       | up/icu   | 40/Kg   | <b>uo</b> /ka | ug/igu | US/NGI | <b>D</b> MON |      |         | Links   | uoviso                    | uoAa    | Lavin   | uovko   | uo/ko    | line     | uo/ta   | Uowith   |                   | uorko   |         |         | DVD.     |          | ng/lg |                     | Dy/On    | 40/Kg    | u Ma                | Long     | Loko    |           |          | Loka      | uo/ka           |     | uoha      | uo/ka           | up/ka       | 6          |                | Ť<br>F       |
| 88             | NO      | 3       | ie       | Z       | ŝ        | iz       | đ        | 3       | Ę        | ŝ        | Ē        | ŝ       | 5        | 518      | 5        | 3        | 3       | 5        | ŝ       | z             | z      | ž      | 8            | Ē    | 13      | 5       | 3                         | 5 8     | 5       | 318     | 512      | 518      | 38      | 5        | ŝ ē               | 5       | 5 Z     | 5 Z     | ;z       |          | ß     | 130                 | ₹        | ¥,       | 2                   | 5 2      | 5 8     | 5Z        | 0.0      | B         | 8.1             | ¥   | ð         | 5               | đ           | 5          |                | ŧ            |
| 53             | z       | N       | ¥        | N       | S        | S        | NO       | 8       | S        | S        | 5        | æ       | Z        | E        | 512      | 5 8      | 5       | 5        | z       | z             | đ      | g      | z            | z    | Z       |         | Z                         | Ē       | Z       | ŝ       | ē        | R        | 38      |          | 3                 | 38      | Z       | æ       | g        | i        | g     | 241                 | z        | 36       | žZ                  | đ        | Z       | Z         | 10,2     | 3         | =               | z   | z         |                 | 3           | 5.98       | Avenae         | ;            |
| 0.00%          |         | 0.00    | 0.00     | 0.00    | 0.00     | 0.00     | 0.00%    | 0.00    | 0.00%    | 0.00%    | 0.00%    | 0.00%   | 0.00%    | 0.00%    | 0.00%    | 0.00     | 0.00%   | 0.00     | 0.00    |               | 0.000  | 0      | 0.00         | 0.00 | 0.00%   | 4.4%    | 0.00%                     | 0.00%   | 0.00%   | 0.00%   | 0.00%    | 0.00%    | 0.00%   | 33.39%   | 0.00%             | 0.00%   | 0.00%   | 0.00%   | 0.00     | 0.007    |       | 1111                |          | 14.44%   | 0.00%               | 0.00%    | 0.00*   | 0.00%     | 22.22    | 0.00      | 22 22           |     | 4.22      | 222             | 0.00        | 100.00%    | Detrotion      | Frequency of |
| 8 Z            | i i     | 3       | 5        | 5       | 3        | 5        | 38       | 5       | 5        | 3        | 3        | 3       | 3        | ₹        | ş        | ₹        | S       | ¥        | ₹       | ₹             | NC     | 5      | 512          | 5    | 3       | 36      | ß                         | z       | S       | ð       | z        | ₹        | z       | đ        | z                 | S       | z       | 3       | 3        | N        | 3     | 3                   | z        | g        | S                   | S        | ð       | z         | 38       |           | ž               | įĘ  | 40        | Z               | 12          | 14         |                | Downstrum    |
| 88             | R       | Ē       | 5 Z      | 5       | ŝ        | 5 Z      | 5Z       | jZ      | įz       | 52       | 5 Z      | 5 8     | 5        | 3        | 5        | đ        | z       | S        | ð       | g             | S      | Z      | Z            | 52   |         | 317     | 3                         | 3       | 3       | 310     | 3        | 5        | 3       | 3        | 38                | 3       | 3       | 38      | 5        | ß        | S     | S                   | N        | S        | z                   | 3        | 3       | 518       | 512      | 13/       | z               | ß   | 14.1      | S               | 10,5        | 0.007      | Avenue         | natron (DDA) |
| SS             | N       | N       | N        | R       | NO       | S        | Z        | S       | S        | B        | R        | B       | i e      | 52       | 5        | 3        | 3       | 5        | z       | S             | ₹      | ND     | N            | N    | 034     | Ze      | 5                         | 512     | 5 e     | 5 E     | 512      | i e      | Se      | ŝē       | 52                | 52      | ; Z     | N       |          | ß        | ß     | S                   | S        | 38       | 32                  | E        | 2       | 50        | S        | 27.4      | N               | S   | 28.2      | S               | EE<br>Lonoi | PLAN       | WINDLESSON Y 7 |              |
| 58             | z       | N       | N        | N       | N        | N        | 8        | S       | s        | ND       | z        | ND      | S        | z        | R        | Z        | Z       | į        | 5       | 3             | 3      | z      | z            | z    | 110     | N       | S                         | S       | ß       | R       | z        | g        | z       | ß        | N                 | S       | NO      | S       |          | 3        | 3     | 3                   | 5        | 5 C      | 56                  | z        | N       | N         | ß        | 5.8       | 3               | 3   | 74        | 52              | 57          | Maximu     | Upstream       | L L          |
| 88             | 5       | z       | N        | N       | z        | S        | z        | 3       | 3        | Ŋ        | z        | N       | ß        | Ŋ        | z        | z        | ND      | NO       | i e     | NO            | NO     | 5      | z            | z    | 20      | Ŋ       | ND                        | ND      | N       | ND      | Ŋ        | N        | NO      | N        | N                 | N       | N       | z       | č        |          |       | R                   | NO       | ND       | NO                  | ND       | N       | Ŋ         | B        | 14 4      | 32              | 1.0 | NO        | R               | 8.333       | Average    | Upstream       | ream (UDA) R |
| ia la          | +<br> ₹ | 318     | 518      |         | 3        |          | -        | 512     | -<br>518 |          |          | 318     | 3        | z        | g        | N        | ND:     | NO       | 10      | Z             |        | ļ      |              |      | 11/     | N       | N                         | 3:<br>  |         | z       | N        | z        | 3       | z        | Z                 | z       | z i     | 3       | N        | NO       | B     | N                   | R        | B        | Ę                   | Z        | 3       | z e       | ZD COO   |           | , zo            | 2   | ND        | ND              | 16.666      | Average    | 2 X Upstrea    | Marance .    |

Table 6-3. Whole Body Flah Tissue Screening Table W.G. Krummrich Site Seuget, Ittinoia

Internal Review Draft v1.0

# Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 13 of 78 Page ID #4139

Table 6-3. Whole Body Fish Tissue Screening Table W.G. Krummich Site Sauget, Ninchs

Internal Review Draft v1.0

|                | - Ci         | ease Cutlish, Drum, Shed Whele Bedy |              |           | 6.Ha      |              |             |               |                |           |              |              |
|----------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|
|                |              |                                     |              | Silin 1   |           | <b>.</b>     | Dewr        | istream (DDA) | Reference      | Upstr     | am (UDA) Ref | arence       |
|                | Anabash      | Martin                              | l in the     | Mandana   |           | rrequency of | Dewastream  | Dewastream    | 2 X Dewastream | Upstream  | Upstream     | 2 X Upstream |
|                | Volum 17 and | Mi Linkin                           |              |           | AVerage   | Detection    | Meximum     | Average       | Average        | Meximum   | Averace      | Average      |
| 76 Lipids      |              |                                     |              | <u> </u>  | 9.667     | 100.00%      | 14          | 6.667         | 13 334         | 10        | 9 222        |              |
| 218-01-9       | SVOCE        |                                     | ug/kg        | ND        | ND        | 0.00%        | ND          | ND            | NO             | NO        | 0.335        | 10 000       |
| 84-74-2        | SVOCE        | • •                                 | uo/ka        | ND        | ND        | 0.00%        | ND          | NO            | ND             |           | NU           | ND           |
| 117-84-0       | SVOC         |                                     | ugika        | ND        | ND        | 0.00%        | ND ND       |               |                | NU        | ND           | ND           |
| 53-70-3        | SVOCe        |                                     | uarka        | ND        | ND        | 0.00%        |             | NU NO         | ND             | ND        | ND           | ND           |
| 132-64-9       | SVOCa        |                                     | ug/ka        | ND        | ND        | 0.00%        | <u>NU</u>   | ND            | NO             | ND        | ND           | ND           |
| 84-88-2        | SVOC         |                                     | ua/ka        | ND        | ND        | 0.00%        | ND          | ND            | ND             | ND        | ND           | ND           |
| 131-11-3       | SVOCA        |                                     | Volta        | NO        | ND        | 0.00%        | ND          | ND            | ND             | 110       | 207          | 414          |
| 208.44.0       | SVOCA        |                                     | uofka        | ND        |           | 0.00%        | ND          | ND            | ND             | ND        | ND           | ND           |
| 86 79 7        | SVOC         |                                     | uefre        |           |           | 0.00%        | ND          | ND            | ND             | ND        | ND           | NO           |
| 118 74 1       | SVOC         |                                     | ualko        |           | <u>Ny</u> | 0.00%        | ND          | ND            | ND             | ND        | ND           | +            |
| 07.00.2        | 81000        | 1                                   |              | <u> </u>  | NU        | 0.00%        | ND          | ND            | ND             | ND        | ND           |              |
| 07-00-3        | SVOC-        |                                     | 49/10        | NU        | ND        | 0.00%        | ND          | ND            | ND             | ND        | ND           |              |
| 11-41-4        | 3700         |                                     | Ugykg        | NU        | ND        | 0.00%        | ND          | ND            | ND             | ND        | NO           |              |
| 67-72-1        | SVUCE        | •                                   | uo/ka        | ND .      | ND        | 0.00%        | ND          | ND            | ND             | ND        |              |              |
| 193-39-5       | SVOCE        |                                     | ua/ka        | ND        | ND        | 0.00%        | ND          | NO            | ND             |           | ND           | - <u>ND</u>  |
| 78-59-1        | SVOC         |                                     | ug/kg        | ND        | ND        | 0.00%        | ND ND       | ND            |                | <u>NU</u> | ND           | ND           |
| 621-64-7       | SAOC*        |                                     | ug/kg        | ND        | ND        | 0.00%        | ND          |               | NU             | ND        | ND           | ND           |
| 86-30-6        | SVOC         |                                     | vg/kg        | ND        | ND        | 0.00%        |             | ND            | NU             | ND        | ND           | ND           |
| 91-20-3        | SVOCs        |                                     | ug/ka        | ND        | ND        | 0.00%        | - <u>ND</u> | NU            | ND             | ND        | ND           | ND           |
| 98-95-3        | SVOCs        |                                     | va/ka        | ND        | ND        | 0.00%        | ND          | ND            | ND             | ND        | ND           | ND           |
| 87-86-5 (SVOC) | SVOCa        |                                     | μολιο        | ND        | ND        | 0.00%        | ND          | ND            | ND             | ND        | ND           | ND           |
| 85-01-8        | SVOC         | · · ·                               | uaka         | ND        |           | 0.00%        | ND          | ND            | ND             | ND        | ND           | ND           |
| 104.05.2       | SVOC         |                                     |              | ND        |           | 0.00%        | ND          | ND            | ND             | ND        | ND           | ND           |
| 175,00.0       | SVOCA        | STAL BASE THE STALL STALL           | <u>40/K0</u> | + <u></u> |           | 0.00%        | ND          | ND            | ND             | ND        | NO           |              |
|                | 0.000        |                                     |              |           |           | 0 00%        | ND          | ND            | ND             | T ND      | ND           | + 10 · · ·   |

#### Not should be an extension of the statement of the statem

.....

Site maximum concentration is less than the UDA and DDA maximum concentrations

Average concentration is greater than the maximum concentration

Sauget Area L: Repord of Decision

-

## Table 5-4

## Fish Tissue Analytical Data Comparison

## Species and Area

# Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 15 of 78 Page ID #4141

Internal Review Draft v1.

| 6.3 Fish Comparison - Species-by-Species and by Area<br>W G Kommerch Sa<br>Saliget, Manuel | Down Medical B |
|--|----------------|
| E .  | ⊢              |

| Analysis      | Compounds<br>% Linkts  | 2          | Ste Average | All Mark | Upernam Down | 4 United | Average | Drum Whole | Book   | F       | đ          | V bard Shad V | Pote Lot.    | ł        |              |            |             |              |
|---------------|--|------------|-------------|----------|--------------|----------|---------|------------|--------|---------|------------|---------------|--------------|----------|--------------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| Diaxin        | 1,2,3,4,6,7,6,9,0000   | 8          | 10          |          |              | =        | 20      | 13.00      | 10 m   | Line in | He Average | She Mar       | petram   Dow | nation 1 | B.           | A Mouth Bu | Malo Filler |              |
| Dioxin        | 1,2,3,4,6,7,6,0-000F   | 8          | 2           | 2<br>V   | 59           |          | 533     | 16.50      |        |         | 5          | 17            | 9            |          | PILE AVERAGE | Site Max   | Upetream [  | Ownstream    |
| Diarin        | 1,2,3,4,6,7,8 HpCDD  | Nog        | 37          | -        | 2            |          | 2       | 9          | 2      |         | 203        | 180           | 101          | 110      | 10           | 2          | •           | r.           |
| Noxh          | 1,2,3,4,6,7,6-HpCDF  | Per la     | 2           | 2        | 2            |          | 8       | 250<br>250 | 078    |         | 8          |               | QN           | 27       |              |            | 15          | к.<br>С      |
| Moxin         | 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCOF  | 8          | 2           | 2        | 2            |          | 2       | 9          | 2      |         | 194 0      | 11            | 8.8          |          | 0.00         | 2          | 0N          | NC ON        |
| <b>Distin</b> | 1,2,3,4,7,8+hc00   | g          | 0 2067      |          |              | 2        | £       | ę          |        |         | 0 3533     | -             | 0.59         |          | 19100        | 0.87       | •           | 0.71 8       |
| Moxin         | 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCOF  | 8          | 0 2343      | 3        | 2            |          | 2       | 9          |        | 2       | Ŷ          | Q             | 1<br>2       |          | 2            | Ŷ          | DZ          |              |
| LIXON         | 12.3.8 7 8 4 4 400   |            |             |          |              | 2        | Xo      | 100        | 200    |         | 60 0       | 010           | 60           |          | 2            | Q          | Gz          | - CZ         |
| Ackin         | 12367 8HMC0F   | l          | 0.00107     |          |              | 2        | 0.6167  | 20         |        | 2       | 0 475      | 10            | A R          |          | Ŷ            | Q          | - Cz        |              |
| North         | 1237864400   |            | A 6000      |          |              | 7        | 0.145   | 0 25       | 82     | Me o    | 0 70       | -             |              |          | £            | ç          | ç           |              |
| Xoxin         | 1237 A GHACDE  |            |             | 8        | 0.51         | 8/0      | 0 3033  | 12         | 6      | 9       | 0 06333    | 0 10          |              | 181      | 0 2367       | 0.28       | 0.80        |              |
| Anun A        | 12120-000  |            | 2           | 2        | 2            | ₽<br>₽   | 9       |            |        | 02      | 0.00       | 0 8.0         |              | 2        | Q            | Q          | - 572       | -            |
|               |  | ŝ          | 100         | 200      | 190          | 1        | 0.000   | 2          | 2      | ę       |            | 5             |              | 8        | 0 07333      |            |             |              |
|               |  | 8          | Ŷ           | ş        | 2            | 5        |         |            | Ŷ      | Ş       |            | Z             | o z          | Q.       | 5            |            | ç           | _۔<br>2      |
|               | 2,3,4,6,/,BH0CDF   | 8          | 0 1483      | 0 22     | 0.27         | 1        |         | Ŷ          | ₽      |         |            | 051           | -            | 0.24     | 0 1647       |            | 2           | ż            |
|               | 2.3.4.7 BPeCDF   | 8          | 0.5003      | 100      | 0.50         |          | 1161 0  | 0 24 1     | 92     |         | 6110       | 043           | QN           | C        |              | 2          | 0.58        | a es 👌       |
| Citor Citor   | 2374700  | 8          |             |          |              |          | 0.06167 | 10         | 4      | 2       | 0.28       | 048           | 6.0          |          | ₽            | 2          | 0Z          |              |
| LING.         | 213 ATChe  |            |             | 3        | 660          | 8        | 2       |            |        | Z       | 0 3833     | 0.66          |              |          | Ŷ            | g          |             |              |
| 4             | 1-2-1-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2  | 5          | 1000        | ~        | 0.35         | 53       | 0.87.87 |            | 2      | ç       | 190        |               | 9            | 0 21     | 0 2087       | 100        |             | ž            |
|               |  | 8          | 30          | 3        | 3-           |          |         | 2          | -      | 033     |            |               | -            | 10.34    | 0 1007       |            |             |              |
| UXO           | TOLA HOCDE   | <b>Pod</b> | 0 9017      | 1        | ;            |          | R,      | 380        | <br> - | 1       | 2          | \$2           | 26           | -        | 1000         |            | -           |              |
| U N           | Total HisCDO   | 8          | 1           | Ţ        |              |          | 1976    | 01 0       | 0      |         | 59         | 13.5          | 12.1         |          | 0.000        | 0.72       | 18          |              |
| Oxtn          | Total Hurche   |            |             |          | 87           | 10       | 1.933   | 200        |        | 85      | 6 733      |               |              | 0        | 0.755        |            | 1           |              |
| uro.          | 141 6-755  |            |             | 50       | 18.0         | - 22     | 10.07   | 2 2 10     | 2      | Ź       | 1 801      |               |              |          | 990          | a 0        |             |              |
| -             |  |            |             | 3.1      |              | 32       | 1 112   | 3          |        | 385     | 1          |               | 84           | Q.       | QN           |            | .,          |              |
|               |  | 500        | 31.57       | 9.9      | 1            | 0        |         | 8          | 22     | -       |            | 919           | 212          | 12.1     | 1 22         |            | 4.          | ż            |
| UXID          | Total TCDD   | 804        | 0.6633      | -        | 990          |          | ra.     | 8.6        | 101    |         |            | 34            | 3            | 26       |              | 0          | 411         |              |
| U XO          | Total TCDF   | ŝ          | 202         | ť.       | 10           |          | 7 402   | 280        | 0 75   | 19      | FE 78      | 124           | 125          | 24.7     |              |            |             | -            |
| THE REAL      | 2.4.5-7  | - Calver   | 403         |          |              |          | 53      | 79 30      | 1      |         | •          | 20            | 5            |          | CC+0         | 2          | 27.8        | -            |
| NCIONS I      | 2.4.5-7P (SMex)  |            | 101         |          | 2 9          | 2        | 22      | 13 00      |        | 2       | 88 27      | 187           | 14           |          |              |            | 11          |              |
| acidas        | 0.4 0  | Í          | 5           |          | 2            | 2        | 3       |            |        | Z       | Q          | Ş             | 5            |          | 18.3         | 1.12       | 1 2 2       |              |
|               | 1.20   | ŝ          | 2           | ç        | 9            | 9        | 1       |            | -      | 0.0     | 50         |               | 2            | ĝ        | 4.37         |            |             |              |
|               | 2,4-08   | 5          | Ŷ           | ş        | 9            | 5        |         | 2          | 9      | 2       |            |               | ç            | 39       | C Z          |            |             | ŝ            |
| 1900          | Datapon  | ş          | Z           | Ş        | 5            |          | 2       | £          | 9      | 19      | 2          | ę             | 2<br>2       | GN       |              |            | 2j          | ź            |
|               | Ocemba   | 5          | 2           | 2        | 2            | 2        | 2       | Ş          | 5      |         | Q          | ş             | QN           | C2       |              | QZ .       | Q           | 0N<br>N      |
| icides        | Dirtionno  | ľ          |             | 219      | 6            | Ŷ        | 2       | S          |        |         | ş          | Ŧ             | 1            |          | 2            | Q,         | Q           | ÎZ           |
| -             | T-sec  |            | 2           | ę        | 9            | ş        | 2       | 2          |        | g       | Q          | 5             |              | Ð.       | 9            | Q          | Z           |              |
|               |  |            | 2           | Q        | 2            | 2<br>2   |         |            | 2      | Ŷ       | 5          |               | 2            | Q2       | Q            | ig<br>ig   |             | 2            |
|               | L.Y.A.((4-Chipro-2-methylphenoxy)-   |            | 9           | ş        | 2            | 5        |         | Ð          | Ð      | P       |            |               | Z            | Q        | Q            | C Z        |             | ź            |
|               | acetic acid  | 5          |             |          |              | -        | 2       | 9          | 9      | 9       | 2          | ş             | ç            | Q        | G            |            | 2           | ź            |
| C DOM         | MCPP12-(4-chlore-2-  | Ĺ          | 4400        | -        | -            |          |         |            |        | 2       | ş          | Ŷ             | Q            | C2       |              | 2          | ç           | ĩ            |
| -             | methylohenery). Amoennic actil   | - Way      | 2           | 0000     | 2            | 2        | 2       | 5          | 1      |         |            |               |              | -        |              | Q          | QN          | CN N         |
| rites         | Participation of the second second   |            | 5           |          |              |          |         | 2          | 2      | ,<br>Z  | 900        | 3400          |              | -        |              |            |             |              |
| Re            | Construction of the local of th |            | 2           | g        | ş            | 2        | 2       | 5          | -      |         |            |               | 2            | Ş        | Q            | QN         | Ç           |              |
|               |  |            | Z           | £        | 9            | ş        | 2       | 2          | 2      | Ŷ       | Ŷ          | 2             |              |          |              |            |             | -            |
|               | Nationalan   | 5          | Ŷ           | ş        | £            | S        | 1       |            | 2      | 2       | Ş          |               | 2            | ON       | ç            | QZ         |             |              |
| 2             | Heplachtorobphany  |            | 9           | ş        | 9            | 5        | 2       | 2<br>2     | ç      | 1<br>2  | 9          |               | ₹            | 9        | QN           | ig<br>ig   |             | È.           |
| Be            | Hexachiorobipheny  | 9V05       | 9           | 9        | 5            |          | 2       | Ŷ          | Q      | G       |            | ì             | Ŷ            | ę        | ç            | ig<br>i    |             | Î            |
| 58            | Monochlombinhank   | ŝ          | 9           |          |              | ĩ        | Ŷ       | 9          | 5      |         | 2          | ş             | QN           | G        |              | 2          |             | GR GR        |
| R.            | Minashin Minashin  |            |             |          | 2            | £        | Ŷ       | 5          |        | 5       | Q          | Q             | N N          | -        |              | P          | ç           | Ĵ            |
|               |  | 1          | 2           | Z        | Ŷ            | 2        | 5       | 1          |        | £       | Ş          | Z             | 4            |          | Z            | 9          | Ç           | . UN         |
| 5             | COMPANY  | ŝ          | £           | Ŷ        | ç            | 9        | 5       |            | Ð      | g       | 2          | 5             |              | 2<br>Z   | ş            | Ç          | - UN        |              |
| 5             | Pentachlorobipheny   | 5          | Ŷ           | Ŷ        | 9            | 5        |         | 2          | £      | Q       |            |               | 2            | ç        | Q            | GN         |             |              |
| 2             | T eurochiorobiohenni   |            | 2           | 5        | 5            |          | 2       | ş          | Ş      | 5       |            | Z             | Q            | Q        | 2            |            | 2           | Ē            |
| 8             | Total Polychionnetert Purhanuts  | ŀ          | 9           |          |              | 2        | 2       | 2          | 5      |         | R          | q             | QN           | CZ       |              | 2          | i z         | 2            |
|               |  |            | 2           | Ð        | 2            | Ş        | 2       | 1          | 2      | 2       | Q          | ç             | 4            |          | P            | ç          | Ç           | . IN         |
| 8             | I nationologiamy   |            | g           | Ŷ        | 9            | 5        |         | 2          | Ŷ      | g       | S          |               |              | Q        | ç            | Cz         | CN 1        |              |
|               | 4.4-000  |            | 2           | 5        | 5            |          | 2       | 2          | 9      | 9       |            | 2             | 2            | 9        |              |            |             | Ī            |
|               | 201-11   |            |             |          |              | e<br>e   |         | 010        | 5      |         | 2          | ŝ             | Q            | ç        | +            |            | ź           | 22           |
|               |  |            | 5.00        | R        | Ŷ            | 2        |         |            |        | 7       | Q          | S             |              |          | ž            | ç          | CN<br>N     |              |
|               | 44-001   |            | 9           | ę        | 2            | 9        |         | 3          | R      | 2       | 0 /        |               |              | P        | ż            | ç          | CZ          |              |
|               | Aldrin   | avan       | 2           | 5        |              |          | 17      | 13.00      |        | 4       |            |               | 5            | 52       | a            | 0          |             | ÷            |
|               | airha fieit  |            | 5           |          | 2            | 2        | 2       | Ŷ          | 5      |         | Ð          | ĝ             | DN<br>N      | S        | +            |            |             | -            |
|               |  |            |             | 2        | 2            | 2        | 2       | 5          |        | 2       | ş          | ç             | 4            |          |              | P          | f f         | ż            |
|               |  | Ş          | 0           | 3.8      | 2            |          |         |            | g      | Ŷ       | 9.2        |               |              |          | Q            | Q          | 19          | - 14<br>- 14 |
|               | beta-BHC   | 10/10      | 2           | 2        | 9            | k        | 2       | 8          | £      | ĝ       | 2          | 2             |              | Ŷ        | 9            | S          | CZ          |              |
| Cides         |  | 3          | 2           | 5        |              |          | 2       | Ŷ          | 9      | 5       |            | 2             | 2            | Ŷ        | Ş            |            |             | 2            |
|               | District   |            | 40          |          |              | ₹        | 2       | Ş          | 5      |         | 2          | ę             | g            | Ş        |              |            |             | ž            |
|               |  |            |             |          | ž            | 2        | 24      | 2          |        | Z       | £          | ç             | G            |          | 2            | ₽          | ç           | Z            |
|               |  |            | 2           | 2        | 9            | 9        | 40      | 3          | R      | 8.8     | 11 0       |               |              | Ð        | Q            | Q          | Ş           | 1            |
|               | Endosultan II  | 5          | £           | g        | 9            |          |         | -          |        | Q       |            |               | -            | -        | g            | C Z        |             |              |
|               | Endoeuttan suitate   |            | 2           | 5        | 5            |          | 2       | 2          | 9      | 1<br>Z  |            | Ş             | Q            | Q        | Ş            | NO.        |             | -            |
| 18            | Endrin   | un Au      | 11          |          |              |          | 2       | 2          | 5      | 1       | 2          | Z             | g            | ç        | g            |            | -           | 20           |
|               | Entries addresses  |            |             |          |              |          | 10.8    | 2.50       | 1      |         | ¥          | ş             | Q            | 1        |              |            | Ş           | ŝ            |
|               |  |            | 0.01        | 9.4      | 2            | 2        |         |            | 2      | Ş       | Q          | 9             |              | -        | ž            | 2          | ç           | ι Ω<br>Ν     |
|               | Endin kalone   | 00/00      | ę           | 2        | 2            | 5        |         | 3          |        | 6.4     | 5          |               | 2            | Ş        | Ŷ            | QN         | Ş           | , it         |
|               | perme-BHC (Lindene)  | Ş          | 2           | 2        | 9            |          |         | 2          | 2      | Ş       | 2          |               | -            | 9        | Z            | S          | 1           |              |
|               | Gamma-Chiontana  |            | 0.47        |          |              |          | 2       | 2          | 5      |         | 2          | 2             | ą            | ¥        | C Z          |            |             | ź            |
| -             | Herterter  |            |             |          | 2            | 2        | =       |            |        | 2       | Z          | ç             | GN           | 5        |              |            | Z           | ŝ            |
|               |  |            | 5           | 2        | 2            | ۲<br>و   | Ş       | 1          | ,      | -       | ç          | 9             | 2            |          | 2            | Ŷ          | 12          | ŝ            |
|               | Pepthonics epoxicie  | 9          | 9.47        | 4.6      | 2            | k        |         | 2          | 2      | 2       | 5          |               |              | Z        | Ŷ            | Q          | 53          | NIL .        |
|               | Methoxychior   | 5          | 2           | 5        | 5            |          |         | 8          | 9      | 5       | 215        | 2             | Z            | ş        | Q            | 2          |             | ÷,           |
| Cicles        | (martene   |            | 5           |          | 2            | 2        | 2       | 9          | 5      | 2       | Z          | 2             | Q            | Ç        | 4            |            | 2           | ź            |
| k             | 1 2 2 T 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1  | ł          |             | 2        | 2            | 2        | 2       | 5          |        | Z       | Z          | Ŷ             | Ĩ            |          |              | Z          | ç           | ž            |
| 3             | 1,2,3-1 hchorobenzene  | 9465       | 2           | Ŷ        | 2            |          |         | 2          | 2      | Q       | 5          |               | 2            | Q.       | ₽            | 4          | C Z         |              |
| ğ             | 1.2.4 Trichiorobanzana   | in An      | 5           | 5        |              |          | 2       | 2          | 2      |         |            | Ş             | ş            | Ş        | 9            | -          |             | ż            |
| - 20          | 1 2-Diminimum  | 1          |             | 2        | 2            | 2        | 2       | 9          | 9      |         | 2          | ç             | ¥            | ç        | 9            | 2          | Z           | ž            |
|               |  |            | 3           | 017      | 2            | 2        | 2       | 1          | 2      | ł       | Q          | g             | 9            | 2        | 2            |            | Q           | ž            |
| -             | 1, 3, 5-1 richlorobenzene  | 00/00      | ş           | ş        | 9            | Ş        |         | 2          | Ŷ      | 2       | 6          |               |              | Ð        | Ş            | ç          |             | 13           |
|               |  |            |             |          |              |          |         | g          | ₽      | Ş       |            |               | 2            | Q        | Q            | iç<br>Z    | CIN I       |              |
|               |  |            |             |          |              |          |         |            |        |         |            |               | E C          | Ŷ        | Q            | íQ<br>IQ   | Cz          |              |
|               |  |            |             |          |              |          |         |            |        |         |            |               |              |          | +<br>        |            | 2           | - 24         |

# Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 16 of 78 Page ID #4142

~\_\_\_\_

Internal Revew Draft v1 o

\_\_\_\_

| and by Area   |  |
|---|--|
| iah Comperison - Species-by-Species a<br>W.G. Kummer, Sta<br>Sauget, Minoja |  |
| Table & 3. F  |  |

|  | bysis Compou   | 1                                     | -             | Sta Aurora |   |   | -  |             | One with |   |    |              | ĺ         |            |            |             |             |               |             |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|---------------|------------|---|---|----|-------------|----------|---|----|--------------|-----------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
|  | Ca 1.3-Dichlarot   | -                                     | <b>Divon</b>  | Ŷ          | 2 |   |    | America and | The Max  |   |    | ð            | bend Shed | Whole Body | ſ          |             |             |               |             |
|  |  | S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S | 3             | 2          | 9 | 9 |    | 215         | £        | 2 | 2  | BILL AVECTOR | 199       | Ipetram    | Downstream | She Average | Sin Mouth B | uffaio Fillet |             |
|  |  |                                       |               | 2          | 9 | 2 | 12 |             | 2        | 9 | 2  |              | 2         | ç          | 9          | 2           | 2           | Uperream      | Downstrea   |
|  |  |                                       | ş             |            |   |   | ?  | 2           | 9        | 9 | 2  |              | 8         | Q          | Ŷ          | S S         |             | 2             | ŝ           |
|  |  | Otherol                               | Nov           | 2          | 9 | 5 | ŀ  |             |          |   | 2  | £            | 2         | £          | 5          |             | Z           | Ŷ             | ç           |
|  |  | ophenol                               |               | 2          | 2 | 2 |    | 2           | 9        | 1 |    |              |           |            | 2          | 2           | 2           | g             | g           |
|  | CI 2.3.6.740404  |                                       | 3             | 2          | 5 |   | 2  | 2           | 9        |   | 2  | 2            | 9         | ç          | 9          |             |             | _             |             |
|  | Ca 2,4,5-Trichlor  | otheno                                | 9             | 5          |   |   | 2  | 2           |          |   | 2  | 2            | 5         | 5          |            | 2           | ş           | Ş             |             |
|  | Ca 2.4.6 Trideline   | Sotrano!                              | ł             |            |   | 2 | 2  | 2           |          | 2 | 2  | 2            |           |            | 2          | £           | Q           | S             |             |
|  | Ca 2.105500  |                                       |               |            | 2 | 2 | 2  | 5           |          | 2 | 2  | 5            |           |            | Z          | 2           | G I         |               | ž           |
|  | 20 71/10/10  |                                       |               |            | 8 | 9 | 2  |             | 2        | 2 | 2  |              | 2         | 2          | 2          | Ş           |             |               | Î           |
|  |  |                                       |               | 2          | 2 | 2 | 5  |             | 2        | 2 | 5  |              | Z         | ę          | 2          | 5           |             | 2             | Ş           |
|  |  |                                       | 5             | 2          | 2 | 5 |    | 2           | g        | 2 |    |              | 2         | ş          | 5          |             | P           | g             | Ş           |
|  |  | 5                                     |               | £          | 2 | 5 |    | 2           | ş        |   |    | g            | ş         | Q          | 9          |             | P           | ĝ             | Q           |
|  |  |                                       | ş             | Ş          | 5 |   |    | Ŷ           | ç        |   | 2  | £            | 2         | S          |            | ₽           | Ş           | QN            | 19          |
|  | Choronal 2-Choronal  |                                       | ł             |            |   | 2 | 2  | 2           |          | 2 | ş  | Ş            | 5         |            | 2          | Ż           | 9           | 4             |             |
|  | 2-Chever   |                                       |               |            | 2 | 2 | 2  | ß           |          | 2 | 2  | S            |           | 2          | ę          | Ş           | 9           |               | Ê,          |
|  |  |                                       |               | 2          | 2 | 2 |    |             | 2        | 9 | 5  |              | 2         | ş          | ¥          | 5           |             | P             | ç           |
|  |  |                                       | 9             | 2          | 2 | 2 |    | 2           | £        | 9 |    | 2            | 2         | 2          | 5          |             | Ę           | Ŷ             | Î           |
|  |  |                                       | ş             | 2          | 1 |   |    | 2           | Ş        |   | 2  | g            | Ż         | Ş          |            | 2           | 2           | Q             | CIN .       |
|  | A 2-MINORN   | 3                                     | 3             | S          |   |   | 3  | 218         | - WWW    |   | ₽  | ş            | Ş         |            |            | ę           | Q           | N.            |             |
|  | 15 mm  |                                       | 1             |            | 2 | 2 | 9  | 19          |          | 2 | 8  | 112          |           |            | Z          | Ð           | GN          |               | ŝ.          |
|  | 1  |                                       | ſ             | 2          | 2 | 2 | 9  |             |          | 2 | Ŷ  | 5            |           | 2          | 8          | Ş           |             |               | ĝ           |
|  |  |                                       | 5             | 2          | 2 | 9 |    |             | Ŷ        | 2 |    |              | 2         | £          | 9          | 2           |             | 2)<br>2)      | Ŷ           |
|  |  | Outro                                 | 0491          | £          | 9 | 5 |    | 2           | Ŷ        | 9 |    | 2            | ş         | ş          | 5          |             | Ð           | Ş             | Z           |
|  | Throughlower -   |                                       | 3             | 2          | 5 |   | 2  | 2           | 2        |   | 2  | 2            | Ŷ         | 9          | 5          | 2           | Ŷ           | Q             | Z           |
|  | (mkp-Cn  | 3                                     |               | ?          | ? | 2 | 2  | 2           | 5        |   | £  | Ŷ            | 2         | 1          |            | Ę           | 97          | Ş             | 2           |
|  | All Manual   |                                       |               |            |   |   |    |             | 2        | 2 | Q2 | Ş            |           |            | ¥          | ç           | 2           |               | 2           |
|  |  |                                       | 5             | £          | Ŷ | ç | 2  | 5           |          |   |    | 2            | 2         | 9          | 2          | Ş           |             | 2             | Ş           |
|  |  |                                       | 8             | ş          | ş | 2 |    | 2           | Ŷ        | 2 | 1  |              | -         |            |            | ?           | 2           | ç             | Q           |
|  | avrengementer +  | Terry errer                           | 3             | 2          | 5 |   |    | 2           | 9        |   | 2  | ŧ            | Ŷ         | ç          | 9          |             | j           |               |             |
|  | 10000-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-0   | Mohanol                               | k             | 1          |   |   | 2  | 2           | 5        |   | 2  | Ŷ            | 5         |            |            | £           | Q           | 1<br>Î        | ND          |
|  | 4 Chinese  |                                       |               |            | 2 | 2 | 2  | 2           |          | 2 | 2  | 5            |           |            | Z          | 2           | G           |               |             |
|  |  |                                       | ſ             | 2          | ç | Ş | 5  |             | 2        | 2 | 2  |              | 2         | P          | 9          | 2           | 5           |               | ž           |
|  |  |                                       | 5             | £          | 2 | 2 |    |             | 2j       | 9 |    | 2            | 2         | 2          | 2          | 5           |             | 2             | Î           |
|  | 4-Minden   | 2                                     | 9             | 5          | 5 |   |    | 2           | 9        | 5 |    | Ŷ            | 2         | ç          | 5          |             | Z           | Ş             | Q           |
|  | 4 Million  |                                       | ļ             |            |   | 2 | 2  | 2           | 5        |   | Ŷ  | ş            | 5         |            |            | 2           | ş           | ç             | 22          |
|  | Accepto  |                                       |               |            | 2 | 2 | 2  | 5           |          | 2 | ş  | 5            |           |            | 2          | £           | Q           | 2             |             |
|  |  |                                       | ŝ             | 2          | 2 | 2 | 5  |             | 2        | ş | 2  |              | 2         | Ŷ          | ş          | Ş           |             |               | ŝ           |
|  |  |                                       | 5             | 2          | Ş |   |    | 2           | £        | 1 |    | 2            | Ŷ         | ç          | 9          |             |             | P             | ŷ           |
|  | 4 Anthrack   | Ę                                     | 9             | 5          | 5 |   | 2  | 2           | 2        |   |    | 2            | 2         | ç          | 5          |             | ¥           | ç             | Î           |
|  | a Denzo(a)anti   | Ciefo<br>Ciefo                        | 3             |            |   | 2 | 2  | 9           | 5        |   | 2  | 2            | 9         | 5          | 2          | 2           | £           | Q             | NIN.        |
|  | and a local data in the second as the second |                                       | ŀ             |            | 2 | 2 | 2  | 9           | 1        |   | 2  | 2            | s         |            |            | 2           | ç           | ç             |             |
|  | Control of the second se  |                                       |               | 2          | 2 | Ŷ | 2  |             |          | 2 | Ŷ  | 5            |           |            | 2          | £           | g           | CZ            |             |
|  |  |                                       | Ş             | 2          | 2 | 2 | 9  |             | 2        | 2 | 2  |              | 2         | Z          | ç          | 2           | 9           |               | Ê,          |
|  | di Vi bicana   |                                       | Ŋ             | 2          | ç |   |    | 2           | 2        | 9 |    | 2            | Ŷ         | ş          | 9          | 2           |             | Z             | ŝ           |
|  | Benzo(k)Muor.  | anthene a                             | 3             | 5          |   |   | 2  | 9           | ç        |   | 2  | 9            | £         | 2          | 5          |             | Z           | £             | ŝ           |
|  |  | Vinethana                             | ł             |            | 2 | 2 | 2  | 2           |          |   | £  | 2            | ç         | 5          |            | £           | 2           | GN            | <u>n</u>    |
|  |  |                                       |               | 2          | Z | 2 | 2  | 5           |          | 2 | 2  | 5            |           |            | 2          | £           | ĝ           | UN N          | ç           |
|  |  |                                       |               | 9          | 2 | ę | 9  | 2           | 2        | 2 | 2  | 1            |           | Ę          | 2          | Ş           | 5           |               | ;<br>9<br>9 |
|  | AND A CERTAINARY   |                                       | 5             | ş          | 2 | 9 |    |             | 2        | 2 |    | 2            | £         | ş          | Ş          | 5           |             |               | ç           |
|  | a Buntherzytor   |                                       | in the second |            |   |   | 2  | ç           | 2        | 1 | 2  | g            | ş         | 9          | 5          |             | P           | Q             | Q           |
|  | Carbon Carbon  |                                       |               |            | 2 | Z | 2  | 9           |          | 2 | 2  | 2            | 9         |            |            | 2           | ç           | Q             | Í           |
|  |  |                                       | Ş             | 2          | 2 | 2 | 2  | 1           |          | 2 | 2  | 5            |           |            | Ŷ          | 2           | Ŷ           | C.            |             |
|  |  |                                       | 10/00         | £          | 2 | 2 |    |             | 2        | 9 | 12 |              | 2         | ş          | Ŷ          | CZ.         |             |               | ž           |
|  | And  | į                                     | 9             | 2          |   |   |    | 2           | 9        | 5 |    | 2            | £         | ę          | Ş          | 5           |             |               | ĝ           |
|  | Characteria  | 1                                     | ł             |            |   |   | 2  | 2           | 5        | 1 | 2  | £            | 9         | ş          | 9          |             | Ì           | ç             | ç           |
|  |  |                                       |               | 2          | 2 | 2 | 2  | 5           |          | 2 | 2  | 9            | 5         |            | 2          | 2           | Ş           | CZ            | QN          |
|  |  |                                       | 5             | 2          | Ŷ | 2 | 5  |             |          | 2 | 2  |              |           |            | Ŷ          | 2           | Ş           | C Z           | -           |
|  | NORMON   | 5                                     | Ş             | 9          | 5 |   |    | 2           | 2        | 2 | 1  | 2            | 2         | £          | Ŷ          | 5           |             |               | E .         |
|  | A Detroit  |                                       |               | 5          |   |   | 2  | 2           | ç        |   |    | 2            | 9         | 9          | S          |             |             | Z             | ŝ           |
|  |  |                                       |               |            |   | 2 | 2  | 9           | ľ        |   | 2  | 2            | 5         | 5          |            | 2           | Q           | ç             | ç           |
|  |  |                                       |               | 2          | 2 | 2 | 2  | 5           | 1        |   | 2  | 5            |           |            | 2          | 2           | 2           | Ş             |             |
|  |  |                                       | Ş             | 9          | 9 |   |    | 2           | 2        | 2 |    |              | 2         | P          | £          | S           | 2           |               | -           |
|  | Flum   |                                       | 1             | 5          |   |   |    | 2           | 2        | F |    | 2            | ç         | 9          | Ş          | 5           |             | 2             | gi<br>z     |
|  | A Have been a  |                                       |               | 2          | 2 | 2 | 2  | 2           |          |   | Z  | 9            | 5         | 4          |            | 2           | 2           | ç             | Ş           |
|  |  |                                       |               | 2          | g | Ż | 2  |             |          | 2 | Ş  | 5            |           |            | 2          | 2           | g           | Ş             | ; <u></u>   |
|  | TOOLOUN PROVIDENT  |                                       |               | £          | 2 | 2 |    |             | 2        | 2 | Ş  |              | 2         | Ş          | £          | £           | Ş           |               |             |
|  | HINAGEDROCKOLO   | Cartadiana                            | 3             | 2          | 5 |   |    | 2           | £        | 9 | 9  | 2            | 2         | Ş          | Ş          | S           | 4           |               |             |
|  | 1 Hautechinene   |                                       | H             |            |   |   | 2  | 2           | 5        |   | Z  | ş            | 9         | 9          | 9          |             | 2           | 2             | ç           |
|  | a state of the sta |                                       |               | 2          | 2 | Ŷ | 2  | 9           |          | 2 | Ŷ  | 9            | 5         |            |            | Ż           | Ŷ           | Q             | Z           |
|  |  |                                       | Ş             | 2          | 2 | 2 | 5  |             | 2        | 2 | 9  | 5            |           | 2          | £          | 2           | Q           | S             | 3           |
|  | Voutos   | 2                                     | Ş             | ş          | ç | 2 |    |             | 2        | 2 | S  |              | 2         | Ŷ          | ¥          | 2           | C 2         |               |             |
|  | S  | Conternan                             |               | 5          |   |   |    | 2           | ç        | 5 |    | 2            | 2         | ĝ          | 9          |             |             | <br>          | Î           |
|  | A Party and a party of the part |                                       | H             |            |   | 2 | 2  | 9           | 5        |   | 2  | ş            | 2         | ş          | 5          |             | 2           | Ş             | ç           |
|  |  |                                       | ļ             | 2          | 2 | 2 | 2  |             |          | 2 | £  | 5            |           |            |            | Ş           | Ş           | Q             | Ş           |
|  |  | 2                                     | Ş             | 9          | ç |   |    |             | 2        | 9 | 5  |              | 2         | 2          | £          | 5           | 4           |               |             |
|  | Nerotenes .  |                                       | -             |            |   | 2 | 2  | 2           | 5        |   | 2  | ¥            | 2         | 5          | 5          |             | 2           | 2             | ç           |
|  |  |                                       |               | 2          | 2 | 2 | 2  | 5           |          | 2 | 2  | 2            | 5         |            |            | ¥           | g           | g             | ç           |
|  |  | 2                                     | Ş             | 9          | 9 | 5 |    |             | 2        | 2 | 12 |              | Z         | Ŷ          | ç          | 2           | 2           | 1             |             |
|  |  |                                       | ş             | 5          |   | 2 | 2  | 2           | 5        | 1 |    | 2            | Ŷ         | ç          | 5          |             | 5           | 2             | Î           |
|  |  |                                       |               | 2          | 2 | 2 | 2  | 5           |          | 2 | £  | 2            | 5         |            |            | 2           | ç           | Q             | Z           |
|  |  |                                       | 5             | 2          | 2 |   |    |             | 2        | 2 | 2  |              | 2         | P          | £          | Ş           | 5           |               |             |
|  |  |                                       |               |            |   |   |    | 2           | Ş        |   |    | 2            | ş         | 2          | 2          |             |             |               | 2<br>j      |

Sauget Area ..: Record of Decision

-

- -

### Table 5-5

## Surface Water and Sediment Toxicity

### Data Summary

# Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 18 of 78 Page ID #4144

Version: 5/28/01

#### Table 8-5

Internal Review Draft v1.0

### Toxicity Test Summary WGK Plant Ecological Risk Assessment

|               |                        | SEDIM                   | ENT                            |                               |                      |                                   | SURFAC               | E WATER <sup>2</sup> |                        |                            |
|---------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| STATION       | Amphipod 2<br>Sediment | 8-d Chronic<br>Bioassay | Fathead M<br>Chronic S<br>Bioa | innow 7-d<br>Sediment<br>ssay | Fathead I            | Minnow Surfa<br>Bio <b>assa</b> y | ce Water             | Ceriodaph            | nia Surface W          | ater Bioassay              |
|               | Survival               | Growth                  | Survival                       | Growth                        | Acute 2d<br>Survival | Chronic 7d<br>Survival            | Chronic 7d<br>Growth | Acute 2d<br>Survival | Chronic 7d<br>Survival | Chronic 7d<br>Reproduction |
| UDA-11        | No                     | No                      | No                             | No                            | No                   | No                                | No                   | No                   | No                     | No                         |
| <b>UDA-12</b> | No                     | No                      | No                             | No                            | No                   | No                                | No                   | No                   | No                     | No                         |
| PDA-8         | No                     | No                      | No                             | No                            | No                   | No                                | No                   | No                   | No                     | Yes                        |
| PDA-8 FD      |                        |                         |                                |                               | No                   | No                                | No                   | No                   | No                     | No                         |
| PDA-9         | No                     | No                      | Yes                            | Yes*                          | No                   | No                                | No                   | No                   | No                     | Yes                        |
| PDA-10        | No                     | No                      | No                             | No                            | No                   | No                                | No                   | No                   | No                     | No                         |
| PDA-5         | Yes                    | Yes*                    | Yes <sup>3</sup>               | Yes*                          | No                   | No                                | No                   | No                   | No                     | Yes                        |
| PDA-6         | No                     | No                      | No                             | No                            | No                   | No                                | No                   | No                   | No                     | No                         |
| PDA-7         | No No                  | No                      | No                             | No                            | No                   | No                                | No                   | No                   | No                     | No                         |
| PDA-2         | No No                  | No                      | No                             | No                            | No                   | No                                | No                   | Yes <sup>3</sup>     | Yes <sup>3</sup>       | Yes*                       |
| PDA-2 FD      |                        |                         |                                |                               | No                   | No                                | No                   | Yes <sup>3</sup>     | Yes <sup>3</sup>       | Yes*                       |
| PDA-3         | 3 No                   | No                      | Yes                            | Yes*                          | No                   | No                                | No                   | Yes                  | Yes                    | Yes*                       |
| PDA-3 FD      | ) No                   | No                      | Yes                            | Yes*                          |                      |                                   |                      |                      |                        |                            |
| PDA-4         | 1 No                   | No                      | No                             | No                            | No                   | No                                | No                   | Yes                  | Yes                    | Yes*                       |
| DDA-1         | 3 No                   | No                      | No                             | No                            | Yes                  | Yes                               | Yes*                 | No                   | No                     | No                         |
| DDA-          | 1 No                   | No                      | No                             | No                            | No                   | Yes                               | Yes*                 | No                   | No                     | No                         |

"'Yes" indicates a statistically significant reduction in the organism response when compared to the control group

<sup>2</sup>"Yes" indicates a statistically significant reduction in the organism response when compared to one or more of the control groups

<sup>3</sup>0% survival in this sample

\*Samples with effects on survival were excluded from statistical analysis of the more sensitive endpoint (growth or reproduction); it is assumed that the more sensitive endpoint is affected if survival is affected.

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 19 of 78 Page ID #4145

Sauget Arsa 1: Record of Decision

- -

.....

\_\_\_\_

## Table 5-6

### Summary of Benthic Invertebrate

### Community Data

# Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 20 of 78 Page ID #4146

3 **)** 

| May 25 2001   | Table 8-7、Summary of Benthic Inverte<br>W.G. Krummrich Plant Ecological<br>Sauget, Illinois | brate Community Data<br>Risk Assessment   | Internal Review Draft v1 0              |
|---|---|---|---|
| <50' from shore, Upstream Reference, Sandy Sediment | UDA-11 A  | UDA-11 B                                  | UDA-11 C                                |
| # Organisms   | 0   | 8   | 7                                       |
| # Taxa  | 0   | 1   | 2                                       |
| Dominant Taxa                                       | NA  | Chironomidae (Paratendipes basidens)      | Chironomidae (Paratendipes basideus)    |
| 2nd Dominant Taxa                                   | NA  | NA  | Pelecypoda (Pisidium sp.)               |
| 30' from shore, Upstream Reference, Soft Sediment   | UDA-12 A  | 4 UDA-12 B                                | UDA-12 C                                |
| # Organisms   | 4 1   | 0   | 7                                       |
| # Taxa  | 3   | 0   | 3                                       |
| Dominant Taxa                                       | Ephemeroptera (Hexagenia limbata)   | NA  | Chironomidae (Cryptochiropomus fulvus)  |
| 2nd Dominant Taxa                                   | Chironomidae  | NA  | Oligochaeta (Limnodrilus claparedianus) |
| 50' from Shore, Soft Sediment                       | PDA-2 A   | PDA-2 B                                   |   |
| # Organisms   | 1   | 0   | 6                                       |
| # Taxa  | 1   | 0   | 2                                       |
| Dominant Taxa                                       | Chironomidae  | NA  | Trichontera (Potamyja flava)            |
| 2nd Dominant Taxa                                   | NA  | NA  | Chironomidae (Cryptochironomus fulvus)  |
| 300' from Shore, Sandy Sediment                     | PDA-7 A   | PDA-7 B                                   | 2DA-7 C                                 |
| # Organisms   | 2   | 0   | 1                                       |
| # Taxa  | 2   | ů.  | . 1                                     |
|   | Chironomidae (Chernovskija  | U   | ,                                       |
| Dominant Taxa                                       | sp./Paratendipes basidens)  | NA  | Chironomidae (Paratendines basidens)    |
| 2nd Dominant Taxa                                   | NA  | NA  | NA                                      |
| 50' from Shore Soft Sediment                        | PDA-8 A   | PDA-P B                                   | PDA-8 C                                 |
| # Organisms   | 1   | 2   | 0                                       |
| # Taya  | 1   | 2   | 0                                       |
| Dominant Taxa                                       | Pelecynoda (Pisidium sn.)   | Chironomidae/Pelecynoda                   | NA                                      |
| 2nd Dominant Taxa                                   | NA  | NA NA                                     | NA                                      |
| CEL from obere Downotroom Reference, Soft Sediment  |   |   |   |
| 65 from shore, Downstream Reference, Son Sediment   | 500A-1 A  | DDA-T B                                   | DDATC                                   |
| # Organishis  | 02  | 54  | 52                                      |
| # Taxa  | Oliopobasta (Limpadrikus stanosti   |   |   |
|   | Chironomidae (Chironomus deserve  | s) Oligochaeta (Limnodrilus ciaparedianus | Oliseebeete (Limzedelue elevereducuu    |
|   | Chirohomidae (Chirohomus decorus  | Chironomidae (Chironomus decorus)         | Oligochaeta (Elimiodhius ciapareolanos  |
| Downstream Reference, Sandy Sediment                | DDA-13 A  | DDA-13 B                                  | DDA-13 C                                |
| # Organisms   | 1   | 7   | 10                                      |
| # Taxa  | 1   | 2   | 2                                       |
| Dominant Taxa                                       | Chironomidae (Chernovskija sn.)   | Chironomidae (Paratendines basidens       | ) Chironomidae (Paratendipes basidens   |
| 2nd Dominant Taxa                                   | NA  | Trichontera (Potamvia flava)              | Pelecypoda (Pisidium sp.)               |

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 21 of 78 Page ID #4147

-----

Sauget Area 1: Report 1: Decision

•

### Table 5-7

### EPA Sediment Sampling Data

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 22 of 78 Page ID #4148

#### EPA Sediment Data Summary Constituent Concentrations at All Sampling Stations with Detected Concentrations

.

|                           |            |       | San        | pling  | Stati        | on            |                |              |
|---------------------------|------------|-------|------------|--------|--------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|
| Constituent               | PDA        | MR-SD | MR-S       | SD PDA | MR-S         | DMR-S         | DM R-SD        | MR-SD        |
| Concentration, (          | (ppb) 2-60 | 2-150 | <u>4-9</u> | 0 5R-6 | <u>0 5-7</u> | <u>5 5-15</u> | <u>0 5-315</u> | <u>7-150</u> |
|                           |            |       |            |        |              |               |                |              |
| Benzene                   | ND         | 55    | 4          | .2 ND  | 45           | 58            | 260            | 36           |
| Chlorobenzene             | 10,000     | 390   | 100        | 450    | 1,800        | 6,700         | 3,100          | 1600         |
| 1,2-Dichloroeth           | ane ND     | ND    | ND         | 110    | ND           | ND            | ND             | ND           |
| Ethylbenzene              | ND         | ND    | 2          | ND     | ND           | ND            | ND             | ND           |
| Toluene                   | 12,000     | ND    | ND         | 140    | ND           | ND            | ND             | ND           |
| Xylenes                   | ND         | ND    | 2.         | .6 120 | ND           | ND            | ND             | ND           |
|                           |            |       |            |        |              |               |                |              |
| Aniline                   | 210        | ND    | ND         | 3,900  | 2,400        | 3,400         | ND             | ND           |
| 4-Chloroaniline           | 720        | 99    | ND         | 3,300  | 3,000        | 6,400         | ND             | 58           |
|                           |            |       |            |        |              |               |                |              |
| 1,4-Dichloroben           | zene390    | ND    | ND         | ND     | 300          | 1,700         | ND             | ND           |
| DI:                       |            | ND    | 1100       | 200    | ND           |               | ND             | ND           |
| Phenol                    | ND         | ND    | NLB        | ,200   |              | ND            | ND             | ND           |
| 2-Chlorophenol            | ND         | ND    | ND         | 400    | ND           | ND            | ND             | ND           |
| 2,4-Dichlorophe           | nol ND     | ND    | ND         | 610    | ND           | ND            | ND             | ND           |
| 2. Mathematical and a #** | 0.5        | ND    | ND         |        | ND           | ND            | ND             | ND           |
| 3-Metnyipnenoi            | 95         | NU    | ND         | ND     | IN L         | ND            | IN L           | ND           |
| DCBs                      | ND         | ND    | ND         | ND     | תא           | 120           | 38             | 20           |
| rCDS                      | IND.       | ND    | ND         | ND     | IVD.         | 120           | 50             | 20           |
| TOC                       | 11.000     | ND    | ND         | 390    | 200          | 7.400         | ND             | ND           |
|                           | , 000      |       |            |        | 200          | .,            |                |              |

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 23 of 78 Page ID #4149

#### TABLE 1

-----

### VALIDATED ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR SOLUTIA INC. SPLIT SAMPLES

| Sample Identification                        | PDA-2-60         | PDA-5-R-60        | PDA-8-60           |
|--|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Date Collected                               | October 25, 200  | 0 October 24, 200 | 0 October 26, 2000 |
| Velatile Organic Compounds (micrograms per l | ilogram [µg/kg]) | -                 |                    |
| Acetone                                      | 5,800 U          | 3,300U            | 1,400 U            |
| Benzene                                      | 1,100 U          | 260 U             | 3.40 U             |
| Chlorobenzene                                | 10,000           | 450               | 700                |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane                           | 1,100 U          | 110 J             | 41 J               |
| Methylene chloride                           | 1,100 U          | 260 U             | 340 U              |
| Toluene                                      | 12,000           | 140 J             | 340 U              |
| Xylenes (total)                              | 1,100 U          | 120 J             | 340 U              |
| Semivolatile Organic Compounds (µg/kg)       |                  |                   |                    |
| Aniline                                      | 210 J            | 3,900 J           | 410 U              |
| 4-Chloroaniline                              | 720              | 3,300             | 410 U              |
| 2-Chlorophenol                               | 580 U            | 400 J             | 410 U              |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene                          | 120 J            | 780 U             | 410 U              |
| ,4-Dichlorobenzene                           | 390 J            | 780 U             | 410 U              |
| ,4-Dichlorophenol                            | 580 U            | 610 J             | 410 U              |
| -Methylphenol                                | 95 J             | 780 U             | 410 U              |
| henol  | 580 U            | 3,200 J           | 410 U              |
| 4,6-Trichlorophenol                          | 580 U            | 780 U             | 410 U              |
| 6-Dichlorophenol                             | 580 U            | 780 U             | 410 U              |
| rganochlorine Pesticides (µg/kg)             |                  |                   |                    |
| ldrin  | 6.0 U            | 4.0 U             | 2.1 U              |
| pha-BHC                                      | 6.0 U            | 4.0 U             | 2.1 U              |
| ta-BHC                                       | 6.0 U            | 4.0 U             | 2.1 U              |
| Ita-BHC                                      | 6.0 U            | 44 J              | 5.1 J              |
| mma-BHC (lindane)                            | 6.0 U            | 4.0 U             | 2.1 U              |
| lordane (technical)                          | 60 U             | 40 U              | 21 U               |
| lorobenzilate                                | 120 U            | 21 J              | 41 U               |
| -DDD   | 6.0 U            | 14                | 2.1 U              |
| -DDE   | 6.0 U            | 4.0 U             | 2.1 U              |
| -DDT   | 6.0 U            | 4.0 U             | 2.1 U              |
| illate                                       | 120 U            | 78 U              | 41 U               |
| ldrin  | 6.0 U            | 4.0 U             | 2.1 U              |
|  |                  | · · · · ·         |                    |

1-9

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 24 of 78 Page ID #4150

#### TABLE 1 (continued)

#### Sample Identification PDA-8-60 PDA-5-R-60 PDA-2-60 October 26, 2000 October 24, 2000 Date Collected October 25, 2000 · . . Organochiorine Pesticides (ng/kg) (Continued) 4.0 U 2.1 U Endosulfan I 6.0 U 2.1 U Endosulfan II 6.0 U 4.0 U Endosulfan sulfate 6.0 U 4.0 U 2.1 U 2.1 U 6.0 U 4.0 U Endrin 4.0 U 2.1 U Endrin aldehyde 6.0 U 2.1 U 6.0 U 4.0 U Heptachlor Heptachlor epoxide 6.0 U 4.0 U 2.1 U 4.1 U 12 U 7.8 U Isodrin 78 U 41 U 120 U Kepone 4.1 U 12 U 7.8 U Methoxychlor -230 U 160 U 83 U Toxaphene Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB) (ug/kg) 39 U 41 U Aroclor 1016 58 U 39 U 41 U Aroclor 1221 58 U Aroclor 1232 58 U 39 U 41 U Aroclor 1242 58 U 39 U 41 U Aroclor 1248 58 U 84 J 41 U Aroclor 1254 58 U 39 U 41 U Aroclor 1260 58 U 39 U 41 U Herbicides (µg/kg) 2,4-D 140 U 99 U 790 2,4,5-TP (Silvex) 35 U 25 U 24 U 2,4,5-T 35 U 24 U 25 U Organophosphorus Pesticides (µg/kg) Dimethoate 1,200 U 39 U 41 U Disulfoton 1,200 U 39 U 41 U Famphur 1.200 U 39 U 41 U Methyl parathion 1.200 U 39 U 41 U Phorate 1,200 U 39 U 41 U Tetraethyldithiopyrophosphate 1,200 U 39 U 41 U Thionazin 1,200 U 39 U 41 U 0,0,0-Triethylphosphorothioate 1,200 U 39 U 41 U

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 25 of 78 Page ID #4151

#### TABLE 1 (continued)

### VALIDATED ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR SOLUTIA INC. SPLIT SAMPLES

| Sample Identification                      | PDA-2-60         | PDA-5-R-60       | PDA-8-60         |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Date Collected                             | October 25, 2000 | October 24, 2000 | October 26, 2000 |
| General Chemistry (milligram per kilogram) |                  |                  |                  |
| Total organic carbon                       | 11,000           |                  | 510              |

Notes:

| J = The result was estimated for quality | control reasons. |
|--|------------------|
|--|------------------|

U = The analyte was not detected; the numerical value is the sample reporting limit.

UJ = The analyte was not detected; the sample reporting limit is estimated for quality control reasons.

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 26 of 78 Page ID #4152

#### TABLE 2

#### Sample Identification MR-SD-1-50 MR-SD-1-150 MR-SD-1-300 MR-SD-2-50 MR-SD-2-150 No. .... Ser 1, 2000 Date Collected Volatile Organic Compounds (micrograms per kilogram [µg/kg]) 22 U 26 U 24 U 1,300 U 22 U Acetone 6.4 U 5.9 U 5.5 U 5.4 U 55 J Benzene 5.5 U 5.4 U 6.4 U 6.5 390 Chlorobenzene 5.9 U 300 U Chloroform 5.5 U 5.4 U 6.4 U 6.4 U 5.9 U 300 U Ethylbenzene 5.5 U 5.4 U 5.5 U Methylene chloride 5.4 U 6.4 U 5.9 U 300 U 5.4 U 5.9 U Xylenes (total) 5.5 U 6.4 U 300 U Semivolatile Organic Compounds (ug/kg) 400 U 400 U 400 U 390 U 390 U Aniline bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate 390 U 400 U 400 U 400 U 390 U 4-Chloroaniline 400 U 390 U 390 U 400 U 99 J 400 U 400 U 1.2-Dichlorobenzene 400 U 390 U 390 U 400 U 400 U 390 U 1.3-Dichlorobenzene 400 U 390 U 390 U 400 U 400 U 1,4-Dichlorobenzene 400 U 390 U Organochlorine Pesticides (µg/kg) Aldrin 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.1 U 2.0 U 2.0 U alpha-BHC 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.1 U 2.0 U beta-BHC 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.1 U 2.0 U delta-BHC 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.1 U 2.0 U gamma-BHC (lindane) 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.1 U 2.0 U Chlordane (technical) 20 U 20 U 21 U 20 U 20 U Chlorobenzilate 40 U 39 U 39 U 40 U 40 U 4.4-DDD 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.1 U 2.0 U 4.4-DDE 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.1 U 2.0 U 4.4-DDT 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.1 U 2.0 U Diallate 40 U 39 U 39 U 40 U 40 U Dieldrin 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.1 U 2.0 U Endosulfan I 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.1 U 2.0 U 2.0 U Endosulfan II 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.1 U 2.0 U Endosulfan sulfate 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.1 U 2.0 U

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 27 of 78 Page ID #4153

-----

### TABLE 2 (Continued)

### VALIDATED ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR SOLUTIA, INC. SEDIMENT SAMPLES

| Sample Identification               | MR-SD-1-50 | MR-SD-1-150 | MR-SD-1-300     | MR-SD-2-50 | MR-SD-2-150 |
|-------------------------------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|
| Date Collected                      |            |             | November 1, 200 | 0          |             |
| Organochlorine Pesticider (#g/kg) ( | Continued) |             |                 |            |             |
| Endrin                              | 2.0 U      | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U           | 2.1 U      | 2.0 U       |
| Endrin aldehyde                     | 2.0 U      | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U           | 2.1 U      | 2.0 U       |
| Heptachlor                          | 2.0 U      | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U           | 2.1 U      | 2.0 U       |
| Heptachlor epoxide                  | 2.0 U      | 2.0 U ·     | 2.0 U           | 2.1 U      | 2.0 U       |
| Isodrin                             | 4.0 U      | 3.9 U       | 3.9 U           | 4.0 U      | 4.0 U       |
| Kepone                              | 40 U       | 39 U        | 39 U            | 40 U       | 40 U        |
| Methoxychlor                        | 4.0 U      | 3.9 U       | 3.9 U           | 4.0 U      | 4.0 U       |
| Toxaphene                           | 80 U       | 80 U        | 79 U            | 81 U       | 81 U        |
| Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB) (µ  | (kg)       | •           |                 |            |             |
| Aroclor 1016                        | 40 U       | 39 U        | 39 U            | 40 U       | 40U.        |
| Aroclor 1221                        | 40 U       | 39 U        | 39 U            | 40 U       | 40 U        |
| Aroclor 1232                        | 40 U       | 39 U        | 39 U            | 40 U       | 40 U        |
| Aroclor 1242                        | 40 U       | 39 U        | 39 U            | 40 U       | 40 U        |
| Aroclor 1248                        | 40 U       | 39 U        | 39 U            | 40 U       | 40 U        |
| Aroclor 1254                        | 40 U       | 39 U        | 39 U            | 40 U       | 40 U        |
| Aroclor 1260                        | 40 U       | 39 U        | 39 U            | 40 U       | 40 U        |
| lerbicides (µg/kg)                  |            |             |                 |            | 8 - C       |
| ,4-D                                | 96 U       | 95 U        | 94 U            | 97 U       | <b>%</b> U  |
| 4,5-TP (Silvex)                     | 24 U       | 24 U        | 24 U            | 24 U       | 24 U        |
| 4,5-T                               | 24 U       | 24 U        | 24 U            | 24 U       | 24 U        |
| rganophosphorus Pesticides (µg/kg)  |            |             |                 |            | 2.4         |
| imethoate                           | 40 U       | 39 U        | 39 U            | 40 U       | 40 U        |
| sulfoton                            | 40 U       | 39 U        | 39 U            | 40 U       | 40 U        |
| mphur                               | 40 U       | 39 U        | 39 U            | 40 U       | 40 U .      |
| ethyl parathion                     | 40 U       | 39 U        | 39 U            | 40 U       | 40 U        |
| orate                               | 40 U       | 39 U        | 39 U            | 40 U       | 40 U        |
| traethyldithiopyrophosphate         | 40 U       | 39 U        | 39 U            | 40 U       | 40 U        |
| ionazin                             | 40 U       | 39 U        | 39 U            | 40 U       | 40 U        |
| ,o-Triethylphosphorothioate         | 40 U       | 39 U        | 39 U            | 40 U       | 40 U        |
| neral Chemistry (milligram per kilo | grain)     |             |                 |            |             |
| al organic carbon                   | 120 U      | 120 U       | 120 U           | 120 U      | 120 U       |

1

#### TABLE 2 (Continued)

| Sample Identification             | MR-SD-2-33          | 0 MR-SD-3-25    | MR-SD-3-9 | 9 MR-SD-4-9  | 0 MR-SD-POP<br>90 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|
| Date Collected                    | November 1,<br>2000 |                 | Noven     | aber 2, 2000 |                   |
| Volatile Organic Compounds (micr  | rograms per ki      | logram [µg/kg]) | •         |              |                   |
| Acetone                           | 21 U                | 30 U            | 160 U     | 26 U         | 28 U              |
| Benzene                           | 5.3 U               | 7.5 U           | 16 U      | 4.2 J        | 7.1 U             |
| Chlorobenzene                     | 5.3 U               | 7.5 U           | 3.3 J     | 100 J        | 7.1 U             |
| Chloroform                        | 5.3 U               | 7.5 U           | 16 U      | 6.5 U        | 7.1 U             |
| Ethylbenzene                      | 5.3 U               | 7.5 U           | 16 U      | 2.0 J        | 7.1 U             |
| Methylene chloride                | 5.3 U               | 7.5 U           | 16 U      | 6.5 U        | 7.1 U             |
| Xylenes (total)                   | 5.3 U               | 7.5 U           | 16 U      | 2.6 J        | 7.1 U             |
| Semivolatile Organic Compounds (p | ıø/kg)              |                 |           |              |                   |
| Aniline                           | 380 U               | 440             | 220 J     | 400 U        | 410 U             |
| bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate        | 380 U               | 390 U           | 390 U     | 400 U        | 410 U             |
| 4-Chloroaniline                   | 380 U               | 390 U           | 130 J     | 400 U        | 410 U             |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene               | 380 U               | 390 U           | 390 U     | 400 U        | 410 U             |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene               | 380 U               | 390 U           | 390 U     | 400 U        | 410 U             |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene               | 380 U               | 390 U           | 390 U     | 400 U        | 410 U             |
| Organechlorine Pesticides (µg/kg) |                     |                 |           |              |                   |
| Aldrin                            | 2.0 U               | 2.0 U           | 2.0 U     | 4.1 U        | 2.1 U             |
| lpha-BHC                          | 2.0 U               | 2.0 U           | 2.0 U     | 4.1 U        | 2.1 U             |
| eta-BHC                           | 2.0 U               | 2.0 U           | 2.0 U     | 4.1 U        | 2.1 U             |
| elta-BHC                          | 2.0 U               | 2.0 U           | 2.0 U     | 3.7 J        | 2.1 U             |
| amma-BHC (lindane)                | 2.0 U               | 2.0 U           | 2.0 U     | 4.1 U        | 2.1 U             |
| hlordane (technical)              | 20 U                | 20 U            | 20 U      | 41 U         | 21 U              |
| hlorobenzilate                    | 38 U                | 39 U            | 39 U      | 79 U         | 41 U              |
| 4-DDD                             | 2.0 U               | 2.0 U           | 2.0 U     | 4.1 U        | 2.1 U             |
| 4-DDE                             | 2.0 U               | 2.0 U           | 2.0 U     | 4.1 U        | 2.1 U             |
| 4-DDT                             | 2.0 U               | 2.0 U           | 2.0 U     | 41U          | 2.1 U             |
| allate                            | 38 U                | 39 U            | 39 U      | 79 U         | 41 U              |
| eldrin                            | 2.0 U               | 2.0 U           | 2.0 U     | 4.1 U        | 2.1 U             |
| dosulfan I                        | 2.0 U               | 2.0 U           | 2.0 U     | 4.1 11       | 2111              |
| dosulfan II                       | 2.0 U               | 2.0 U           | 2.011     |              | 2111              |
| dosulfan sulfate                  | 2011                | 2011            | 2011      | 4111         | 2.1 0             |

#### TABLE 2 (Continued)

### VALIDATED ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR SOLUTIA, INC. SEDIMENT SAMPLES

| Sample Identification               | MR-SD-2-330 | MR-SD-3-25 | MR-SD-3-99 | MR-SD-4-90 | MR-SD-POP |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| Date Collected                      | November 1, |            | lNovemb    | er 2, 2000 | 90        |
|                                     | 2000        | L          |            |            |           |
| Organochiorine Pesticides (ug/kg) ( | Consisted)  | 2011       | 2011       |            |           |
|                                     | 2.00        | 2.00       | 2.00       | 4.10       | 2.10      |
| Endrin aldehyde                     | 2.00        | 2.00       | 2.00       | 4.10       | 2.10      |
| Heptachlor                          | 2.00        | 2.00       | 2.00       | 4.1 UJ     | 2.10      |
| Heptachlor epoxide                  | 2.0 0       | 2.0 U      | 2.00       | 4.10       | 2.10      |
| lsodrin                             | 3.8 0       | 3.90       | 3.90       | 7.90       | 4.10      |
| Kepone                              | 38 0        | 390        | 390        | /90        | 410       |
| Methoxychlor                        | 3.8 U       | 3.9 U      | 3.9 U      | 3.4 J      | 4.1 U     |
| Toxaphene                           | 78 U        | 80 U       | 80 U       | 160 U      | 84 U      |
| Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB) (pg | /kg)        |            |            |            |           |
| Aroclor 1016                        | 38 U        | 39 U       | 39 U       | 40 U       | 41 U      |
| Aroclor 1221                        | 38 U        | 39 U       | 39 U       | 40 U       | 41 U      |
| Aroclor 1232                        | 38 U        | 39 U       | 39 U       | 40 U       | 41 U      |
| Aroclor 1242                        | 38 U        | 39 U       | 39 U       | 40 U       | 41 U      |
| Aroclor 1248                        | 38 U        | 39 U       | 39 U       | 40 U       | 41 U      |
| vroclor 1254                        | 38 U        | 39 U       | 39 U       | 40 U       | 41 U      |
| troclor 1260                        | 38 U        | 39 U       | 39 U       | 40 U       | 41 U      |
| lerbicides (µg/kg)                  |             |            |            |            |           |
| ,4-D                                | 93 U        | 96 U       | 95 U       | 96 U       | 100 U     |
| ,4,5-TP (Silvex)                    | 23 U        | 24 U       | 24 U       | 24 U       | 25 U      |
| 4,5-T                               | 23 U '      | 24 U       | 24 U       | 24 U       | 25 U      |
| rganophosphorus Pesticides (ug/kg)  |             |            |            |            |           |
| imethoate                           | 38 U        | 39 UJ      | 39 UJ      | 40 UJ      | 41 UJ     |
| isulfoton                           | 38 U        | 39 UJ      | 39 UJ      | 40 UJ      | 41 UJ     |
| umphur                              | 38 U        | 39 U       | 39 U       | 40 UJ      | 41 U      |
| ethyl parathion                     | 38 U        | 39 UJ      | 39 UJ      | U 0،۶      | 41 UJ     |
| orate                               | 38 U        | 39 UJ      | 39 UJ      | 40 UJ      | 41 UJ     |
| traethyldithiopyrophosphate         | 38 U        | 39 U       | 39 U       | 40 UJ      | 41 U      |
| ionazin                             | 38 U        | 39 U       | 39 U       | 40 UJ      | 41 U      |
| o,o-Triethylphosphorothioate        | 38 U        | 39 U       | 39 U       | 40 UJ      | 41 U      |
| neral Chemistry (milligram per kile | gram)       |            | 1          |            |           |
| tal organic carbon                  | 120 U       | 120 11     | 120 U      | 12011      | 130 11    |

1-15

.

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 30 of 78 Page ID #4156

#### TABLE 2 (Continued)

| Sample Identification             | MR-SD-5-75       | MR-SD-5-150    | MR-SD-5-315      | MR-SD-6-25 | MR-SD-6-90 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|------------|------------|
| Date Collected                    |                  |                | Nov. mber 3, 200 | 0          |            |
| Volatile Organic Compounds (mici  | rograms per kile | ogram (µg/kg)) |                  |            |            |
| Acetone                           | 1,300 U          | 2,500 U        | 1,300 U          | 24 U       | 35 U       |
| Benzene                           | 45 J             | 58 J           | 260 U            | 9.0        | 0.72 J     |
| Chlorobenzene                     | 1,800            | 6,700          | 3,100            | 82         | 8.0        |
| Chloroform                        | 370 U            | 320 U          | 260 U            | 6.0 U      | 5.6 U      |
| Ethylbenzene                      | 370 U            | 320 U          | 260 U            | 6.0 U      | 5.6 U      |
| Methylene chloride                | 370 U            | 320 U          | 260 U            | 6.1 U      | 5.6 U      |
| Xylenes (total)                   | 370 U            | 320 U          | 260 U            | 6.0 U      | 5.6 U      |
| Semivolatile Organic Compounds (p | 1 <b>g/kg</b> )  |                |                  |            |            |
| Aniline                           | 2,400            | 3,400          | 380 U            | 400 U      | 400 U      |
| bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate        | 430 U            | 430 U          | 380 U            | 93 J       | 400 U      |
| 4-Chloroaniline                   | 3,000 J          | 6,400 J        | 380 U            | 400 U      | 400 U      |
| ,2-Dichlorobenzene                | 430 U            | 430 U          | 380 U            | 190 J      | 55 J       |
| ,3-Dichlorobenzene                | 430 U            | 430 U          | 380 U            | 150 J      | 400 U      |
| ,4-Dichlorobenzene                | 300 J            | 1,700          | 380 U            | 330 J      | 51 J       |
| Prganochlorine Pesticides (µg/kg) |                  |                |                  |            |            |
| Idrin                             | 2.2 U            | 11 U           | 1.9 U            | 2.0 U      | 2.0 U      |
| pha-BHC                           | 2.2 U            | 11 U           | 1.9 U            | 2.0 U      | 2.0 U      |
| eta-BHC                           | 2.2 U            | 11 U           | 1.9 U            | 2.0 U      | 2.0 U      |
| elta-BHC                          | 2.2 U            | 11 U           | 1.9 U            | 2.0 U      | 2.0 U      |
| mma-BHC (lindane)                 | 2.2 U            | 11 U           | 1.9 U            | 2.0 U      | 2.0 U      |
| hlordane (technical)              | 22 U             | 110 U          | 19 U             | 20 U       | 20 U       |
| alorobenzilate                    | 43 U             | 220 U          | 38 U             | 40 U       | 40 U       |
| 4-DDD                             | 2.2 U            | 11 U           | 1.9 U            | 2.0 U      | 2.0 U      |
| I-DDE                             | 2.2 U            | 11 U           | 1.9 U            | 2.0 U      | 2.0 U      |
| I-DDT                             | 2.2 U            | 11 U           | 1.9 U            | 2.0 U      | 2.0 U      |
| allate                            | 43 U             | 220 U          | 38 U             | 40 U       | 40 U       |
| eldrin                            | 2.2 U            | 11 U           | 1.9 U            | 2.0 U      | 2.0 U      |
| dosulfan l                        | 2.2 U            | 11 U           | 1.9 U            | 2.0 U      | 2.0 U      |
| dosulfan II                       | 2.2 U            | 110            | 1.9 U            | 2.0 U      | 2.0 U      |
| dosulfan sulfate                  | 2.2 U            | 11U            | 1.9U             | 2.0 U      | 2.0 U      |

. .....

#### TABLE 2 (Continued)

| Sample Identification                | MR-SD-5-75  | MR-SD-5-150 | MR-SD-5-315     | MR-SD-6-25* | MR-SD-6-90 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|
| Date Collected                       |             | 1           | November 3, 200 | 0           |            |
| Organochiorine Pesticides (ug/kg) (  | (Centinned) |             |                 |             |            |
| Endrin                               | 2.2 U       | 11 U        | 1.9 U           | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U      |
| Endrin aldehyde                      | 2.2 U       | 11 U        | 1.9 U           | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U      |
| Heptachlor                           | 2.2 U       | 11 U        | 1.9 U           | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U      |
| Heptachlor epoxide                   | 2.2 U       | 11 U        | 1.9 U           | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U      |
| Isodrin                              | 4.3 U       | 22 U        | 3.8 U           | 4.0 U       | 4.0 U      |
| Kepone                               | 43 U        | 220 U       | 38 U            | 40 U        | 40 U       |
| Methoxychlor                         | 4.3 U       | 22 U        | 3.8 U           | 4.0 U       | 4.0 U      |
| Toxaphene                            | 88 U        | 440 U       | 77 U            | 81 U        | 80 U       |
| Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB) (14  | r/kg)       |             |                 |             |            |
| Aroclor 1016                         | 43 U        | 120 J       | 38 U            | 40 U        | 40 U       |
| Aroclor 1221                         | 43 U        | 43 U        | 38 U            | 40 U        | 40 U       |
| Aroclor 1232                         | 43 U        | 43 U        | 38 U            | 40 U        | 40 U       |
| Aroclor 1242                         | 43 U        | 43 U        | 38 U            | 40 U        | 40 U       |
| Aroclor 1248                         | 43 U        | 43 U        | 38 U            | 40 U        | 31 J       |
| Aroclor 1254                         | 43 U        | 43 U        | 38 U            | 40 U        | 40 U       |
| Aroclor 1260                         | 43 U        | 43 U        | 38 U            | 40 U        | · 40 U     |
| Organochlorine Herbicides (µg/kg)    |             |             |                 |             |            |
| 2,4-D                                | 100 U       | 100 U       | 92 U            | 96 U        | 96 U       |
| ,4,5-TP (Silvex)                     | 26 U        | 26 U        | 23 U            | 24 U        | 24 U       |
| ,4,5-T                               | 26 U        | 26 U        | 23 U            | 24 U        | 24 U       |
| )rganophosphorus Pesticides (µg/kg)  |             |             |                 |             |            |
| Dimethoate                           | 43 U        | 43 U        | 38 U            | 40 U        | 40 U       |
| visulfoton                           | 43 U        | 43 U        | 38 U            | 40 U        | 40 U       |
| amphur                               | 43 U        | 43 U        | 38 U            | 40 U        | 40 U       |
| fethyl parathion                     | 43 U        | 43 U        | 38 U            | 40 U        | 40 U       |
| horate                               | 43 U        | 43 U        | 38 U            | 40 U        | 40 U       |
| etraethyldithiopyrophosphate         | 43 U        | 43 U        | 38 U            | 40 U        | 40 U       |
| hionazin                             | 43 U        | 43 U        | 38 U            | 40 U        | 40 U       |
| o,o-Triethylphosphorothioate         | 43 U        | 43 U        | 38 U            | 40 U        | 40 U       |
| eneral Chemistry (milligram per kilo | gram)       |             |                 |             |            |
| stal organic carbon                  | 200         | 7,400       | 110 U           | 870         | 1.100      |

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 32 of 78 Page ID #4158

#### TABLE 2 (Continued)

| Sample Identification  | MR-SD-7-45                      | MR-SD-7-150 | ) MR-SD-7-28     | 0 MR-SD-8-5 | 7 MR-SD-9-51 |  |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| Date Collected   | Date Collected November 3, 2000 |             | October 27, 2000 |             |              |  |  |  |
| Volatile Organic Compounds (micrograms per kilogram [µg/kg]) |                                 |             |                  |             |              |  |  |  |
| Acetone  | 35 U                            | 1,600 U     | 22 U             | 75 U        | 120 U        |  |  |  |
| Benzene  | 5.7 U                           | 36 J        | 5.5 U            | 6.0 U       | 6.8 U        |  |  |  |
| Chlorobenzene  | 2.2 U                           | 1,600       | 5.5 U            | 6.0 U       | 1.6 J        |  |  |  |
| Chloroform   | 5.7 U                           | 270 U       | 5.5 U            | 6.0 U       | 6.8 U        |  |  |  |
| Ethylbenzene   | 5.7 U                           | 270 U       | 5.5 U            | 6.0 U       | 6.8 U        |  |  |  |
| Methylene chloride   | 5.7 U                           | 270 U       | 5.5 U            | 6.0 U       | 6.8 U        |  |  |  |
| Xylenes (total)  | 5.7 U                           | 270 U       | 5.5 U            | 6.0 U       | 6.8 U        |  |  |  |
| Semivolatile Organic Compounds (µ                            | ug/kg)                          |             |                  |             |              |  |  |  |
| Aniline  | 400 U                           | 390 U       | 390 U            | 390 U       | 420 U        |  |  |  |
| ois(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate                                   | 400 U                           | 390 U       | 390 U            | 390 U       | 420 U        |  |  |  |
| -Chloroaniline   | 400 U                           | 58 J        | 390 U            | 390 U       | 420 U        |  |  |  |
| ,2-Dichlorobenzene   | 400 U                           | 390 U       | 390 U            | 390 U       | 420 U        |  |  |  |
| ,3-Dichlorobenzene   | 400 U                           | 390 U       | 390 U            | 390 U       | 420 U        |  |  |  |
| 4-Dichlorobenzene  | 400 U                           | 390 U       | 390 U            | 390 U       | 420 U        |  |  |  |
| rganochlorine Pesticides (µg/kg)                             |                                 | <u> </u>    |                  |             |              |  |  |  |
| ldrin  | 2.1 U                           | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U            | 2.0 U       | 11 U         |  |  |  |
| pha-BHC  | 2.1 U                           | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U            | 2.0 U       | 11 U         |  |  |  |
| ta-BHC   | 2.1 U                           | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U            | 2.0 U       | 11 U         |  |  |  |
| lta-BHC  | 2.1 U                           | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U            | 2.0 U       | 11 U         |  |  |  |
| mma-BHC (lindane)  | 2.1 U                           | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U            | 2.0 U       | 11 U         |  |  |  |
| lordane (technical)  | 21 U                            | 20 TJ       | 20 U             | 20 U        | 110 U        |  |  |  |
| lorobenzilate  | 40 U                            | 39 U        | 39 U             | 39 U        | 210 U        |  |  |  |
| -DDD   | 2.1 U                           | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U            | 2.0 U       | 11 U         |  |  |  |
| -DDE   | 2.1 U                           | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U            | 2.0 U       | 11 U         |  |  |  |
| -DDT   | 2.1 U                           | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U            | 2.0 U       | 11 U         |  |  |  |
| illate   | 40 U                            | 39 U        | 39 U             | 39 U        | 210 U        |  |  |  |
| ldrin  | 2.1 U                           | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U            | 2.0 U       | 11 U         |  |  |  |
| losulfan I   | 2.1 U                           | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U            | 2.0 U       | 11 U         |  |  |  |
| losulfan II  | 2.1 U                           | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U            | 2.0 U       | 11 U         |  |  |  |
| osulfan sulfate  | 2.1 U                           | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U            | 2.0 U       | 11 U         |  |  |  |
| rin  | 2.1U                            | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U            | 2.0 U       | 11 U         |  |  |  |

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 33 of 78 Page ID #4159

### TABLE 2 (Continued)

| Sample Identification                         | MR-SD-7-45 | MR-SD-7-150     | MR-SD-7-280 | MR-SD-8-57 | MR-SD-9-51 |  |  |  |
|---|------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Date Collected                                |            | November 3, 200 | 0           | October    | 27, 2000   |  |  |  |
| Organochlorine Pesticides (µg/kg) (Continued) |            |                 |             |            |            |  |  |  |
| Endrin aldehyde                               | 2.1 U      | 2.0 U           | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U      | 11 U       |  |  |  |
| Heptachlor                                    | 2.1 U      | 2.0 U           | 1           | 2.0 U      | 11 U       |  |  |  |
| Heptachlor epoxide                            | 2.1 U      | 2.0 U           | 2.0 U       | 2.0 U      | 11 U       |  |  |  |
| Isodrin                                       | 4.0 U      | 3.9 U           | 3.9 U       | 3.9 U      | 21 U       |  |  |  |
| Kepone  | 40 U       | 39 U            | 39 U        | 39 U       | 210 U      |  |  |  |
| Methoxychlor                                  | 4.0 U      | 3.9 U           | 3.9 U       | 3.9 U      | 21 U       |  |  |  |
| Toxaphene                                     | 8I U       | 79 U            | 80 U        | 79 U       | 420 U      |  |  |  |
| Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB) (14           | /kg)       |                 | •           |            |            |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1016                                  | 40 U       | 39 U            | 39 U        | 39 U       | 42 U       |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1221                                  | 40 U       | 39 U            | 39 U        | 39 U       | 42 U       |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1232 -                                | 40 U       | 39 U            | 39 U        | 39 U       | 42 U       |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1242                                  | 40 U       | 39 U            | 39 U        | 39 U       | 42 U       |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1248                                  | 40 U       | 20 J            | 39 U        | 39 U       | 42 U       |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1254                                  | 40 U       | 39 U            | 39 U        | 39 U       | 42 U       |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1260                                  | 40 U       | 39 U            | 39 U        | 39 U       | 42 U       |  |  |  |
| Organochlorine Herbicides (µg/kg)             |            |                 | <u>_</u>    |            |            |  |  |  |
| ,4-D  | 97 U       | 94 U            | 95 U        | 94 U       | 100 U      |  |  |  |
| ,4,5-TP (Silvex)                              | 24 U       | 24 U            | 24 U        | 24 U       | 25 U       |  |  |  |
| ,4,5-T  | 24 U       | 24 U            | 24 U        | 24 U       | 25 U       |  |  |  |
| rganophosphorus Pesticides (µg/kg)            |            |                 |             |            |            |  |  |  |
| imethoate                                     | 40 U       | 39 U            | 39 U        | 39 U       | 42 U       |  |  |  |
| isulfoton                                     | 40 U       | 39 U            | 39 U        | 39 U       | 42 U       |  |  |  |
| mphur   | 40 U       | 39 U            | 39 U        | 39 U       | 42 U       |  |  |  |
| ethyl parathion                               | 40 U       | 39 U            | 39 U        | 39 U       | 42 U       |  |  |  |
| iorate  | 40 U       | 39 U            | 39 U        | 39 U       | 42 U       |  |  |  |
| traethyldithiopyrophosphate                   | 40 U       | 39 U            | 39 U        | 39 U       | 42 U       |  |  |  |
| ionazin                                       | 40 U       | 39 U            | 39 U        | 39 U       | 42 U       |  |  |  |
| o,o-Triethylphosphorothioate                  | 40 U       | 39 U            | 39 U        | 39 U       | 42 U       |  |  |  |
| neral Chemistry (milligram per kilo           | gram)      |                 |             | <u>4</u>   |            |  |  |  |
| tal organic carbon                            | 780        | 120 U           | 120 U       | 120 U      | 3.700      |  |  |  |

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 34 of 78 Page ID #4160

#### TABLE 2 (Continued)

#### VALIDATED ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR SOLUTIA, INC. SEDIMENT SAMPLES

Notes:

| J  | = | The result was estimated for quality control reasons.  |
|----|---|--|
| U  | = | The analyte was not detected; the numerical value is the sample reporting limit.                   |
| UJ | = | The analyte was not detected; the sample reporting limit is estimated for quality control reasons. |
|    |   |  |

Field duplicate of sample MR-SD-3-99. . ь

-----

Field duplicate of sample MR-SD-6-90.

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 35 of 78 Page ID #4161

----

\_\_\_\_\_

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

- -

### Table 7-1

### Maximum Detected Concentrations

### of Constituents Present in

Whole Body Fish Tissue Samples

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 36 of 78 Page ID #4162

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

#### TABLE 7-1

Maximum Detected Concentrations of Constituents Present in Whole Body Fish Tissue Samples Collected in the Plume Discharge Area

|                     | <u>Upstream</u><br>Downstream |                    | <u>Plume Discharge Area</u> |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| SVOCS, ug/kg        |                               |                    |                             |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND                            | 240 <sup>1)</sup>  | ND                          |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND                            | 130 <sup>1)</sup>  | ND                          |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol  | ND                            | 190 <sup>2)</sup>  | ND                          |
| 2-Methylphenol      | 110                           | 220                | 340                         |
| Herbicides, ug/kg   | X                             |                    |                             |
| 2,4,5-T .           | 7.1                           | 13                 | ND                          |
| 2,4,5-TP (Silvex)   | 7.5                           | 8.7                | 6.9                         |
| MCPP                | ND                            | 8600 <sup>2)</sup> | ND                          |
| Pesticides, ug/kg   |                               |                    |                             |
| 4,4-DDD             | ND                            | 6.7 <sup>3)</sup>  | ND                          |
| 4,4-DDE             | 25                            | 60                 | 19                          |
| 4,4-DDT             | 7.6                           | 13                 | ND                          |
| alpha-BHC           | ND                            | 2.6 1)             | ND                          |
| alpha-Chlordane     | 5.6                           | 14                 | 7.7                         |
| gamma-Chlordane     | 5.8                           | 8.1                | 3.5                         |
| Dieldrin            | 32                            | 64                 | 14                          |
| Endosulfan I        | 3                             | 4.3                | ND                          |
| Endrin              | ND                            | 15 2)              | ND                          |
| Endrin Aldehyde     | 7.4                           | 10                 | 4.9                         |
| Heptachlor epoxide  | ND                            | 5.3 <sup>2)</sup>  | ND                          |
| Dioxin, pg/g        |                               |                    |                             |
| 2,3,7,8- TCDD       | 3.3                           | 2.4                | 0.96                        |
| Notes:              |                               |                    |                             |
| ,                   |                               |                    |                             |

1) Detected in Forage Fish (Gizzard Shad)

2) Detected in Bottom Feeder Fish (Channel Catfish)

3) Detected in Predator Fish (Drum)

Concentrations shown in **bold** print represent constituents detected only in the plume discharge area.

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 37 of 78 Page ID #4163

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

## Table 11-1

### Groundwater Alternative 2 -

### Physical Barrier

### Cost Estimate

| Table 5-1                                    |
|--|
| Groundwater Alternative B - Physical Barrier |

| Summa   | ry .                                  |              |
|---------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Capital | Institutional Controls                | \$0          |
|         | Monitor Well/Piezometer Installation  | \$80,924     |
|         | Jet-Grouted Barrier Wall Installation | \$6,336.500  |
|         | Extraction Well Installation          | \$385.473    |
|         | Groundwater Treatment at POTW         | \$0          |
|         | Subtotal, Capital Costs               | \$6,802,897  |
|         |                                       |              |
| O&M     | Institutional Controls                | \$248,181    |
| (PV)    | Monitoring                            | \$1,764,603  |
|         | Extraction System O&M                 | \$323,821    |
|         | Groundwater Treatment at POTW         | \$17,446,864 |
|         | Subtotal, O&M Costs, Present Value    | \$19,783,469 |
|         | Total Costs:                          | \$26,586,366 |

NOTES:

Costs are installed costs and include equipment, labor and materials.

Primary source of cost data: ECHOS Environmental Remediation Cost Data 1998 - Assemblies. All work done in level D.

Table 5-1Groundwater Alternative B - Physical Barrier

| Capital |  |          |           |          |               |          | No.   |
|---------|--|----------|-----------|----------|---------------|----------|-------|
| Costs   | Extraction Well Installation               | em: Unit | Unit Cost | Quantity | Extended Cost | Per Well | Wells |
|         | Mob/Demob Rig & Crew for Recovery          |          | _         | 1        |               |          |       |
|         | Well Installation                          | LS       | \$3.308   | 1        | \$3,308       |          | 3     |
|         | 12-in SS Casing, 10-ft Flush Thread        |          |           |          |               |          |       |
|         | Section                                    | LF       | \$402.5ª  | 60       | \$24,155      | 20       |       |
|         | 12-in SS Casing, 5-ft Flush Thread Sec     | tionLF   | \$430.33  | 15       | \$6,455       | 5        |       |
|         | 12-in SS Well Screen                       | LF       | \$359.72  | 255      | \$91,729      | 85       |       |
|         | 12-in SS Well Plug                         | Ea       | \$767.56  | 3        | \$2,303       | 1        |       |
|         | HS Auger, 16-in OD                         | LF       | \$110.28  | 330      | \$36,392      | . 110    |       |
|         | Drums                                      | Ea       | \$65.19   | 75       | \$4,889       |          |       |
|         | Haul Drummed Waste (1 Trip)                | Mi       | \$1.44    | 502      | \$723         |          |       |
|         | Cuttings Disposal (per Drum, Stabilization | on [     |           |          |               |          |       |
|         | Required)                                  | Ea       | \$236.33  | 75       | \$17,725      |          | 1     |
|         | Gravel Pack                                | LF       | \$36.79   | 270      | \$9,933       | 90       |       |
|         | Cement Grout                               | LF       | \$14.69   | 60       | \$881         | 20       |       |
|         | Surface Completion/Vault                   | Ea       | \$3,659   | 3        | \$10,977      | 1        |       |
|         | GW Pump, 5 HP, 230V, VFD, Controls,        |          | 1 1       |          |               |          |       |
|         | Probe -                                    | Ea       | \$4.656   | 3        | \$13,969      |          |       |
|         | Restricted Area Well Protection            | Ea       | \$1,077   | 3        | \$3,231       | 1        |       |
|         | Control Building                           | Ea       | \$10.000  | 1        | \$10,000      |          | 1     |
|         | 12-in HDPE Piping (header and discharge    | e        |           |          |               |          |       |
|         | piping)                                    | LF       | \$14.47   | 6000     | \$86,820      |          | 1     |
|         | Cat 225 Trenching, 1.5 CY                  | CY       | \$1.23    | 1778     | \$2,187       |          |       |
| !       | 950 3 CY Backfill w/ Excavated Mat'l       | CY       | \$1.70    | 1453     | \$2,470       |          |       |
|         | Vibrating Plate Compaction                 | CY       | \$4.85    | 1453     | \$7,047       |          |       |
| 1       | Design & Permitting (15% of Capital        |          |           |          |               |          | · · · |
|         | Costs)                                     | LS       |           |          | \$50,279      |          |       |
|         | Subtota                                    | 1:       |           |          | \$385 473     |          |       |

| Capital<br>Costs | Barrier Wall Installation Item:  | Unit     | Unit Cost                          | Quantity    | Extended Cost            |
|------------------|--|----------|------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|
|                  | Mob/Demob for Jet-Grouted Barrier Wall<br>Installation<br>Total Construction Costs | LS<br>SF | <b>\$50,000</b><br><b>\$1</b> 3.00 | 1<br>420000 | \$50,000<br>\$5,460,000  |
|                  | Design & Permitting (15% of Capital Costs)   | LS       |                                    | Subtotal    | \$826,500<br>\$6,336,500 |

.\_\_\_\_

| Table 5-1                              |        |
|--|--------|
| Groundwater Alternative B - Physical B | arrier |

\_\_\_\_

| Deep Zone<br>(100 ft) | Monitoring Well Installation Item: | Unit     | Unit Cost     | Quantity | Extended Cost |               |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|----------|---------------|----------|---------------|---------------|
|                       | Mob/Demob                          | LS       | \$2,401.00    | 0.25     | \$600         | Based on 4    |
|                       | OVA                                | DAY      | \$184.30      | 3        | \$553         | well clusters |
|                       | Decon                              | DAY      | \$205.34      | 3        | \$616         |               |
|                       | 2-in SS Well Casing                | LF       | \$21.73       | 90       | \$1,956       |               |
|                       | 2-in SS Well Screen                | LF       | \$18.41       | 10       | \$184         |               |
|                       | 2-in Submersible Pump              | DAY      | \$63.86       | 3        | \$192         |               |
|                       | Hollow-stem Auger, 8-in OD         | LF       | \$43.66       | 100      | \$4,366       |               |
|                       | 2-in Screen Filter Pack            | LF       | \$9.27        | 12       | \$111         |               |
|                       | Surface Pad, 4x4x4in               | EA       | \$18.43       | 1        | \$18          |               |
|                       | 2-in Well, Portland Cement Grout   | LF       | \$0.92        | 86       | \$79          |               |
|                       | 2-in Well, Bentonite Seal          | EA       | \$34.34       | 1        | \$34          |               |
|                       | 8x8x5-ft Steel Cover               | EA       | \$365.64      | 1        | \$366         |               |
|                       | 5-ft Guard Posts                   | EA       | \$61.84       | 4        | \$247         |               |
|                       |                                    | Deep Zon | e Subtotal, r | per Well | \$9.323       |               |

| Intermediate<br>Zone (60 ft<br>td) | Monitoring Well Installation Iten | n: Unit          | Unit Cost    | Quantity | Extended Cost |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------|----------|---------------|
|                                    | Mob/Demob                         | LS               | \$2,401.00   | . 0      | \$0           |
|                                    | OVA                               | DAY              | \$184.30     | 2        | \$369         |
|                                    | Decon                             | DAY              | \$205.34     | 2        | \$411         |
|                                    | 2-in SS Well Casing               | LF               | \$21.73      | 50       | \$1,087       |
|                                    | 2-in SS Well Screen               | LF               | \$18.41      | 10       | \$184         |
|                                    | 2-in Submersible Pump             | DAY              | \$63.86      | 2        | \$128         |
| 1                                  | Hollow-stem Auger, 8-in OD        | LF               | \$43.66      | 60       | \$2,620       |
|                                    | 2-in Screen Filter Pack           | LF               | \$9.27       | 12       | \$111         |
|                                    | Surface Pad, 4x4x4in              | EA               | \$18.43      | 1        | \$18          |
| · · · · [1                         | 2-in Well, Portland Cement Grout  | LF               | \$0.92       | 46       | \$42          |
| ]:                                 | 2-in Well, Bentonite Seal         | EA               | \$34.34      | 1        | \$34          |
|                                    | 8x8x5-ft Steel Cover              | EA               | \$365.64     | 1        | \$366         |
| !!                                 | 5-ft Guard Posts                  | EA               | \$61.84      | 4        | \$247         |
|                                    |                                   | Intermediate Zor | ne Subtotal, | per Well | \$5,617       |

.
# Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 41 of 78 Page ID #4167

# Table 5-1Groundwater Alternative B - Physical Barrier

| Shallow Zone<br>(30 ft td) | Monitoring Well Installation Item: | Unit        | Unit Cost    | Quantity | Extended Cost |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------|---------------|
|                            | Mob/Demob                          | LS          | \$2,401.00   | 0        | \$0           |
|                            | OVA                                | DAY         | \$184.30     | 1        | \$184         |
|                            | Decon                              | DAY         | \$205.34     | 1        | \$205         |
|                            | 2-in SS Well Casing                | LF          | \$21.73      | 20       | \$435         |
|                            | 2-in SS Well Screen                | LF          | \$18.41      | 10       | \$184         |
|                            | 2-in Submersible Pump              | DAY         | \$63.86      | 1        | \$64          |
|                            | Hollow-stem Auger, 8-in OD         | LF          | \$43.66      | 30       | \$1,310       |
| 1                          | 2-in Screen Filter Pack            | LF          | \$9.27       | 12       | \$111         |
| l li                       | Surface Pad, 4x4x4in               | EA          | \$18.43      | 1        | \$18          |
|                            | 2-in Well. Portland Cement Grout   | LF          | \$0.92       | 16       | \$15          |
|                            | 2-in Well, Bentonite Seal          | EA          | \$34.34      | 1        | \$34          |
| [8                         | 8x8x5-ft Steel Cover               | EA          | \$365.64     | 1        | \$366         |
|                            | 5-ft Guard Posts                   | EA          | \$61.84      | 4        | \$247         |
|                            |                                    | Shallow Zor | ne Subtotal. | per Well | \$3,174       |

| Piezometer Installation Item: |                     | Unit    | Unit Cost  | Quantity | Extended Cost | 4 Piezometers |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------|------------|----------|---------------|---------------|
| 120 ft td                     | Mob/Demob           | LS      | \$2,401.00 | 1        | \$2,401       |               |
|                               | 1-in SS Well Casing | LF      | \$14.49    | 80       | \$1,159       |               |
|                               | 1-in SS Well Screen | LF      | \$12.28    | 400      | \$4,912       |               |
|                               |                     | Total P | iezometers |          | \$8,472       |               |

| Monitoring Well Installation Total, per Three Zone Well Cluster | \$18,113 |
|---|----------|
| Number of Clusters  | 4        |
| Piezometer well Installation (4 fully penetrating wells)        | \$8,472  |
| Total Monitoring Well/Piezometer Installation                   | \$80,924 |

| O&M        |                        |            |                  |           |          |               |               |
|------------|------------------------|------------|------------------|-----------|----------|---------------|---------------|
| Costs      | Quarterly GW Sampling  | Item:      | Unit             | Unit Cost | Quantity | Extended Cost |               |
|            | Volatiles              |            | Ea               | S175      | 48       | \$8.400       | 4             |
|            |                        |            | 1                |           |          |               |               |
|            | Semi-volatiles         |            | Ea               | \$457     | 48       | \$21.936      | wells/cluster |
|            | Metals                 |            | Ea               | \$290     | 48       | \$13.920      | 3             |
|            | PCBs/Pesticides        |            | Ea               | \$207     | 48       | \$9.936       | samples/event |
|            | Dioxins                |            | Ea               | \$182     | 48       | \$8,736       | 12            |
|            | Herbicides             |            | Ea               | \$225     | 48       | \$10.800      | no. events/yr |
|            | JOVA                   |            | Day              | \$184     | 12       | \$2,208       | 4             |
|            | Pump                   |            | Wk               | \$192     | 12       | \$2,304       |               |
|            | Water Quality Meter    |            | Day              | \$228     | 12       | \$2.736       |               |
|            | Truck                  |            | Day              | \$33      | 12       | \$396         |               |
|            | PPE                    |            | Day              | \$50      | 12       | \$600         |               |
|            | Drums                  |            | Ea               | \$65      | 96       | \$6.240       |               |
|            | Sampling Crew          |            | Hr               | \$85      | 240      | \$20,400      |               |
|            | Drum Loading           |            | Ea               | \$8.21    | 96       | \$596         |               |
|            | Drum Transport         |            | Mi               | \$1.50    | 2008     | \$3,012       | i             |
|            | Drum Disposal          |            | Ea               | \$140     | 96       | \$13,440      |               |
|            | Report                 |            | Ea               | S15.000   | 4        | \$60.000      |               |
|            | Subjotal. Quarterly GV | N Sampling | :                |           |          | \$185.660     |               |
|            | . :                    |            | Discount<br>Rate | Period    |          | Present Value |               |
| Present Va | alue, 5 yr period      |            | 0.07             | 5         | ······   | \$761,243     |               |

 Table 5-1

 Groundwater Alternative B - Physical Barrier

| O&M       |                            |          |          | 1            |                           | ſ             | T             |
|-----------|----------------------------|----------|----------|--------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Costs     | Semi-Annual GW_Sampling    | Item:    | Unit     | Unit Cost    | Quantity                  | Extended Cost |               |
|           | Volatiles                  |          | Ea       | \$175        | 24                        | \$4.200       | 4             |
|           |                            |          | [        |              |                           |               |               |
|           | Semi-volatiles             |          | Ea       | \$457        | 24                        | \$10.968      | wells/cluster |
|           | Metals                     |          | Ea       | \$290        | 24                        | \$6,960       | 3             |
|           | PCBs/Pesticides            |          | Ea       | \$207        | 24                        | \$4,968       | samples/event |
|           | Dioxins                    |          | Ea       | \$182        | 24                        | \$4,368       | 12            |
|           | Herbicides                 |          | Ea       | \$225        | 24                        | \$5,400       | no. events/yr |
|           | OVA                        |          | Day      | \$184        | 6                         | \$1,104       | 2             |
|           | Pump                       |          | Wk       | \$192        | 6                         | \$1,152       |               |
|           | Water Quality Meter        |          | Day      | \$228        | 6                         | \$1.368       |               |
|           | Truck                      |          | Day      | \$33         | 6                         | \$198         |               |
|           | PPE                        |          | Day      | \$50         | 6                         | \$300         |               |
|           | Drums                      |          | Ea       | <b>\$</b> 65 | 48                        | \$3,120       |               |
| ·         | Sampling Crew              |          | Hr       | \$85         | 120                       | \$10,200      |               |
|           | Drum Loading               |          | Ea       | \$6.21       | 48                        | \$298         | · .           |
|           | Drum Transport             |          | Mi       | \$1.50       | 1004                      | \$1.506       |               |
|           | Drum Disposal              | ľ        | Ea       | \$140        | 48                        | \$6,720       |               |
|           | Report                     | 1        | Ea       | \$15.000     | 2                         | \$30,000      | •             |
|           | Subtotal, Semi-Annual GW S | ampling: | A        |              |                           | \$92.830      |               |
|           |                            | 1        | Discount |              | والتكري البني والتحد الند |               |               |
|           |                            | L        | Rate     | Period       |                           | Present Value |               |
| Present V | alue, 30 yr period         | ſ        | 0.07     | 30           |                           | \$1,151,932   |               |
| Present V | alue, 5 yr period          | Į        | 0.07     | 5            |                           | \$380.622     |               |
| Present V | alue, Years 5 thru 30      |          |          | ļ            |                           | \$771.311     |               |

Note: Quarterly sampling years 1 through 5, semi-annual sampling years 5 through 30.

| Table 5-                  | 1     |          |         |
|---------------------------|-------|----------|---------|
| Groundwater Alternative I | B - ( | Physical | Barrier |

| O&M<br>Costs | Bioaccumulation Sampling Item:     | Unit             | Unit Cost | Quantity | Extended Cost |
|--------------|------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|----------|---------------|
|              | Mob/Demob.                         | Ls               | \$5.000   | 1        | \$5.000       |
|              | Fish Composites                    | Ea               | 900       | 3        | \$2,700       |
|              | Analyses                           | Ea               | 2000      | 3        | \$6.000       |
|              | - Heport                           | Ls               | 5000      | 1        | \$5,000       |
|              | Subtotal, Bioaccumulation Sampling |                  |           |          | \$18,700      |
|              |                                    | Discount<br>Rate | Period    |          | Present Value |
| Present \    | /alue, 30 yr period                | 0.07             | 30        |          | \$232,049     |

| O&M<br>Costs                | Treatment          | ltem:                | Unit                | Unit Cost | Quantity | Extended Cost | Flow, gpm |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|----------|---------------|-----------|
|                             | Treatment/Disposal | to POTW              | 10 <sup>3</sup> gal | \$5       | 281,196  | \$1,405,980   | 535       |
|                             | Subtotal, (        | Operation & Treatmen | t                   |           |          | \$1,405,980   |           |
|                             |                    |                      | Discount<br>Rate    | Period    |          | Present Value |           |
| Present Value, 30 yr period |                    |                      | 0.07                | 30        |          | \$17,446,864  |           |

| O&M<br>Costs | Operation                  | ltom:               |          |           | Quantita  | Extended Cost |   |
|--------------|----------------------------|---------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|---|
| CUSIS        | Operation                  | nem.                | Unit     | Unit Cost | Citizenty | Extended Cost |   |
| · · · · · ·  | Monthly Maintenance        |                     | Ea       | \$600.00  | 12        | \$7,200       |   |
|              | Well Pump Replacem         | ent                 | Ea       | \$3,040   | 1         | \$3,040       |   |
|              | Electrical                 |                     | Hr       | \$1.81    | 8760      | \$15,856      |   |
|              | Subtotal, Op               | eration & Treatment |          |           |           | \$26,096      |   |
|              |                            |                     | Discount |           |           |               |   |
|              |                            |                     | Rate     | Period    |           | Present Value | 1 |
| Present \    | <b>/alue, 30 yr period</b> |                     | 0.07     | 30        |           | \$323,821     |   |

| Costs     | Institutional Controls Item  | Unit             | Unit Cost    | Quantity | Extended Cost |
|-----------|--|------------------|--------------|----------|---------------|
|           | Otrly Inspection, Report   | Ea               | \$2,500      | 4        | \$10,000      |
|           | Annual Fencing, Signage Repairs<br>Annual Public Meetings, Information | Ea               | \$5,000      | 1        | \$5,000       |
|           | Distribution   | Ea               | \$5,000      | 1        | \$5,000       |
|           | Subto  | tal, Annual      | Institutiona | Controls | \$20,000      |
|           |  | Discount<br>Rate | Period       |          | Present Value |
| Present ' | Value, 30 yr period  | 0.07             | 30           | · ·      | \$248,181     |

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 44 of 78 Page ID #4170

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

# **APPENDIX A**

#### PART III: RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY

The responsiveness summary addresses public comments on the proposed plan for the interim groundwater remedial action at the Sauget Area 2 Superfund Site. The proposed plan was issued on June 17, 2002. A public comment period was held from June 17, 2002, to August 16, 2002, including a 30-day extension. An extension to the public comment period was requested. As a result, it was extended to August 16, 2002. A public meeting was held on June 24, 2002, to present the proposed plan and to accept oral and written comments.

#### SUMMARIZED COMMUNITY CONCERNS

**Comment:** We have some very low lying areas around Kinder, Edward, and Angelo streets. By taking this type of action at the Site, will that hopefully affect the Village of Cahokia and lower the water.

**Response:** The area of influence of the groundwater pumping is expected to extend only several hundred feet east of the grout wall. Therefore, the remedy will have no impact on groundwater levels in the Village of Cahokia.

**Comment:** My experience as a resident in the floodplain with groundwater pumps is that they break down--a lot. There are incredible maintenance problems with them. In just ordinary American Bottom groundwater, there is a high iron content in and it has to be treated before it is released into any body of water. I can't imagine with all the chemicals involved in the Sauget Area 2 site--and they are not listed in your fact sheet-what that would do to pumping, treating, etc. There would undoubtedly be massive maintenance problems with the pumps. IDOT has given up pumping Highway 64 at East St. Louis because it is too expensive to continue pumping and to maintain the pumps.

**Response:** The final design for groundwater pumps will reflect many years experience gained implementing pump-and-treat remedies at many other similar sites and will be specifically tailored to account for the unique chemical signature of groundwater underlying the Sauget sites. Also, a formal operations and maintenance (O&M) program will be in place to continuously monitor system performance. As such, we are confident that the

# Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

proposed groundwater extraction and treatment system will continue to operate successfully for the duration of the project.

**Comment:** Solutia's financial status has been shaky of late. If you opt for what you are proposing, will the taxpayers have to pick up the bill for the pumping? That needs to be addressed and the taxpayers need to have the opportunity to comment.

**Response** - At this time, EPA believes the selected remedy will be implemented and operated by potentially responsible parties (PRPs). A number of viable PRPs have been identified for the Sauget Area 2 Site. The basic principal of the Superfund enforcement program is to make the responsible parties pay for the response activities needed to clean up sites. The enforcement program relies heavily upon the statutory authority provided by the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), particularly sections 104, 106, 107, and 122. If PRP response is not voluntarily obtained or is not adequate, EPA can either issue an order to compel the PRP to conduct the cleanup, or conduct the necessary cleanup itself and fund the cleanup with Federal Trust Fund In the latter situations where EPA has performed removal monies. or remedial activities at the site or incurred any enforcement costs, the enforcement program's goal is to recover those costs from the PRPs.

Cost is a critical factor in the process of identifying a preferred remedy regardless of whether the action will be PRP or Fund lead. In fact, CERCLA and the NCP require that every remedy selected must be cost-effective. Of the remedies evaluated, the selected remedy is the most cost-effective. By choosing a costeffective remedy, it is far more likely that the PRPs will be able to fund the selected remedy over the long term.

By having a strong enforcement program and selecting costeffective remedies, EPA reduces the likelihood that the taxpayers will have to fund the response action.

**Comment:** If the barrier method is used, for how long will it be in place?

**Response:** Although the barrier wall is considered an interim groundwater remedial action, it is expected that this interim action will be compatible with and complement the final groundwater remedial action. Therefore, it is expected that the

45 X.

# Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

barrier wall will continue to be operated and maintained until the cleanup objectives determined in the final groundwater ROD are reached. The barrier is designed to be a permanent solution.

**Comment:** What about the shrink-swell qualities of the soil?

**Response:** Because the soils are principally granular - silts, sands, and gravels - they do not shrink or swell; shrink-swell characteristics are features of clay soils. In case the question is referring to the grout-wall, please be assured that comprehensive field-scale tests of various grout mixes are already underway to optimize grout-sand mixing strength and integrity.

**Comment:** What about the groundwater levels changing? When the river is up groundwater flows away from the river. How is that addressed? Will that contaminate other waters?

Response: A 1994 Geraghty & Miller report evaluated groundwater flow conditions at Site R. During low river stage conditions, groundwater at Sauget Area 2 flows from east to west and releases to the Mississippi River, the natural release point for groundwater in the American Bottoms aquifer. During periods of high river stage, when the river rises higher than the water table, gradients are reversed. For example, in November 1985 river stage was 32 to 33 feet above the USACE datum (low flow river stage is 5 to 7 feet above this datum). Groundwater elevation in the Middle Hydrogeologic Unit at the downgradient edge of Site R was 406 ft. Above mean sea level (MSL) and 394 ft. above MSL at Route 3. Under these conditions, groundwater flow was from west to east for a distance of approximately 4,500 feet. Flow in the upper, middle and deep hydrogeologic units is toward the east, but eventually reaches a stagnation point where the eastward gradient equals the westward regional gradient. This "riverbank storage effect" can last from several days to a few The Geraghty & Miller report found that analytical data weeks. from well clusters located adjacent to the flood control levee indicate that there has been little, if any, transport of constituents from Site R to the east. The Geraghty & Miller report on groundwater flow conditions at Site R is in Volume 2 of the Focused Feasibility Study which can be found in the h. 1. Administrative Record.

The selected remedy address groundwater level changes by continuously recording and monitoring groundwater levels on

# Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

either side of the grout wall using full-time telemetry that will be linked in real-time to adjecent river water levels. This will allow pumping rates to be constantly adjusted to account for changes in river level and to ensure that groundwater does not flow either east into the sites, or west and into the river. This water level monitoring and pumping rate adjusting will produce essentially zero-head conditions across the grout wall thereby minimizing the potential for contaminated groundwater to exit the site capture zone or for river water to enter the site and mix with contaminated groundwater.

**Comment:** That area is in the New Madrid fault zone. The bridges just north of the site are being reinforced in anticipation of an earthquake. How would an earthquake affect each method?

**Response:** The potential effects of a future earthquake are not a feature of the grout wall design because the grout wall, when finished, will be an integral part of the subsurface and will be laterally supported on all sides by the natural soil pressures. Typically, earthquake-specific design requirements are for aboveground structures. Should an earthquake occur, the integrity of the barrier wall would be evaluated and any necessary repairs made.

**Comment:** Where have these methods been successfully tried? For how long a period?

**Response:** There have been several successful applications of jet-grouting technology in Europe and North America. The technology has been around for several decades. One contractor Solutia has had discussions with on this project has built between 12 and 15 groundwater barriers using jet grouting techniques. One of these was constructed to a depth of 140 feet. Other contractors in the United States, Europe, and Japan have a similar experience record.

**Comment:** We have heard there are plans to install other groundwater pumps in the flood plain. Has their impact on this site been evaluated?

**Response:** EPA is unaware of the other pumps referenced above and whether the proposed pumping would impact the site.

**Comment:** What is the area that will be affected by groundwater pumping? How will it affect the area wetlands? How will it affect any structures?

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 48 of 78 Page ID #4174

18 M

# Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

**Response:** The area of influence of the groundwater pumping is expected to extend several hundred feet east of the grout wall, with the greatest drops in groundwater level occurring nearest the wall and associated groundwater pumps. Groundwater levels east of the existing levee should remain relatively unaffected. The actual radius of influence of the pumping wells will be determined during pre-construction aquifer pumping tests. Due to the limited influence of the groundwater pumping, there should be no impact on area wetlands and structures.

**Comment:** You say the water will be treated before it is released into the river? How? Where will the toxins go? How clean will it be? Who will test it? How often will it be tested? Who will monitor the site? How often? Will there be split samples and independent labs?

**Response:** Several groundwater treatment options are currently being evaluated. Selection of the actual treatment technologies and the location of the treatment system will be determined during the remedial design.

The treatment component of the groundwater alternative will utilize presumptive technologies identified in EPA's groundwater presumptive strategy, "Presumptive Response Strategy and Ex-Situ Treatment Technologies for Contaminated Ground Water at CERCLA . Site", October 1996, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (OSWER) Directive 9283.1-12. Since contaminants of concern include volatile and semivolatile organic compounds, one or more of the presumptive technologies - air stripping, granular activated carbon (GAC), chemical/UV oxidation and aerobic biological reactors - will be used for treating aqueous contaminants in the extracted groundwater. Final selection of these technologies will be based on additional site information to be collected during the remedial design. Based on this information and sound engineering practice, discharged water will have to meet applicable state and local permitting requirements for discharge to surface water. As a routine task, influent and effluent water qualities will be consistently sampled and monitored to ensure that all applicable treatment requirements are satisfied.

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 49 of 78 Page ID #4175

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

**Comment:** Will the toxins volitalize?

**Response:** Toxins comprising volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are found in the groundwater at the Site and do volatilize from groundwater into the air. It is this ability to volatilize that allows these chemicals to be readily removed from waste water during treatment. The treatment process will be designed to minimize the release of VOCs to the environment.

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 50 of 78 Page ID #4176

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

# APPENDIX B

# ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD INDEX

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 51 of 78 Page ID #4177

#### U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REMEDIAL ACTION

# ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD FOR SAUGET AREA 2 GROUNDWATER INTERIM ACTION SAUGET AND CAHOKIA, ILLINOIS

# ORIGINAL JUNE 20, 2002

| <u>NO.</u> | DATE     | AUTHOR                              | RECIPIENT    | TITLE/DESCRIPTION  | PAGES |
|------------|----------|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|-------|
| 1          | 06/16/83 | Ecology and<br>Environment,<br>Inc. | U.S. EPA     | Preliminary Assessment<br>for the Sauget/Sauget<br>and Company Landfill<br>Site  | 20    |
| 2          | 05/00/88 | Ecology and<br>Environment,<br>Inc. | Illinois EPA | Final Report: Expanded<br>Site Inspection for the<br>Dead Creek Sediment Sites<br>at Cahokia/Sauget, IL:<br>Volume 1 of 2 (Text,<br>Figures and Tables)                            | 476   |
| 3          | 05/00/88 | Ecology and<br>Environment,<br>Inc. | Illinois EPA | Final Report: Expanded<br>Site Inspection for the<br>Dead Creek Sediment Sites<br>at Cahokia/Sauget, IL:<br>Volume 2 of 2 (Appendices<br>A-F)                                      | 554   |
| 4          | 12/10/98 | Federal<br>Register                 | Public       | National Recommended Water<br>Quality Critera: Notice;<br>Republication (FR Part IV<br>EPA: Vol. 63, No. 237)  | 12    |
| 5          | 06/23/00 | Carney, W.,<br>U.S. EPA             | Addressees   | Letter re: Special Notice<br>of Liability for the<br>Sauget Area 2 Site  | 82    |
| 6          | 08/01/00 | Solutia,<br>Inc.                    | U.S. EPA     | Description of Current<br>Conditions for the W.G.<br>Krummrich Plant, Sauget,<br>Illinois: Volume 1 (Text,<br>Tables, Figures, Attach-<br>ments 1-4 and Appendices<br>1-15 [DRAFT] | 156   |
| 7          | 08/25/00 | Tetra Tech<br><b>EM,</b> Inc.       | U.S. EPA     | Community Involvement Plan<br>for Sauget Areas 1 and 2<br>Superfund Sites w/ Cover<br>Letter   | 34    |
| 8          | 09/01/00 | Solutia,<br>Inc.                    | U.S. EPA     | Description of Current<br>Conditions for the W.G.<br>Krummrich Plant, Sauget,<br>Illinois Volume 2 (Append-<br>ices 16-23) [DRAFT]   | 687   |

# Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 52 of 78 Page ID #4178

# Sauget Area 2 AR Page 2

| <u>NO .</u> | DATE       | AUTHOR                                 | RECIPIENT                       | TITLE/DESCRIPTION  | PAGES |
|-------------|------------|--|---------------------------------|--|-------|
| 9           | 09/01/00   | Solutia,<br>Inc.                       | U.S. EPA                        | Description of Current<br>Conditions for the W.G.<br>Krummrich Plant, Sauget,<br>Illinois Volume 3(Append-<br>ices 24-25) <b>[DRAFT]</b> | 679   |
| 10          | 10/03/00   | Illinois<br>EPA                        | File                            | Illinois EPA HazMat<br>Incident Report re: a<br>Crude Chlorobenzene Spill<br>at the Solutia, Inc.<br>Facility w/Attachments              | 6     |
| 11          | 10/10/00   | Mosher, B.,<br>Illinois<br>EPA         | Bardo, K.,<br>U.S. EPA          | FAX Transmission re:<br>Listing of Derived Water<br>Quality Criteria as Pub-<br>lished in the Illinois<br>Register                       | 11    |
| 12          | 10/13/00   | Bardo, K.,<br>U.S. EPA                 | Mosher, B.,<br>Illinois<br>EPA  | FAX Transmission re:<br>Water Quality Criteria<br>Standards  | 2     |
| 13          | 11/24/00   | U.S. EPA                               | Respondents                     | Administrative Order by<br>Consent re: the Sauget<br>Area 2 Site   | 59    |
| 1 <b>4</b>  | 01/22/01   | Graczyk, L.,<br>Tetra Tech<br>EM, Inc. | Freeman, B.,<br>U.S. EPA        | Letter: Data Validation<br>Report for Samples Collec-<br>ted October 24-November 3,<br>2000 at the Solutia, Inc.<br>Facility             | 58    |
| 15          | 01/26/01   | Search, G.,<br>Illinois<br>EPA         | Illinois<br>EPA                 | Memorandum re: January<br>25, 2001 Meeting to Discuss<br>Monochlorobenzene Release<br>at the Solutia, Inc.<br>Facility w/Attachments     | 33    |
| 16          | 02/09/01   | Hamper, G.,<br>U.S. EPA                | Hiller, R.,<br>Solutia,<br>Inc. | Letter re: Water Quality<br>Criteria at Solutia, Inc.<br>w/Attachments   | 28    |
| 17          | 05/15/01   | Graczyk, L.,<br>Tetra Tech<br>EM, Inc. | Barr, J.,<br>Dyna Corp.         | Chain of Custody Forms and<br>Data Summary Forms for<br>Solutia, Inc. w/Cover<br>Letter  |       |
| 18          | 06/01/01   | Menzie-Cura &<br>Associates,<br>Inc.   | Solutia,<br>Inc.                | Report: Ecological Risk<br>Assessment for the W.G.<br>Krummrich Plant in Sauget,<br>IL (REV. 1: INTERNAL REVIEW<br>DRAFT)                | 992   |
| 19          | · 08/09/01 | <b>Bardo, K.,</b><br>U.S. EPA          | Hiller, R.,<br>Solutia,<br>Inc. | Letter re: U.S. EPA's<br>Comments on Solutia, Inc.'s<br>June 21, 2001 Ecological<br>Risk Assessment w/ Attach-<br>ment                   | 15    |

1.44

ł

í.

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 53 of 78 Page ID #4179

.

# Sauget Area 2 AR Page 3

| <u>NO.</u> | DATE          | AUTHOR                          | RECIPIENT                            | TITLE/DESCRIPTION   | PAGES      |
|------------|---------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|------------|
| 20         | 11/14/01      | Ribordy, M.,<br>U.S. EPA        | Smith, S.,<br>Solutia,<br>Inc.       | Letter re: Notification of<br>Additional Work for the<br>Focused Feasibility Study<br>for Groundwater Contamin-<br>ation at Site R, Sauget<br>Area 2 w/Attachment | : 11       |
| 21         | 03/31/02      | Solutia,<br>Inc.                | U.S. EPA                             | Focused Feasibility Study<br>for Sauget Area 2 Sites<br>O, Q, R and S (Volume 1:<br>Text, Tables and Figures)   | <b>433</b> |
| 22         | 03/31/02      | Solutia,<br>Inc.                | U.S. EPA                             | Focused Feasibility Study<br>for Sauget Area 2 Sites<br>O, Q, R and S (Volume 2:<br>Design Basis and Design)  | 905        |
|            |               |                                 | UPDATE #1<br>JUNE 27, 2002           |   |            |
| 1          | 06/00/02      | U.S. EPA                        | Public                               | Fact Sheet: U.S. EPA<br>Issues Proposed Plan for<br>Interim Ground-water<br>Cleanup At Sauget Area<br>2 Site  | 8          |
|            | <del></del> - | ~                               | UPDATE #2<br>JULY 24, 2002           |   | )          |
| 1          | 06/24/02      | Pohlman<br>Reporting<br>Company | U.S. EPA                             | Transcript of the June<br>24, 2002 Public Meeting<br>re: the Sauget Area 2<br>Superfund Site  | 32         |
|            |               | SE                              | <u>update #3</u><br>Ptember 23, 2002 |   |            |
| 1          | 09/00/01      | Roy F. Weston,<br>Inc.          | U.S. EPA                             | Site Assessment Report<br>for the Clayton Chemical<br>Site in Sauget, IL  |            |
| 2          | 06/00/02      | U.S. EPA                        | Public                               | Fact Sheet: U.S. EPA<br>Issues Proposed Plan for<br>Interim Ground-Water<br>Cleanup at Sauget Area<br>2 Site  | 8          |
| 3          | 06/17/02      | Belleville<br>News-Democrat     | Public .                             | U.S. EPA Public Notice:<br>Announcement of a Public<br>Meeting and Public<br>Comment Period for the<br>Proposed Plan for the<br>Sauget Area 2 Site                | 1          |

.

•

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 54 of 78 Page ID #4180

# Sauget Area 2 AR Page 4

| <u>NO.</u> | DATE     | AUTHOR   | RECIPIENT             | TITLE/DESCRIPTION  | PAGES |
|------------|----------|--|-----------------------|--|-------|
| 4          | 07/17/02 | Andria, K.,<br>American<br>Bottom<br>Conservancy | Hill, S.,<br>U.S. EPA | E-Mail Transmission re:<br>Request for 30 Day<br>Extension to the Public<br>Comment Period on the<br>Proposed Plan for the<br>Jauget Area 2 Site | 1     |
| 5          | 07/17/02 | Andria, K.,<br>American<br>Bottom<br>Conservancy | Hill, S.,<br>U.S. EPA | E-Mail Transmission re:<br>Public Comment on the<br>Proposed Plan for the<br>Sauget Area 2 Site  | 1     |
| 6          | 07/23/02 | U.S. EPA   | Public                | Postcard: U.S. EPA<br>Announcement of a Public<br>Comment Extension for the<br>Proposed Plan for the<br>Sauget Area 2 Site                       | 2     |
| 7          | 00/00/00 | U.S. EPA   | Public                | Record of Decision for<br>the Sauget Area 2 Ground-<br>water Interim Action<br>(PENDING)   |       |

**-**`.

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 55 of 78 Page ID #4181

Sauget Area 2: Record of Decision

# APPENDIX C

# PRESUMPTIVE RESPONSE STRATEGY AND EX-SITU TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES FOR CONTAMINATED GROUND WATER AT CERCLA SITES

# FINAL GUIDANCE

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 56 of 78 Page ID #4182

# ATTACHMENT 3

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD INDEX

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 57 of 78 Page ID #4183

# U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REMEDIAL ACTION

# ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD FOR SAUGET AREA 2 GROUNDWATER INTERIM ACTION SAUGET AND CAHOKIA, ILLINOIS

# ORIGINAL JUNE 20, 2002

| <u>NO.</u> | DATE     | AUTHOR                              | RECIPIENT    | TITLE/DESCRIPTION  | PAGES |
|------------|----------|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|-------|
| 1          | 06/16/83 | Ecology and<br>Environment,<br>Inc. | U.S. EPA     | Preliminary Assessment<br>for the Sauget/Sauget<br>and Company Landfill<br>Site  | 20    |
| 2          | 05/00/88 | Ecology and<br>Environment,<br>Inc. | Illinois EPA | Final Report: Expanded<br>Site Inspection for the<br>Dead Creek Sediment Sites<br>at Cahokia/Sauget, IL:<br>Volume 1 of 2 (Text,<br>Figures and Tables)                            | 476   |
| 3          | 05/00/88 | Ecology and<br>Environment,<br>Inc. | Illinois EPA | Final Report: Expanded<br>Site Inspection for the<br>Dead Creek Sediment Sites<br>at Cahokia/Sauget, IL:<br>Volume 2 of 2 (Appendices<br>A-F)                                      | 554   |
| 4          | 12/10/98 | Federal<br>Register                 | Public       | National Recommended Wate<br>Quality Critera: Notice;<br>Republication (FR Part IV<br>EPA: Vol. 63, No. 237)   | r 12  |
| 5          | 06/23/00 | Carney, W.,<br>U.S. EPA             | Addressees   | Letter re: Special Notice<br>of Liability for the<br>Sauget Area 2 Site  | 82    |
| 6          | 08/01/00 | Solutia,<br>Inc.                    | U.S. EPA     | Description of Current<br>Conditions for the W.G.<br>Krummrich Plant, Sauget,<br>Illinois: Volume 1 (Text,<br>Tables, Figures, Attach-<br>ments 1-4 and Appendices<br>1-15 [DRAFT] | 156   |
| 7          | 08/25/00 | Tetra Tech<br>EM, Inc.              | U.S. EPA     | Community Involvement Pla<br>for Sauget Areas 1 and 2<br>Superfund Sites w/ Cover<br>Letter  | n 34  |
| 8          | 09/01/00 | Solutia,<br>Inc.                    | U.S. EPA     | Description of Current<br>Conditions for the W.G.<br>Krummrich Plant, Sauget,<br>Illinois Volume 2 (Append<br>ices 16-23) <b>[DRAFT]</b>   | 687   |

.

.

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 58 of 78 Page ID #4184

## Sauget Area 2 AR Page 2

| <u>NO.</u> | DATE     | AUTHOR                                 | RECIPIENT                         | TITLE/DESCRIPTION  | PAGES    |
|------------|----------|--|-----------------------------------|--|----------|
| 9          | 09/01/00 | Solutia,<br>Inc.                       | U.S. EPA                          | Description of Current<br>Conditions for the W.G.<br>Krummrich Plant, Sauget,<br>Illinois Volume 3(Append-<br>ices 24-25) <b>[DRAFT]</b> | 679      |
| 10         | 10/03/00 | Illinois<br>EPA                        | File                              | Illinois EPA HazMat<br>Incident Report re: a<br>Crude Chlorobenzene Spill<br>at the Solutia, Inc.<br>Facility w/Attachments              | 6        |
| 11         | 10/10/00 | Mosher, B.,<br>Illinois<br>EPA         | Bardo, K.,<br>U.S. EPA            | FAX Transmission re:<br>Listing of Derived Water<br>Quality Criteria as Pub-<br>lished in the Illinois<br>Register                       | 11       |
| 12         | 10/13/00 | Bardo, K.,<br>U.S. EPA                 | Mosher, B.,<br>Illinois<br>EPA    | FAX Transmission re:<br>Water Quality Criteria<br>Standards  | 2        |
| 13         | 11/24/00 | U.S. EPA                               | Respondents                       | Administrative Order by<br>Consent re: the Sauget<br>Area 2 Síte   | 59       |
| 14         | 01/22/01 | Graczyk, L.,<br>Tetra Tech<br>EM, Inc. | Freeman, B.,<br>U.S. EPA          | Letter: Data Validation<br>Report for Samples Collec-<br>ted October 24-November 3,<br>2000 at the Solutia, Inc.<br>Facility             | 58       |
| 15         | 01/26/01 | Search, G.,<br>Illinois<br>EPA         | Illinois<br>EPA                   | Memorandum re: January<br>25, 2001 Meeting to Discuss<br>Monochlorobenzene Release<br>at the Solutia, Inc.<br>Facility w/Attachments     | 33       |
| 16         | 02/09/01 | Hamper, G.,<br>U.S. EPA                | Hiller, R.,<br>Solutia, ,<br>Inc. | Letter re: Water Quality<br>Criteria at Solutia, Inc.<br>w/Attachments   | 28       |
| 17         | 05/15/01 | Graczyk, L.,<br>Tetra Tech<br>EM, Inc. | Barr, J.,<br>Dyna Corp.           | Chain of Custody Forms and<br>Data Summary Forms for<br>Solutia, Inc. w/Cover<br>Letter  |          |
| 18         | 06/01/01 | Menzie-Cura &<br>Associates,<br>Inc.   | Solutia,<br>Inc.                  | Report: Ecological Risk<br>Assessment for the W.G.<br>Krummrich Plant in Sauget,<br>IL (REV. 1: INTERNAL REVIEW<br>DRAFT)                | 992<br>1 |
| 19         | 08/09/01 | Bardo, K.,<br>U.S. EPA                 | Hiller, R.,<br>Solutia,<br>Inc.   | Letter re: U.S. EPA's<br>Comments on Solutia, Inc.'s<br>June 21, 2001 Ecological<br>Risk Assessment w/ Attach-<br>ment                   | 15<br>5  |

.

# Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 59 of 78 Page ID #4185

.

# Sauget Area 2 AR Page 3

| <u>NO.</u> | DATE     | AUTHOR                          | RECIPIENT                         | TITLE/DESCRIPTION  | PAGES |
|------------|----------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-------|
| 20         | 11/14/01 | Ribordy, M.,<br>U.S. EPA        | Smith, S.,<br>Solutia,<br>Inc.    | Letter re: Notification o<br>Additional Work for the<br>Focused Feasibility Study<br>for Groundwater Contamin-<br>ation at Site R, Sauget<br>Area 2 w/Attachment | f 11  |
| 21         | 03/31/02 | Solutia,<br>Inc.                | U.S. EPA                          | Focused Feasibility Study<br>for Sauget Area 2 Sites<br>O, Q, R and S (Volume 1:<br>Text, Tables and Figures)  | 433   |
| 22         | 03/31/02 | Solutia,<br>Inc.                | U.S. EPA                          | Focused Feasibility Study<br>for Sauget Area 2 Sites<br>O, Q, R and S (Volume 2:<br>Design Basis and Design)   | 905   |
|            |          |                                 | <u>UPDATE #1</u><br>JUNE 27, 2002 |  |       |
| 1          | 06/00/02 | U.S. EPA                        | Public                            | Fact Sheet: U.S. EPA<br>Issues Proposed Plan for<br>Interim Ground-water<br>Cleanup At Sauget Area<br>2 Site   | 8     |
|            |          |                                 | <u>UPDATE #2</u><br>JULY 24, 2002 |  |       |
| 1          | 06/24/02 | Pohlman<br>Reporting<br>Company | U.S. EPA                          | Transcript of the June<br>24, 2002 Public Meeting<br>re: the Sauget Area 2<br>Superfund Site   | 32    |
|            |          | SE                              | UPDATE #3<br>PTEMBER 23, 2002     |  |       |
| 1          | 09/00/01 | Roy F. Weston,<br>Inc.          | U.S. EPA                          | Site Assessment Report<br>for the Clayton Chemical<br>Site in Sauget, IL   |       |
| 2          | 06/00/02 | U.S. EPA                        | Public                            | Fact Sheet: U.S. EPA<br>Issues Proposed Plan for<br>Interim Ground-Water<br>Cleanup at Sauget Area<br>2 Site   | 8     |
| 3          | 06/17/02 | Belleville<br>News-Democrat     | Public                            | U.S. EPA Public Notice:<br>Announcement of a Public<br>Meeting and Public<br>Comment Period for the<br>Proposed Plan for the<br>Sauget Area 2 Site               | 1     |

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 60 of 78 Page ID #4186

## Sauget Area 2 AR Page 4

| <u>NO.</u> | DATE     | AUTHOR   | RECIPIENT             | TITLE/DESCRIPTION  | PAGES |
|------------|----------|--|-----------------------|--|-------|
| 4          | 07/17/02 | Andria, K.,<br>American<br>Bottom<br>Conservancy | Hill, S.,<br>U.S. EPA | E-Mail Transmission re:<br>Request for 30 Day<br>Extension to the Public<br>Comment Period on the<br>Proposed Plan for the<br>Sauget Area 2 Site | 1     |
| 5          | 07/17/02 | Andria, K.,<br>American<br>Bottom<br>Conservancy | Hill, S.,<br>U.S. EPA | <b>E-Mail Transmission re:</b><br>Public Comment on the<br>Proposed Plan for the<br>Sauget Area 2 Site   | 1     |
| 6          | 07/23/02 | U.S. EPA   | Public                | Postcard: U.S. EPA<br>Announcement of a Public<br>Comment Extension for the<br>Proposed Plan for the<br>Sauget Area 2 Site                       | . 2   |
| 7          | 00/00/00 | U.S. EPA   | Public                | Record of Decision for<br>the Sauget Area 2 Ground-<br>water Interim Action<br>( <b>PENDING)</b>   |       |

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 61 of 78 Page ID #4187

# ATTACHMENT 4

# STATEMENT OF WORK FOR THE REMEDIAL DESIGN AND REMEDIAL ACTION AT THE SAUGET AREA 2 SITE ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILLINOIS

# STATEMENT OF WORK FOR THE REMEDIAL DESIGN AND REMEDIAL ACTION AT THE SAUGET AREA 2 SITE ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILLINOIS

# I. <u>PURPOSE</u>

The purpose of this Statement of Work (SOW) is to set forth requirements for implementation of the remedial action set forth in the Record of Decision (ROD), which was signed by the Regional Administrator of U.S. EPA Region 5 on September 30, 2002, for the Sauget Area 2 Site (Site) Groundwater Operable Unit (OU-2). The Settling Defendants shall follow the ROD, the SOW, the approved Remedial Design, Remedial Action (RD/RA) Work Plan, U.S. EPA Superfund Remedial Design and Remedial Action Guidance and any additional guidance provided by U.S. EPA in submitting deliverables for designing and implementing the remedial action for OU-2.

# II. DESCRIPTION OF THE REMEDIAL ACTION/PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

Settling Defendants shall design and implement the Remedial Action to meet the performance standards and specifications set forth in the ROD and this SOW. Performance standards shall include cleanup standards, standards of control, quality criteria and other substantive requirements, criteria or limitations including all Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements (ARARs) set forth in the ROD, SOW and/or Unilateral Administrative Order (UAO) and further identified during the RD when the groundwater treatment option is determined.

# 1. <u>Site Security</u>

The Settling Defendants shall regularly inspect, maintain, properly repair or replace the fence and any portion thereof at the Site during the Remedial Design/Remedial Action and Operation and Maintenance (O&M), to prevent access and vandalism to the Site. Warning signs on the fence shall also be maintained.

# 2. <u>Restrictive Covenants/Deed Restrictions</u>

Institutional controls will be utilized to limit fishing in the plume release area by limiting site access, posting warning signs, and implementing a public education program.

## 3. Groundwater Containment System

## A. Barrier Wall

The Settling Defendants shall design, construct and maintain a 3,500 foot long, "U"-shaped, fully penetrating, jet grout barrier wall between the downgradient boundary of Sauget Area 2 Site R and the Mississippi River to abate the release of

impacted groundwater. The purpose of the barrier wall is to minimize the volume of groundwater that has to be extracted to ensure equal heads on both sides of the wall. It will extend along the entire 2,000 foot north/south length of Site R with the arms of the "U" extending approximately 750 feet to the east (upgradient), past the eastern boundary of Site R and terminating before the USACE floodwall. The barrier wall will be taken to the top of the bedrock surface which is expected to be in the range of 120 to 140 feet deep. The injection holes will be drilled a few feet into the rock to ensure that the injection ports are at the same elevation as the top of the rock. The geometry and installation methods for the wall will be optimized during the remedial design. The jet grout wall will be designed to produce a continuous barrier with minimal gaps. Minor discontinuities may occur because of very localized geologic variations. These discontinuities, if they exist, are expected to be very minor and will not materially affect the performance of the system. Larger discontinuities will be identified by the QA/QC program and addressed.

Quality control measures will include the construction of test cells prior to wall construction and evaluation of the integrity by performing a pump drawdown test within the cell, pre-drilling the grout injection holes and gauging each hole with an inclinometer to ensure verticality, and coring the completed panels at regular intervals to check for strength and soil-grout consistency.

# B. Groundwater Extraction

The Settling Defendants shall design, install, operate and maintain a groundwater extraction system to abate groundwater discharging to the wall. A system of 3 partially penetrating groundwater recovery wells pumping from 303 to 724 gpm is thought to be necessary to abate groundwater discharging to However, final number of wells and placement and the wall. extraction rates are subject to change by USEPA. Modeling indicates that groundwater is released to the Mississippi River for high, average and low river stage conditions at 303, 535 and 724 gpm, respectively (Volume II - Design Basis and Design). The wells will be installed inside the "U"-shaped A river stage gage will be installed in the barrier wall. Mississippi River downgradient of Site R. Water level information from the gage will be sent by telemetry to the pump controller that will adjust the variable frequency drives to produce the required pumping rates to control the groundwater discharging to the barrier wall (Volume II -Design Basis and Design).

Operation of the extraction system used to contain the contaminated groundwater within the barrier wall may only be terminated at the written direction of U.S. EPA. However, the

Settling Defendants may petition U.S. EPA to modify the extraction system based on such factors as attainment of groundwater standards outside the barrier wall or performance data from the system that indicates that performance standards can be met under other operating conditions. The Settling Defendants shall monitor the system's performance on a regular basis. U.S. EPA may require adjustments to the system as U.S. EPA deems warranted by the performance data collected during its operation. Examples of adjustments which may be required by U.S. EPA are additional groundwater extraction wells and/or increased pumping rates.

C. Groundwater Treatment

The Settling Defendants shall pump the extracted groundwater to a groundwater treatment system for removal of chemicals. Selection of the actual treatment technologies and the location of the treatment system will be determined during the remedial design. The treatment component of the groundwater alternative will utilize presumptive technologies identified in EPA's groundwater presumptive strategy, "Presumptive Response Strategy and Ex-Situ Treatment Technologies for Contaminated Ground Water at CERCLA Site", October 1996, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (OSWER) Directive 9283.1-12. Since contaminants of concern include volatile and semivolatile organic compounds, one or more of the presumptive technologies - air stripping, granular activated carbon (GAC), chemical/UV oxidation and aerobic biological reactors - will be used for treating aqueous contaminants in the extracted ground water. Other technologies may also be needed in the treatment system for removal of suspended mineral solids and phase contaminants. treatment of vapor The actual technologies and sequence of technologies used for the treatment system will be determined during the remedial Final selection of these technologies will be based design. on additional site information to be collected during the Based on this information and sound remedial design. engineering practice, the treatment system shall be designed to attain the chemical-specific discharge or pretreatment requirements and other performance criteria in compliance with ARARs. Other design factors shall include maximizing longterm effectiveness, maximizing long-term reliability (i.e., minimizing the likelihood of process upsets), and minimizing long-term operating costs. Treated groundwater will ultimately be discharged to the Mississippi River. Any discharge to the Mississippi River will be in compliance with all ARARs.

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 65 of 78 Page ID #4191

# 4. <u>Installation and Operation of Monitoring Program for Remedial</u> Action

Settling Defendants shall implement monitoring program(s) to evaluate and ensure that the construction and implementation of the Remedial Action comply with approved plans and design documents and performance standards. Settling Defendants shall submit monitoring programs as part of the Remedial Design Work Plan, which shall address the specific components of the remedial action listed below. Each sample shall be analyzed for a list of parameters approved by U.S. EPA during design.

A. Groundwater Quality Monitoring

The Settling Defendants shall implement a groundwater guality monitoring program as identified in the ROD and the RD Work Plan. Groundwater quality samples will be collected downgradient of the physical barrier to determine mass loading to the Mississippi River resulting from any contaminants migrating through, past or beneath the barrier wall. The Settling Defendants shall collect groundwater quality samples from four monitoring well clusters identified in the ROD. Samples will be analyzed for VOCs, SVOCs, Herbicides, Pesticides and Metals. TOC and TDS will also be determined for each sample. Each well cluster will consist of monitoring wells screened in the Shallow, Middle and Deep Hydrogeologic Units. A total of twelve monitoring wells will be installed. Settling Defendants shall collect groundwater quality samples quarterly until the final groundwater remedy and associated groundwater monitoring program for the Sauget Area 2 Site is in place. Mass loading for each hydrogeologic unit will be calculated using average TOC and TDS concentration in the Total mass loading to the Mississippi River will be unit. determined by summing the mass loads for the SHU, MHU and DHU. Total mass loading will be plotted over time to track changes in the amount of mass discharging to the Mississippi River.

If additional information indicates that the groundwater monitoring program is inadequate, U.S. EPA may require additional groundwater monitoring wells and laboratory analysis of additional parameters.

B. Groundwater Level Monitoring

Settling Defendants shall implement a groundwater level monitoring program to ensure acceptable performance of the physical barrier. Soil samples from the borings completed for the purpose of installing water-level piezometers will be screened for the presence of NAPL. In addition, existing wells downgradient of Sauget Area 2 Site R will be measured for accumulation of NAPL. Settling Defendants shall monitor groundwater levels at the physical barrier to determine if gradient control is achieved. Gradient control will be determined by:

#4192

- Comparing the water-level elevations in pairs of fully penetrating water-level piezometers installed at the northwest corner of the barrier wall, southwest corner, halfway between the south pumping well and the center pumping well, and half way between the north pumping well and the center pumping well as specified in the ROD. One piezometer of each pair will be installed inside the barrier wall and one will be installed outside it. Pumping rates will be adjusted so that the water-level elevation in the inside piezometer is the same as the water-level elevation in the outside piezometer. This will ensure that groundwater discharging to the physical barrier is controlled a glectronic water-level recorders will be installed in each piezometer and telemetry will be used to send the water-level data to the pump controller. Groundwater elevations inside and outside the barrier wall will be compared by the pump controller and pumping rates will be adjusted to maintain the same groundwater level elevation inside the barrier wall as measured outside the wall.
- Groundwater levels will be measured manually on a quarterly basis in existing wells B-21B, B-22A, B-24C, B-25A, B-25B, B-26A, B-26B, B-28A, B-28B and B-29B to supplement gradient control information from the waterlevel piezometers. 1.541.46

с. Sediment and Surface Nater Monitoring

Settling Defendants shall collect sediment and surface water samples in the plume discharge area to determine the effect of any contaminants migrating through, past or beneath the barrier wall and discharging to the Mississippi River. Impact will be determined by comparing constituent concentrations to site-specific, toxicity-based, protective concentrations derived from existing sediment, and surface water chemistry and toxicity data. An Apparent Effects Threshold approach will be used to derive site-specific, protective constituent concentrations for sediments, and a Toxic Units approach will be used to derive site specific, protective constituent concentrations for surface water.

Surface water and sediment samples will be collected at Sediment Sampling Stations + 2, 3, 4, 5 and 9, where toxicity was observed in October/November 2000, and analyzed for VOCs, SVOCs, Herbicides, Pesticides and Metals. Constituent concentrations will be plotted as a function of time and

5

នៃ ខេត្តស

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 67 of 78 Page ID #4193

compared to the site-specific, toxicity-based, protective concentrations to determine progress toward achieving these targets.

Settling Defendants shall conduct sediment and surface water sampling twice a year, once during the summer low flow period and once during the winter low flow period, when groundwater discharge to the Mississippi River is high.

#### III. SCOPE OF REMEDIAL DESIGN AND REMEDIAL ACTION

The Remedial Design/Remedial Action shall consist of five tasks. All plans are subject to USEPA approval in consultation with the Illinois EPA.

#### Task 1: RD/RA Work Plan

#### Task 2: Remedial Design Phases

A. Prefinal Design/Final Design

## Task 3: Remedial Action/Construction

- A. Preconstruction Meeting
- B. Prefinal Inspection
- C. Final Inspection
- D. Reports
  - 1. Final Construction Report
  - 2. Completion of Remedial Action Report
  - 3. Completion of Work Report

#### Task 4: Operation and Maintenance

#### Task 5: Performance Monitoring

#### Task 1: RD/RA Work Plan

The Settling Defendants shall submit an RD/RA Work Plan which shall document the overall management strategy for performing design, construction, operation, maintenance and the monitoring of the RD/RA, and which includes a detailed description of the remediation and construction activities. The RD/RA Work Plan shall include a project schedule for each major activity and submission of deliverables generated during for review and approval by the USEPA, the RD/RA in consultation with the Illinois EPA. The plan shall document the responsibility and authority of all organizations and key personnel involved with implementation and shall include a description of qualifications of key personnel directing the RD/RA, including contractor personnel. The RD/RA Work Plan shall also contain a schedule of RD/RA activities.

#4194

# Task 2: Remedial Design Phases

4 Settling Defendants shall prepare construction plans and specifications to implement the Remedial Actions at the OU as described in the ROD and this SOW. Plans and specifications shall be submitted in accordance with the schedule set forth in Section V below. Subject to approval by USEPA, Settling Defendants may submit more than one set of design submittals reflecting different components of the Remedial Action. A11 plans and specifications shall be developed in accordance with U.S. EPA's Superfund Remedial Design and Remedial Action Guidance (OSWER Directive No. 9355.0-4A) and shall demonstrate that the Remedial Action shall meet all objectives of the ROD, the UAO and this SOW, including all Performance Standards. Settling Defendants shall meet regularly with USEPA to discuss design issues.

c. Prefinal and Final Designs

Settling Defendants shall submit the Prefinal Design when the design effort is 95% complete and shall submit the Final Design when the design effort is 100% complete. The Prefinal Design shall fully address, to the satisfaction of USEPA, all comments made by the USEPA during the course of any meetings, conference calls, or discussions during the remedial design phase. The Final Design shall fully address all comment's made to the Prefinal Design and shall include reproducible drawings and specifications suitable for bid advertisement. The Prefinal Design shall serve as the Final Design if USEPA has no further comments and issues the notice to proceed.

The Prefinal Design submittal shall include or discuss, at a minimum, the following:

- Preliminary plans, drawings, and sketches, including design calculations;
- Results of treatability studies and additional field sampling;
- Design assumptions and parameters, including design restrictions, process performance criteria, appropriate unit processes for the treatment train, and expected removal or treatment efficiencies for both the process and waste (concentration and volume);
- Proposed cleanup verification methods, including compliance with Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements (ARARs);
- Outline of required specifications;

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 69 of 78 Page ID #4195

- Proposed siting/locations of processes/construction activity;
- Expected long-term monitoring and operation requirements;
- Real estate, easement, and permit requirements;
- Preliminary construction schedule, including contracting strategy.
- Draft Performance Standard Verification Plan;
- Draft Construction Quality Assurance Plan;
- Draft QAPP/Draft Health and Safety Plan/Draft Field Sampling Plan/Draft Contingency Plan.

Final Design submittal shall include those elements listed for the Prefinal Design, as well as, the following:

- Final Performance Standard Verification Plan;
- Final Construction Quality Assurance Plan;
- Final QAPP/Final H & S Plan/Final FSP/Final Contingency Plan;
- Draft Operation and Maintenance Plan;
- Capital and Operation and Maintenance Cost Estimate. This cost estimate shall refine the FS cost estimate to reflect the detail presented in the Final Design;
- Final Project Schedule for the construction and implementation of the Remedial Action which identifies timing for initiation and completion of all critical path tasks. The final project schedule submitted as part of the Final Design shall include specific dates for completion of the project and major milestones.

# Task 3: Remedial Action Construction

The Settling Defendants shall implement the Remedial Action as detailed in the approved Final Design. The following activities shall be completed in constructing the Remedial Action.

A. Preconstruction inspection and meeting:

The Settling Defendants shall participate with the USEPA and the State in a preconstruction inspection and meeting to:

- a. Review methods for documenting and reporting inspection data;
- b. Review methods for distributing and storing documents and reports;
- c. Review work area security and safety protocol;
- d. Discuss any appropriate modifications of the construction quality assurance plan to ensure that site-specific considerations are addressed; and,
- e. Conduct a Site walk-around to verify that the design criteria, plans, and specifications are understood and to review material and equipment storage locations.

The preconstruction inspection and meeting shall be documented by a designated person and minutes shall be transmitted to all parties.

B. Prefinal inspection:

Within 15 days after Settling Defendants make preliminary determination that construction is complete, the Settling Defendants shall notify the USEPA and the State for the purposes of conducting a prefinal inspection. The prefinal inspection shall consist of a walk-through inspection of the entire Facility with USEPA. The inspection is to determine whether the project is complete and consistent with the contract documents and the Remedial Action. Any outstanding construction items discovered during the inspection shall be identified and noted. Additionally, treatment equipment shall be operationally tested by the Settling Defendants. The Settling Defendants shall certify that the equipment has and the performed to meet the purpose intent of shall be completed where The prefinal inspection report specifications. Retesting deficiencies are revealed. shall outline the outstanding construction items, actions required to resolve items, completion date for these items, and a proposed date for final inspection.

C. Final inspection:

Within 15 days after completion of any work identified in the prefinal inspection report, the Settling Defendants shall notify the U.S. EPA and the State for the purposes of conducting a final inspection. The final inspection shall consist of a walk-through inspection of the Facility by U.S. EPA and the Settling Defendants. The prefinal inspection report shall be used as a checklist with the final inspection focusing on the outstanding construction items identified in the prefinal inspection. Confirmation shall be made that outstanding items have been resolved.

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 71 of 78 Page ID #4197

# D. Reports

#### 1. Final Construction Report

Within 30 days of a successful final inspection, Settling Defendants shall submit a Construction Completion Report. In the report, a registered professional engineer and the Settling Defendants' Project Coordinator shall state that the Remedial Action has been constructed in accordance with the design and specifications. The written report shall include as-built drawings signed and stamped by a professional engineer. The report shall contain the following statement, signed by a responsible corporate official of a Settling Defendant or the Settling Defendants' Project Coordinator:

"To the best of my knowledge, after thorough investigation, I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this submission is true, accurate and complete. I am aware there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

## Task 4: Operation and Maintenance

.

The Settling Defendants shall prepare an Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan to cover both implementation and long term maintenance of the Remedial Actions. An initial Draft O&M Plan shall be submitted as a final Design Document submission. The final O&M Plan shall be submitted to U.S. EPA prior to the pre-final construction inspection, in accordance with the approved construction schedule. The plan shall be composed of the following elements:

1. Description of normal operation and maintenance ;

- a. Description of tasks for operation;
- b. Description of tasks for maintenance;
- c. Description of prescribed treatment or operation conditions; and
- d. Schedule showing frequency of each O&M task.
- Description of potential operating problems;
  - a. Description and analysis of potential operation problems;
  - b. Sources of information regarding problems; and
  - c. Common and/or anticipated remedies.

# 3. Description of routine monitoring and laboratory testing;

a. Description of monitoring tasks;

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 72 of 78 Page ID #4198

- b. Description of required data collection, laboratory tests and their interpretation;
- c. Required quality assurance and quality control ;
- d. Schedule of monitoring frequency and procedures for a petition to USEPA to reduce the frequency of or discontinue monitoring; and
- e. Description of verification sampling procedures if Cleanup or Performance Standards are exceeded in routine monitoring.
- 4. Description of alternate O&M;
  - a. Should systems fail, alternate procedures to prevent release or threatened releases of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants which may endanger public health and the environment or exceed performance standards; and
  - b. Analysis of vulnerability and additional resource requirement should a failure occur.

- 5. Corrective Action;
  - a. Description of corrective action to be implemented in the event that cleanup or performance standards are exceeded; and
  - b. Schedule for implementing these corrective actions.
- 6. Safety plan;
  - a. Description of precautions, of necessary equipment, etc., for Site personnel; and
  - b. Safety tasks required in event of systems failure.
- 7. Description of equipment; and
  - a. Equipment identification;
  - b. Installation of monitoring components;
  - c. Maintenance of Site equipment; and
  - d. Replacement schedule for equipment and installed components.
- 8. Records and reporting mechanisms required.
  - a. Operating logs;
  - b. Laboratory records;
  - c. Records for operating costs;
  - d. Mechanism for reporting emergencies;
  - e. Personnel and maintenance records; and
  - f. Reports, as required, pursuant to the approved Final O&M Plan.

#### Task 5: Performance Monitoring

Performance monitoring shall be conducted to ensure that all Performance Standards are met.

A. Performance Standard Verification Plan

The purpose of the Performance Standard Verification Plan is to provide a mechanism to ensure that both short-term and long-term Performance Standards for the Remedial Action are met. The Draft Performance Standards Verification Plan shall be submitted with the Intermediate Design. Once approved, the Performance Standards Verification Plan shall be implemented on the approved schedule. The Performance Standards Verification Plan shall include:

- 1. Quality Assurance Project Plan
- 2. Health and Safety Plan
- 3. Field Sampling Plan

#### IV. CONTENT OF SUPPORTING PLANS

The documents listed in this section -- the Quality Assurance Project Plan, the Field Sampling Plan, the Health and Safety Plan, the Contingency Plan and the Construction Quality Assurance Plan -- are documents which must be prepared and submitted as outlined in Section III of this SOW. The following section describes the required contents of each of these supporting plans.

A. Quality Assurance Project Plan

The Settling Defendants shall develop a Site specific Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP), covering sample analysis and data handling for samples collected in all phases of future Site work, based upon the Consent Decree and guidance provided by USEPA. The QAPP shall be consistent with the requirements of the EPA Contract Lab Program (CLP) for laboratories proposed outside the CLP. The QAPP shall at a minimum include:

Project Description

- \* Facility Location History
- \* Past Data Collection Activity
- \* Project Scope
- \* Sample Network Design
- \* Parameters to be Tested and Frequency
- \* Project Schedule

Project Organization and Responsibility

Quality Assurance Objective for Measurement Data

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 74 of 78 Page ID #4200

- \* Level of Quality Control Effort
- \* Accuracy, Precision and Sensitivity of Analysis
- \* Completeness, Representativeness and Comparability

#### Sampling Procedures

Sample Custody

- \* Field Specific Custody Procedures
- \* Laboratory Chain of Custody Procedures

Calibration Procedures and Frequency

- \* Field Instruments/Equipment
  - \* Laboratory Instruments

Analytical Procedures

- \* Non-Contract Laboratory Program Analytical Methods
- \* Field Screening and Analytical Protocol
- \* Laboratory Procedures

Internal Quality Control Checks

- \* Field Measurements
- \* Laboratory Analysis

Data Reduction, Validation, and Reporting

- \* Data Reduction
- \* Data Validation
- \* Data Reporting

Performance and System Audits

- \* Internal Audits of Field Activity
- \* Internal Laboratory Audit
- \* External Field Audit
- \* External Laboratory Audit

Preventive Maintenance

- \* Routine Preventative Maintenance Procedures and Schedules
- \* Field Instruments/Equipment
- \* Laboratory Instruments

Specific Routine Procedures to Assess Data Precision,

Accuracy, and Completeness

- \* Field Measurement Data
- \* Laboratory Data

Corrective Action

- \* Sample Collection/Field Measurement
- \* Laboratory Analysis

Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 75 of 78 Page ID #4201

#### Quality Assurance Reports to Management

The Settling Defendants shall participate in a pre-QAPP meeting/conference call with U.S. EPA.

B. Health and Safety Plan

The Settling Defendants shall develop a health and safety plan which is designed to protect on-site personnel and area residents from physical, chemical and all other hazards posed by this remedial action. The safety plan shall develop the performance levels and criteria necessary to address the following areas:

Facility Description Personnel Levels of protection Safe work practices and safe guards Medical surveillance Personal and environmental air monitoring Personal protective equipment Personal hygiene Decontamination - personal and equipment Site work zones Contaminant control Contingency and emergency planning Logs, reports and record keeping

The safety plan shall follow U.S. EPA guidance and all OSHA requirements as outlined in 29 CFR 1910 and 1926.

C. Contingency Plan

Settling Defendants shall submit a Contingency Plan describing procedures to be used in the event of an accident or emergency at the site. The Contingency Plan may be part of the Health and Safety Plan or a separate document. The draft Contingency Plan shall be submitted with the prefinal design and the final Contingency Plan shall be submitted with the final design. The Contingency Plan shall include, at a minimum, the following:

- 1. Name of the person or entity responsible for responding in the event of an emergency incident.
- Plan and date(s) for meeting(s) with the local community, including local, State and Federal agencies involved in the cleanup, as well as local emergency squads and hospitals.
- 3. First aid medical information.

1997) 1997

- 4. Air Monitoring Plan (if applicable).
- 5. Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures (SPCC) Plan (if applicable), as specified in 40 CFR Part 109 describing measures to prevent and contingency plans for potential spills and discharges from materials handling and transportation.
- C. Field Sampling Plan

The Settling Defendants shall develop a field sampling plan (as described in "Guidance for Conducting Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies Under CERCLA," October 1988). The Field Sampling Plan should supplement the QAPP and address all sample collection activities.

D. Construction Quality Assurance Plan

Settling Defendants shall submit a Construction Quality Assurance Plan (CQAP) which describes the Site specific components of the quality assurance program which shall ensure that the completed project meets or exceeds all design criteria, plans, and specifications. The draft CQAP shall be submitted with the prefinal design and the final CQAP shall be submitted with the final design. The CQAP shall contain, at a minimum, the following elements:

- 1. Responsibilities and authorities of all organizations and key personnel involved in the design and construction of the Remedial Action.
- 2. Qualifications of the Quality Assurance Official to demonstrate he possesses the training and experience necessary to fulfill his identified responsibilities.
- 3. Protocols for sampling and testing used to monitor construction.
- 4. Identification of proposed quality assurance sampling activities including the sample size, locations, frequency of testing, acceptance and rejection data sheets, problem identification and corrective measures reports, evaluation reports, acceptance reports, and final documentation. A description of the provisions for final storage of all records consistent with the requirements of the Unilateral Administrative Order (UAO) shall be included.
- 5. Reporting requirements for CQA activities shall be described in detail in the CQA plan. This shall include such items as daily summary reports, inspection data sheets, problem identification and corrective measures
Case 3:13-cv-00138-SMY-PMF Document 310-4 Filed 03/11/15 Page 77 of 78 Page ID #4203

reports, design acceptance reports, and final documentation. Provisions for the final storage of all records shall be presented in the CQA plan.

## V. SUMMARY OF MAJOR DELIVERABLES/SCHEDULE

A summary of the project schedule and reporting requirements contained in this SOW is presented below:

| Submission |   | Due Date  |  |
|------------|---|---|--|
| 1.         | RD/RA Work Plan                         | Within thirty (45) days<br>after the effective date<br>of the UAO                             |  |
| 4.         | Prefinal Design (95%)                   | Thirty (30) days after<br>USEPA's approval of Final<br>RD/RA Work Plan                        |  |
| 5.         | Final Design (100%)                     | Thirty (30) days after<br>receipt of USEPA's<br>comments on the Prefinal<br>Design            |  |
| 6.         | Award RA Contract(s)                    | Thirty (30) days after<br>receipt of USEPA's Notice<br>of Authorization to<br>Proceed with RA |  |
| 7.         | Pre-Construction Inspection and Meeting | (15) <b>days after</b><br>Award of RA Contract(s)   |  |
| 8.         | Initiate Construction of RA             | 15 days after Pre-<br>Construction Inspection<br>and meeting                                  |  |
| 9.         | Completion of Construction              | Within 8 months of effective date of UAO  |  |
| 10.        | Prefinal Inspection                     | No later than 15 days<br>after completion of<br>construction                                  |  |
| 11.        | Prefinal Inspection Report              | 15 days after completion -of prefinal inspection  |  |
| 12.        | Final Inspection                        | 15 days after completion<br>of work identified in<br>prefinal inspection<br>report            |  |
| 13.        | Final O&M Plan                          | No later than Prefinal<br>Inspection  |  |

## 14. Construction Completion Report 30 days after final inspection

17